BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

Smart Policing Initiative

PERFORMANCE MEASURES QUESTIONNAIRE

AWARD ADMINISTRATION

- 1. Is this the <u>last</u> reporting period for which the award will have data to report? For example, all funds have been expended and the award is in the process of closing out in the Justice Grants (JustGrants) System. If you select Yes, you will be directed to answer the question in the Closeout Question section. This is a one-time-only question that you will answer prior to report closeout.
 - A. Yes/No (If Yes, answer the Closeout Question section and create a final report)

GRANT ACTIVITY

- 2. Was there grant activity during the reporting period? There is grant activity when the grantee has obligated, expended, or drawn down grant funds to implement objectives proposed in the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)-approved grant application. If Yes, the program becomes operational and should remain so until the grant closes out.
 - A. Yes/No
 - B. If No, select from the following responses

| Reason(s) for no grant activity during the reporting period | Select all that apply |
|---|-----------------------|
| In procurement | |
| Project or budget not approved by agency, county, city, or state governing agency | |
| Seeking subcontractors (request for proposal stage only) | |
| Waiting to hire project manager, additional staff, or coordinating staff | |
| Paying for the program using prior federal funds | |
| Administrative hold (e.g., court case pending) | |
| Still seeking budget approval | |
| Waiting for partners or collaborators to complete the application | |
| Other | |
| If Other, explain | 6 |

3. Complete the following table indicating the percentage of your Smart Policing Initiative (SPI) award allocated for each of the following categories. Percentage allocations should represent the entire life of the award but can be updated by reporting period as needed. Enter whole numbers only. Total must be equal to 100 percent.

| Allocation category | Percent of overall program funding |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Police agency | |
| Research partner | |
| All other partners/agencies | |
| Total | <autosum> = 100</autosum> |

AGENCY/PROJECT INFORMATION

Complete this section at the beginning of the grant during the first reporting period. These are one-time responses and should reflect agency status and project information regarding your SPI program.

| 4. | What was the start date (month/year) of your SPI program? Your program's start date may be different from the date when you received your BJA award. |
|----|--|
| | A. Month/Year |
| 5. | Provide the <u>primary</u> point of contact (POC) for the research partner that your agency will work with as part of its SPI program. If there has been a change in the research partner POC, update the contact information. |
| | A. Name |
| | B. Research partner POC information |
| | 1. Telephone number |
| | 2. Email |
| | 3. Address |
| 6. | What is your law enforcement agency's jurisdiction(s)? This can be a city, town, county, parish, township, state, tribe, other politically defined area, or a number of politically defined areas (e.g., New York City, Washington County, or Montgomery and Prince George's Counties). |
| | A. Jurisdiction(s) name: |
| 7. | What is the jurisdiction's population that your law enforcement agency serves? For most jurisdictions, you can find population data by entering your jurisdiction's name at https://data.census.gov/cedsci/ . Report population data from the most recent census (2020). If your agency serves multiple jurisdictions, combine the size of the population to represent those jurisdictions your agency serves. |
| | A. Population: |
| 8. | How does your agency plan to sustain SPI program accomplishments post-funding completion? Select all that apply. |
| | A Continue to invest in partnerships |
| | B Continue to use data analysis to inform and improve strategic and tactical responses |
| | C Foster community partnerships through systematic public outreach |
| | D Systematically offer in-service training |
| | E Replicate the SPI program in other divisions within the agency, if applicable |
| | F Other (explain) |

