Program Performance Report

Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program

January-June 2013



Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) Program: January–June 2013

Program Performance Report

The Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) program, administered through the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA), was created to help States and units of local government develop, implement, and improve treatment programs in State and local correctional and detention facilities. It also helps them create and maintain community-based aftercare services for probationers and parolees. Prison- and jail-based (residential) programs provide services to offenders before their release. In these programs, offenders are usually housed apart from the general population. Aftercare programs are provided in community settings after offenders have been released. RSAT programs provide substance abuse treatment and other services such as housing, education, and vocational assistance.

RSAT grants are awarded to all 50 States, the District of Columbia, and the 5 U.S. Territories—American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands (collectively referred to as States). States may use RSAT grant funds to support three types of programs: State and local correctional facility RSAT programs (prison-based), jail-based treatment programs, and aftercare programs. State grantees typically subaward funds to State and local correctional facilities and treatment programs. Grantees are responsible for ensuring subrecipients enter performance data into the Performance Measurement Tool (PMT).

This Program Performance Report (PPR) is divided into two sections: (1) jail- and prison-based programs, and (2) aftercare programs. It should be noted that state-based correctional facilities (with a small number of juvenile correctional facilities) are included in the prison-based data section. The following is based on self-reported data from grantees and subrecipients for the January–March 2013 and April–June 2013 quarters that had active awards. Table 1 shows the number of awards and subrecipient awards and the PMT completion rate by quarter.

		Awards (N)	Completed PMT Reports (N)	Completion Rate (%)
State Awards	January-March 2013	231	173	75%
Olale Awards	April–June 2013	215	188	87
Subawards	January-March 2013	191	162	85
Jubawaius				

April-June 2013

Table 1. Jail-Based, Prison-Based, and Aftercare Awards and Subawards: January-June 2013

• The reporting completion rate ranged from 75 percent for State awards during the January–March 2013 quarter to 99 percent for subrecipients during the April–June 2013 quarter.

186

Not all grantees and subrecipients were operational during the reporting period. Programs may not be operational for many reasons, including administrative issues, a lack of program participants, a grantee/subrecipient that is not yet spending funds, or an award that is closing out. Table 2 shows the number of operational programs by program type. An operational program means that grantees executed program activities outlined in their application and spent award funds during the quarter(s) for which they are reporting. Awards are made in the fiscal year of the appropriation and may be expended during the following 3 to 4 years.

¹ Grantees and subrecipients self-select their program type, which occasionally results in a program that is incorrectly classified. An example is a large county jail that reports data on the prison-based program questions. BJA is working with grantees to improve reporting. Future reports will reflect these changes.

Table 2. Jail-Based, Prison-Based, and Aftercare Operational Programs: January-June 2013

Quarter	Jail-Based	Prison-Based	Aftercare	Total
January–March 2013	47	65	32	144
April–June 2013	46	66	26	138

- Overall, there are more operational prison-based programs than jail-based and aftercare programs.
- Programs were operational in 52 States and Territories (not shown in Table 2).

Participant-Level Key Measures for Jail- and Prison-Based Programs

This section represents those programs that were operational and using BJA RSAT funds in January–June 2013 and may not be representative of all prison- and jail-based RSAT programs (see Figures 1–6 and Tables 3 and 4).





Figure 1. Total Enrolled and Newly Enrolled Participants in Jail- and Prison-Based Programs

The total number of participants enrolled in prison-based programs during the two quarters increased slightly, while those enrolled in jail-based programs decreased slightly. The number of new participants in each type of program was consistent in both quarters. Overall, over 14,000 individuals were enrolled in jail-based or prison-based programs during both quarters.