PERSONNEL

| 9. | | w many current full-time sworn officers with ge ve on staff as of the last day of the reporting pe | • | loes your agency |
|------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Number of current full-time sworn officers with ger reporting period | · | • |
| | B. | Of those, the number who are assigned to, or involve | red in, the SPI program | |
| 10. | SP | ring the reporting period, how many crime analy I program funds? Only report a crime analyst positi s created/classified. If you did not create a new crime | on once during the repo | rting period in which it |
| | | | Cumulative total | This reporting period |
| Α | | Number of full-time crime analyst positions | <auto calculate=""></auto> | |
| В | | Number of part-time crime analyst positions | <auto calculate=""></auto> | |
| 11. | (re wh | ring the reporting period, how many crime analy gardless of the origin of program funds)? Provid o were assigned to support the SPI program, regard I grant. | le the total count of the | number of analysts |
| | | Number of full-time crime analyst positions assign Number of part-time crime analyst positions assign | | |
| 12. | ho | ring the reporting period, were BJA SPI funds us urs are those that nonexempt employees work beyon ring a work week. | | |
| | A. | Yes/No | | |
| | B. | If Yes, enter number of overtime hours | | |
| PF | ROE | BLEM IDENTIFICATION AND UNDERS | TANDING | |
| you res | ı wa por | ection seeks to determine your agency's analys ant to address through the SPI program. It has oness; however, your agency will have the option gs through the use of problem analysis. | questions that require | one-time |
| 13. | des | nat problem do you plan to address with your SF scribed in your proposal and further defined in the ad Juired to define it once. | | |
| | | | | |

- 14. During the reporting period, did you conduct an analysis of your problem's underlying causes?
 - A. Yes/No (If No, explain and skip to the Action Plan Development section)

| 15. | cau | nich of the following groups performed data analysis of your problem's underlying uses during the reporting period? Data analysis includes reviewing data to find information d support decisionmaking. Select all that apply. |
|-----|-----|--|
| | A. | Sworn employees of the police agency |
| | B. | Civilian employees of the police agency |
| | C. | Research partners |
| | D. | Outside contractors |
| | E. | Data was not analyzed this reporting period (skip question 16) |
| | F. | Other (explain) |
| 16. | | nich of the following data sources did you use for the analysis of your problem's derlying causes during the reporting period? Select all that apply. |
| | A. | Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports) |
| | B. | Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force reports, citizen complaints) |
| | C. | Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or other community data) |
| | D. | Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data) |
| | E. | Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data) |
| | F. | Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences) |
| | G. | Offender risk assessments |
| | H. | Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims) |
| | I. | Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, offenders, or victims) |
| | J. | Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder) |
| | K. | Intelligence from confidential or other informants |
| | L. | Other data source (explain) |
| 17. | | which of the following ways did your research partner assist with the problem analysis ring the reporting period? Select all that apply. |
| | A. | Provided leadership and guidance on problem analysis |
| | B. | Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with the problem analysis |
| | C. | Collected data for the problem analysis (e.g., surveys, focus groups, interviews) |
| | D. | Conducted problem analysis |
| | E. | Interpreted results of the problem analysis |
| | F. | Developed actionable recommendations |
| | G. | Presented problem analysis results/recommendations to the agency and/or SPI working group partners |
| | H. | Communicated problem analysis results/recommendations to groups outside of the agency and/or SPI working group (e.g., local government, community organizations, media) |

ACTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT

This section seeks to track your agency's progress toward completing your action plan activities during the reporting period. SPI grant fund recipients are required to complete an action plan within 180 days of accepting the award as part of the SPI grant special condition.

18. What is the status of your SPI action plan during the reporting period?

| A In development (continue with this section) | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| B Has been submitted to BJA but not approved (continue with this section) | | | | |
| C Plan has been approved by BJA (If so, skip t | o the Problem R | esponse Activity | section) | |
| 19. Select the development status of each of the following period. SPI grant fund recipients are required of accepting the award as part of the SPI grant specia BJA and follows a recommended outline. Track the following the action plan during the reporting period. | ed to complete a I condition. The a llowing activities | an action plan wi action plan is ap | thin 180 days proved by | |
| Action plan activities | Not started | In progress | Completed | |
| Identified the targeted problem based on specific data elements | | | | |
| Identified an approach (evidence-based and/or innovative strategies to be employed to address the target problem) | | | | |
| Identified collaboration and outreach strategies to accomplish project objectives | | | | |
| Developed an impact evaluation plan | | | | |
| Established a training and technical assistance (TTA) plan (describing how the site will engage in TTA) | | | | |
| Developed a logic model | | | | |
| Established evidence of research partnership through a contract, agreement, or memorandum of understanding | | | | |
| Submitted to BJA evidence of executive support and commitment of agency resources | | | | |
| Submitted to BJA letters of commitment from external agencies participating in the project | | | | |
| Established a research design or plan (describing the special experience of the research team and how the plan defines a dynamic problem-solving process that will periodically use data analysis) | | | | |

PROBLEM RESPONSE ACTIVITY

Once you have completed the problem identification and planning phase, you should use problem analysis to produce a response plan or strategy for your SPI program. This section asks about the response/implementation activities you will carry out as part of your plan. The section also seeks to understand the different response strategies your agency has conducted during this reporting period, such as ongoing analysis and collaboration with partners, including your community and your research partner.

Problem Response

| 20. | foc add leg | scribe your targeted response (including identified or implemented strategies) to dress the problem focus of your SPI program (e.g., increasing community policing and patrol in specific violent crime blocks, hiring a coordinator to serve as a community vocate between law enforcement and immigrant communities to increase police (jitimacy). This is what you listed in your action plan as your long-term strategic plan, and it will by change if you make significant changes to your response. |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| | | |
| 21. | | ring the reporting period, did any information come to light that led to a change in the sponse strategies reported in the previous questions? |
| | A. | Yes/No |
| | B. | If Yes, explain |

Data Analysis of Response Activities

Data tracking and analysis is important to assist in targeting responses appropriately. In an action-research model, data tracking and analysis are also used to assure the program is implemented as planned, so the response can be improved, if needed. This section asks specific questions about analysis conducted as part of the SPI program and how this analysis is used in the response/implementation process.

- 22. Did your SPI program implement any response strategies during the reporting period?
 - A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the Organizational Integration and Project Sustainability section)
- 23. Which of the following groups performed data analysis on the effectiveness, efficiency, or implementation fidelity of response activities during the reporting period? Data analysis includes the review of data to find information and support decisionmaking. Select all that apply.

| Α. | Sworn employees of the police agency |
|----|--|
| B. | Civilian employees of the police agency |
| C. | Research partners |
| D. | Outside contractors |
| E. | Data was not analyzed this reporting period (skip question 24) |
| F. | Other (explain) |

| hich of the following data sources did you use in your analysis ouring the reporting period? Select all that apply. | of the resp | onse act | ivities |
|--|---|---|--|
| A Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-initiated calls for service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports) | | | |
| Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force re | ports, citiz | en compla | aints) |
| Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services community data) | s data, sch | ool data, d | or other |
| Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Offender risk assessments | | | |
| Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, | officers, or | victims) | |
| Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, off | fenders, or | victims) | |
| Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., p | ohysical dis | sorder) | |
| Intelligence from confidential or other informants | | | |
| Other (explain) | | | |
| stematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey | | | |
| | N/A | Yes | No |
| ing group decisionmaking | | | |
| ecutions | | | |
| nal investigations | | | |
| Long-term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach) | | | |
| | | | |
| ming the media/public | | | |
| sponse during the reporting period? Select all that apply. Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysis. Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with proceed to the problem response. Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis. | sts | | ram |
| | Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports) Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force re Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human service community data) Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data) Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data) Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences) Offender risk assessments Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, off Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., place) Intelligence from confidential or other informants Other (explain) dicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI provided whether you used analysis includes the review of crime data stematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey trivities and decisionmaking. dicate whether you used analysis includes the review of crime data stematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey trivities and decisionmaking. diag group decisionmaking. ecutions nal investigations -term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach) cal strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention egies) ming the media/public which of the following activities did your research partner assis sponse during the reporting period? Select all that apply. Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analy Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with process of the problem response Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis | uring the reporting period? Select all that apply. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-in service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports) Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force reports, citiz Community data) Corrections data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, sch community data) Corrections data (e.g., pretrial data) Corrections data (e.g., pretrial data) Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences) Offender risk assessments Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, or systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical dis Intelligence from confidential or other informants Other (explain) dicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program resuring the reporting period. Analysis includes the review of crime data, disorder stematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey data) to infitivities and decisionmaking. N/A ing group decisionmaking cutions all investigations -term crime reduction and prevention (strategic approach) cal strategies (e.g., short-term crime reduction or prevention gregies) ming the media/public which of the following activities did your research partner assist with the sponse during the reporting period? Select all that apply. Provided training and/or technical assistance to agency analysts Introduced new partners to the working group to assist with problem response Collected data for the analysis of the problem response Conducted or assisted in ongoing data analysis | uring the reporting period? Select all that apply. Official police call, crime, and arrest data (e.g., calls for service, officer-initiated cal service, crime incident reports, or arrest reports) Internal agency pre-existing data tracking (e.g., use of force reports, citizen complete Community data (e.g., foreclosures, health and human services data, school data, or community data) Corrections data (e.g., probation and parole data) Prosecution data (e.g., pretrial data) Court data (e.g., case outcomes, convictions, sentences) Offender risk assessments Focus group data (e.g., focus groups of community members, officers, or victims) Survey data (e.g., surveys of community members, officers, or victims) Systematic observations of places by trained observers (e.g., physical disorder) Intelligence from confidential or other informants Other (explain) dicate whether you used analysis to inform the following SPI program response acting the reporting period. Analysis includes the review of crime data, disorder data, or of stematic data sources (e.g., systematic observations of place, survey data) to inform policitivities and decisionmaking. N/A Yes ing group decisionmaking N/A Yes ing group decisionmaking |