Figure 2. Participants Completing the Jailor Prison- Based Program and Released

Of those participants exiting the program, the percentage who complete all the program requirements is the completion rate. Reasons for leaving the program prior to completion are listed in Table 4. The completion rate calculation can be found in the Kev Performance Measures table just before Appendix A. Note that participants who exit prior to program completion because of release, transfer, death, or serious injury are excluded from the completion rate calculation. The completion rate is about 73 percent on average for prison-based programs and 79 percent for jail-based programs. Of those who successfully complete prison-based programs during the reporting period, over half are released to the community. Among successful participants in jail-based programs, about 75 percent are released to the community.







Figure 3. Number of Individuals Completing and Unsuccessfully Exiting the Program

Over 4,100 prison inmates and over 1,600 jail inmates completed all the program requirements during January–June 2013.

Figure 4. Participants in Prison- or Jail-Based Programs with High Criminogenic Risks and Substance Abuse Treatment Needs²

In prison-based programs, 97 percent of program participants who were assessed using a risk and needs assessment instrument exhibited high criminogenic risks and high substance abuse treatment needs. In jail-based programs, the percentage of high-risk/high-need participants increased from 90 percent in January–March 2013 to 94 percent in April–June 2013.






Figure 5. Prison- or Jail-Based Program Participants with Individualized Treatment Plans

About 53 percent to 55 percent of participants in prison-based programs have an individualized substance abuse treatment plan. In jail-based programs, the percentage of participants with individualized treatment plans is higher at 63 percent to 68 percent.

² The PMT questionnaire was recently revised to include a question about the type of risk and needs assessment instruments grantees are using in their programs. Future reports will include that contextual information.

Figure 6. Services Received by Jail- or Prison-Based Program Participants Through BJA Grant Funds: January–June 2013

These bar graphs show the percentage of program participants receiving various services. Generally, participants receive more than one type of service while in the program, and all will receive substance abuse treatments services while participating in the program, although this may happen across different reporting period. During January–June 2013, about 81 percent of prison-based participants and 71 percent of jail-based participants received substance abuse treatment services. About 80 percent of prison-based program participants and 65 percent of jail-based program participants also received cognitive and behavioral services. Cognitive and behavioral services include interventions that address criminal thinking and antisocial behaviors.




Table 3 shows the number of participants in prison-based and jail-based programs tested for alcohol and illegal substances while enrolled in the program. A positive alcohol/drug test indicates use of alcohol and/or illegal substances.

Table 3. Alcohol and Illegal Substance Testing in Prison- and Jail-Based Programs: January-June 2013

	January-N	larch 2013	April–Ju	ine 2013
Program Type	Prison	Jail	Prison	Jail
Number of Participants with Positive Test (N)	134	95	214	75
Number of Participants Tested (N)	3,920	1,528	3,894	1,141
Participants Testing Positive (%)	3	6	5	7

- Over 5,000 jail- and prison-based program participants were tested for illegal substance use each quarter. The percentage of positive tests ranges from 3 percent to 7 percent.
- The low level of substance use is expected, given that this population is incarcerated in jails or prisons and the availability of alcohol and illegal substances is restricted, although available through illicit means.

Table 4. Completion Timeframes and Reasons for Not Completing Jail- or Prison-Based Programs: January-June 2013

	Jail-Based P	rograms	Prison-Based	Programs	
Participants Who Completed Program: Timeframe	Juli Bussu I	rogramo	T HOOM Buood	i i rogramo	
	705	450/	407	400/	
0 to 3 Months	725	45%	487	12%	
4 to 6 Months	706	44	1679	41	
7 to 9 Months	149	9	1492	36	
10 or More Months	29	2	481	12	
Total	1,609	100%	4,139	100%	
Participants Who Did Not Complete Program: Timefram	ne				
0 to 3 Months	516	86%	1015	49%	
4 to 6 Months	74	12	806	39	
7 to 9 Months	4	1	197	9	
10 or More Months	3	1	65	3	
Total	597	100%	2,083	100%	
Participants Who Did Not Complete Program: Reasons	Reported				
Termination for a New Charge	49	8%	46	2%	
Release or Transfer to Another Facility	151	25	495	24	
Death or Serious Illness	12	2	42	2	
Voluntary Dropout	77	13	189	9	
Failure to Meet Program Requirements	114	19	680	33	
Violation of Institutional Rules	166	28	515	25	
Other	28	5	116	6	
Total	597	100%	2,083	100%	