Response to Crime, Disorder, or Other Community Problems

This section addresses activities that specifically focus on a response to a crime, disorder, or other community problem (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement) as determined by your agency's problem analysis. Throughout this section, you will be asked to provide responses regarding intervention strategies carried out during the reporting period.

27. Does your SPI program response focus on crime, disorder, or other community problems (e.g., mental health problems, community satisfaction, community engagement)? A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the Technology Demonstration section) 28. Describe the activities that were carried out during the reporting period (e.g., hot spot patrols were conducted in high-crime areas, an abandoned building was torn down in a target area, officers were trained on crisis intervention strategies). 29. Which of the following crime prevention models/strategies, if any, did you use during the reporting period? Select all that apply. A. Situational crime prevention and crime prevention through environmental design strategies—approaches that change the perceived opportunities for a crime, such as leading the offender to believe the crime is more difficult or risky (e.g., access control to parking lots or improved lighting on a walkway) Youth development-programs that promote positive behavior and decrease negative behavior in youth (e.g., any of the Blueprints programs) Crime awareness-programs aimed at increasing the awareness of a crime problem, including solutions to prevent crime (e.g., a "lock it or lose it" program) Increase personal safety-programs that provide instruction on increasing personal safety (e.g., a rape aggression defense class) Community building-programs that promote community cohesion, including public safety partnerships between the community and elements of the criminal justice system (e.g., National Night Out™)

F. None of the above

G. Other crime prevention model/strategy (explain)

| | iich of the following models or strategies, if an ect all that apply. | y, did you use during t | he reporting period? |
|----------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A. | Community-oriented approach (community | policing) | |
| | Geographic focus (hot spots policing) | 1 - 3/ | |
| | High-rate offender focus (focused deterrence | ce) | |
| D. | High-rate group/gang focus (pulling levers) | | |
| E. | Intelligence-led policing (decisionmaking ba | ased on information and | analysis) |
| F. | Problem-solving approach (problem-oriente Response, and Evaluation model) | ed policing such as the S | Scanning, Analysis, |
| G. | Procedural justice (ensuring people feel law | enforcement is fair and | just) |
| H. | Restorative justice | | |
| I. | Other models or strategies (explain) | | |
| Tech | nology Demonstration | | |
| | I you implement or test a new technology as porting period? | art of your SPI prograr | n during the |
| A. | Yes/No (If No, skip to the Program Progress section | on) | |
| | olain the type of technology that your SPI prog rn cameras [BWCs], data analysis software/ha | | or testing (e.g., body- |
| Body | -Worn Cameras | | |
| Douy | World Gameras | | |
| 33. Dic | l you use SPI funding to implement or test BW | Cs during the reporting | g period? |
| A. | Yes/No (If No, skip to the Program Progress section | on) | |
| nur | ter the <u>total</u> number of sworn positions eligible mber should represent the total number of sworn o hin your department/coalition, regardless of wheth | fficers who may be eligib | ole to wear a BWC |
| A. B. | Total number of sworn positions eligible for BWCs Of those, number of sworn positions proposed to re | | nis grant funding |
| 35. En | ter the number of sworn positions that have re | • | - |
| | Description | Cumulative (since start of award) | During the reporting period |
| Α | Number of sworn positions that have received SPI grant funded BWCs | | |
| В | Number of sworn positions that have received BWCs from other sources (e.g., state, local) | | |

| 36. En | ter the total number of BWCs proposed to be p | urchased as part of th | e SPI grant funding. |
|------------------------|---|--|---|
| | nter the number of BWCs purchased through the orchased once during the reporting period in which t | | |
| | Description | Cumulative (since start of award) | During the reporting period |
| Α | Number of BWCs purchased using SPI grant funds | | |
| В | Number of BWCs purchased using other funding sources (e.g., state, local) | | |
| DDO | | | |
| PKU | GRAM PROGRESS | | |
| proble | ection seeks to gauge whether your program is em focus area. Note that these data do not repl esearch partner. | | • |
| 38. Do | you track your SPI program's progress or imp | act using specific qua | ntitative measures? |
| A. | Yes/No (If No, explain and skip to the Organization section) | nal Integration and Proje | ct Sustainability |
| are ma str sp | escribe the target area where your program's prea is the specific location, jurisdiction, or population the ay include the entire jurisdiction or a specific geograph reet segment, census tract, or housing unit). The targe ecific population (e.g., domestic violence victims with cations within hot spots, or high-rate offenders on spe | at your SPI program focu nic area within the jurisdic t area may also be a spec n the entire jurisdiction, re | ses on. A target area tion (e.g., hot spot, ific area that includes a |
| 40. Lis | st the quantitative measures you are tracking to e | evaluate your program's | s progress or impact. |
| pr | nce the beginning of your SPI program, has it d oblem focus area? When answering this question, plementation design and analysis findings to this p | consider your comparis | - |
| Α. | | | |
| | Yes, negative impact No measurable impact | | |
| | Not yet been measured | | |

E. If Yes, explain _____

ORGANIZATIONAL INTEGRATION AND PROJECT SUSTAINABILITY

This section seeks to measure the level of integration of the SPI program into your agency. Organizational integration is measured through substantial policy updates, the organic integration of new policies into your agency's procedures (e.g., incorporation of new policies into training material for new officer training, supervision, and community outreach), changes in chain of command (e.g., leadership), and strategic reallocation of resources (e.g., personnel deployment in strategic areas).

| 42. | | s there been a change in your SPI research partner or a significant change in the earch partner team members during the reporting period? |
|-----|-----|---|
| | | Yes/No If Yes, explain and update your research partner POC information, if applicable, in question 4 |
| 43. | Ha | s there been a change in agency or project leadership during the reporting period? |
| | | Yes/No If Yes, explain |
| 44. | Ha | s your agency adopted any policies or procedures as a result of the SPI program? |
| | A. | Yes/No (If No, skip to question 46) |
| 45. | | nce the start of the SPI program, identify the policies or procedures adopted by your ency as a result of the SPI program. Select all that apply. |
| | A. | Internal use of crime analysis products |
| | B. | Dissemination of analysis products |
| | C. | External research partner rules and procedures |
| | D. | Sharing materials, data, and other information with external partners |
| | E. | Officer engagement with community members |
| | F. | Interaction with the media |
| | | Use/Implementation of technologies |
| | H. | Other policy or procedure (explain) |
| 46. | Dui | ring the reporting period, did any of the following occur? Select all that apply. |
| | A. | $\underline{\hspace{1cm}} \ \text{Discussion of SPI in command staff, CompStat (Computer Statistics), or other leadership meetings}$ |
| | B. | Discussion of SPI in roll call |
| | C. | Discussion of SPI in district meetings |
| | D. | SPI-specific training for recruits |
| | E. | SPI-specific in-service training |
| | F. | SPI knowledge requirement for advancement tests/boards |
| | G. | Other (explain) |
| | | |

| 47. During the reporting period, has your agency implemented any of the following activities around advancing knowledge to the field regarding your SPI strategy? Select all that apply. |
|--|
| A No activities have been conducted during the reporting period |
| B Presented about the SPI strategy at a conference |
| C Disseminated publications to the field about the SPI strategy |
| D Developed fliers to inform the public about the SPI strategy |
| E Other (explain) |
| |

COMMUNITY OUTREACH

Community outreach is an important component for each stage of the problem-solving process and BJA's SPI program. This outreach should occur during all stages of the program and include input from all sectors of the community. This section asks specific questions about the community outreach activities your agency conducted as part of your SPI program during the reporting period.

48. How often was your agency involved in the following community activities as part of your SPI program during the reporting period?

| | N/A | Daily | Weekly/ Biweekly | Monthly | Quarterly |
|--|-----|-------|---------------------|---------|-----------|
| Hosted community meetings | | | | | |
| Attended community meetings, advisory boards, or roundtables | | | | | |
| Distributed a newsletter, email, or other bulletin | | | | | |
| Attended community events (e.g., National Night Out™, block parties, festivals) | | | | | |
| Conducted social media activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter) | | | | | |
| Conducted outreach to minority populations (e.g., racial, ethnic, religious, LGBTQI) | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| If Other, describe | | | | | |

WORKING GROUP AND PARTNERSHIPS

The SPI working group (sometimes referred to as a site team) and partnerships are important components of the SPI program. The working group is defined as personnel within the law enforcement agency, research partner(s), and any other external partner(s) that are involved in the project's strategic operations. This group may also include any other agency staff that will experience an impact as a result of the SPI program implementation. The working group and other partners should collaborate throughout the SPI program to help with planning and implementation activities. This section asks questions about your working group and other partnership activity during the reporting period.

- 49. Did your initiative have a working group to help guide the SPI program during the reporting period? The working group is defined as personnel within your law enforcement agency, research partner, and any other external partner(s) involved in the project's strategic operations. This group may also include any other agency staff impacted as a result of the SPI program implementation.
 - A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the Training section)
- 50. How often did your working group hold organized meetings during the reporting period? Select the response the best fits.

| BDaily | |
|-------------------|--|
| C Weekly/Biweekly | |
| D Monthly | |
| E Quarterly | |

51. Rate the following working group partners based on this statement: "This partner was actively involved in the initiative this reporting period." Rate your partners on a scale of 1–5 as indicated below. If you have multiple partners in a category, rate them as a whole. If a partner fits in more than one category, rate it in the one category that fits best for that partner. Do not rate yourself.

| | | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|---|-----|----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| This partner is actively involved in the program | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Research partner | | | | | | |
| State/Tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office) | | | | | | |
| Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office) | | | | | | |
| Federal law enforcement agencies | | | | | | |
| State law enforcement agencies | | | | | | |
| Local law enforcement agencies | | | | | | |
| Community-based victim services | | | | | | |

| | | Strongly disagree | Disagree | Neither agree nor disagree | Agree | Strongly agree |
|--|-----|----------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| This partner is actively involved in the program | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Pretrial service organizations | | | | | | |
| U.S. attorney's office | | | | | | |
| Prosecutor (district attorney or state's attorneys) | | | | | | |
| Public defender/indigent defense | | | | | | |
| Courts | | | | | | |
| Community corrections (probation/parole) | | | | | | |
| Corrections | | | | | | |
| Health providers | | | | | | |
| Mental health providers | | | | | | |
| Substance abuse providers | | | | | | |
| Child protective services | | | | | | |
| Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment) | | | | | | |
| Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center, community representatives) | | | | | | |
| Faith-based organizations | | | | | | |
| Subject matter experts | | | | | | |
| Foundations/Philanthropic organizations | | | | | | |
| Training and technical assistance providers | | | | | | |
| Tribal criminal justice agencies | | | | | | |
| Businesses | | | | | | |
| K-12 schools | | | | | | |
| Public services (e.g., trash collection, public works) | | | | | | |

| Α. | Briefed agency leaders |
|----|--|
| B. | Conducted planning meetings |
| C. | Discussed group membership/participation |
| D. | Discussed resources needed/resource sharing |
| E. | Invited outside partners to speak with the members (e.g., faith based) |
| F. | Planned related activities for group members |
| G. | Planned tactical responses |
| Н. | Reviewed analysis products |
| l. | Reviewed project progress |
| J. | Engaged in project-sustainability planning |
| Κ. | Other (explain) |

reporting period. Select all that apply.

52. Indicate which of the following activities, if any, the working group carried out during the

TRAINING

The next set of questions asks about training conducted or attended as part of your SPI program during the reporting period.

- 53. Did staff or working group members attend or host training related to your SPI program during the reporting period?
 - A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the Research Partnership Activities section)
- 54. How many people received training in the category that best fits during the reporting period? Only count each person once based on the role in the project that is most fitting (i.e., sworn personnel, analysts, research partners, and working group members).

| | Sworn personnel | Analysts | Research partners | Working group members | Other |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Crime analysis | | | | | |
| Crime reduction strategies/crime prevention strategies | | | | | |
| Community/Public relations | | | | | |
| Technology training (e.g., BWCs) | | | | | |
| Training to improve investigative practices | | | | | |
| Victimization and trauma responses | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | |
| If Other, explain | | | | | |

RESEARCH PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES

The research partnership is an important element of the SPI program during implementation. This relationship allows the partnership to systematically discern what works and why. Answer the following questions about the work your research partner is conducting as part of the action research/evaluations for your SPI program.

| 55. | | orting period? Select all that apply. |
|-----|----------------------------|--|
| | B. C. D. E. F. | Attended project working group meetings Observed/Attended program responses (e.g., conducted ride-alongs, observed prevention/outreach services) Collected data for the program evaluation Conducted activities related to the program evaluation Communicated with the police agency about the current status of the evaluation Communicated/Presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results to the agency and/or SPI working group partners Communicated/Presented evaluation plan, preliminary findings, and/or results outside of the SPI program working group (e.g., conference presentations) |
| 56. | Ha: | Other (explain) s your research partner provided you with any SPI program products (e.g., crime alysis products, progress reports, final report, and presentation slides) during the orting period? |
| | | Yes/No If Yes, list and briefly describe the products received during the reporting period ———— |
| CL | .OS | EOUT QUESTION |
| | | ete this section at the end of the grant award during the <u>last</u> reporting period. Your rs should reflect your program status after conducting all grant activities. |
| 57. | A. B. C. D. | w does your agency plan to use the results of the completed assessment/evaluation? To improve agency programs, policies, or practices To demonstrate the benefits or cost effectiveness of the program, policy, or practice To support the need for funding to sustain the program To publish papers in practitioner or academic journals (e.g., The Police Chief, The FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, Criminology, Justice Quarterly) For presentations at regional/national conferences or meetings To share with outside stakeholders, the public, or the media Other (explain) |
| | | |

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES MODULE

This module should be completed in January and July by all grantees and subgrantees that had any activity during the reporting period or at the close of the grant, based on the previous or next 6 months.

| Answer questions | 1-4 for | each goa | l related to | your | program |
|------------------|---------|----------|--------------|------|---------|
|------------------|---------|----------|--------------|------|---------|

| Ι. | entify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals, report each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1–4 for each goal. |
|----|--|
| 2. | hat is the current status of this goal? |
| | Not yet started In progress Delayed Completed Goal no longer applicable |
| 3. | uring the past 6 months, describe any progress you made or barriers you encountered lated to this goal. |
| 4. | the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal? |
| An | er the following questions based on your overall activity during the previous 6 months. |
| 5. | d you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical sistance provider? <i>Check all that apply.</i> |
| | Yes, we received assistance (describe) Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance (describe) No |
| | |

- 6. BJA likes to showcase grantees that are working on successful, innovative, and/or evidence-based programs. Do you have any noteworthy accomplishments, success stories, or program results from this reporting period that you would like to showcase?
 - A. Yes (share your story with us at: https://www.bja.gov/SuccessStoryList.aspx)
 - B. No

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING!

REVISED JANAURY 2022