As previously reported, about 66 percent of prison-based program participants and 73 percent of jail-based program participants successfully completed the program in January–June 2013. Table 4 shows the timeframes during which jail-and prison-based program participants exited the program (both successfully and unsuccessfully). It also shows the reasons why participants exited unsuccessfully.

- For **prison-based** programs, 77 percent of participants who completed the program did so in 4 to 9 months. Generally, prison-based participation should be limited to inmates with 6 to 12 months remaining in their confinement. This is so they can be released from incarceration instead of being returned to the general prison population following program completion. The data are consistent with that model.
- For **jail-based** programs, 89 percent of participants who completed the program did so in the first 6 months. Jail-based programs require at least 3 months of treatment programming.
- Of those participants who did not complete the **prison-based** program, 49 percent exited in the first 3 months, compared with 86 percent of those in the jail-based program. The difference is to be expected, because jail-based participants generally have shorter sentences and terms of release.
- For **prison-based programs**, the most common reasons given for participants exiting the program without completing all the requirements include failure to meet program requirements (33 percent), followed by a violation of institutional rules (25 percent) and release or transfer to another facility (24 percent).
- For **jail-based programs**, the most common reasons given for participants exiting the program without completing all the requirements are violation of institutional rules (28 percent), followed by release or transfer to another facility (25 percent) and failure to meet program requirements (19 percent).

The differences between the jail-based and prison-based RSAT programs are worth noting. Many can be attributed to the difference between jail and prisons, such as average length of stay and severity of offense.

The findings are based on only two quarters of data for a subset of jail- and prison-based programs using BJA funds which may not be generalizable to all prison- and jail-based RSAT programs.

Participant-Level Key Measures for Aftercare Programs

The funding authorization allows for creating and maintaining community-based aftercare services for offenders: "States may use up to 10 percent of their total RSAT award for treatment of parolees for up to 1 year after they have been released from a correctional facility." Aftercare services involve the coordination between correctional treatment programs and other social service and rehabilitation programs, such as education and job training, parole supervision, halfway houses, self-help, and peer-group programs.

In January–June 2013, 58 subrecipients in 10 States and Territories (i.e., correctional facilities) have operational aftercare programs. This section represents those programs that were using BJA RSAT funds during January–June 2013 and may not be representative of all aftercare programs (see Figures 7–11 and Tables 5 and 6).




Figure 7. Enrolled Aftercare Program
Participants and New Participants with a
Case Plan


The number of individuals enrolled in aftercare programs decreased in April–June 2013, as did the number of new participants enrolled in that quarter compared with January–March 2013. The decrease is partially attributable to a drop in the total number of active aftercare programs reporting during April–June 2013. The number of new participants with a case plan tracks closely with total number of new participants. The next set of bar graphs in Figure 7 displays the percentage of new participants enrolled in aftercare programs with an individualized case plan.

³ Bureau of Justice Assistance. (2005). *Program update: Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners (RSAT) program* (Publication No. NCJ 206269). Washington, DC: Author.

Figure 8. New Aftercare Program Participants with a Case Plan (%), and High-Risk/High-Need Participants⁴

This graph shows the percentage of new aftercare program participants with a case plan, and the percentage of participants with high substance abuse treatment needs and who have high criminogenic risk factors as determined by a risk/needs assessment. The percentage of new participants with a case plan was 98 percent in January–March 2013 and 79 percent in April–June 2013.

The percentage of high-risk/high-need participants ranged from 92 percent to 97 percent. BJA has begun collecting data on the assessment instruments used by grantees. Subsequent analysis will help determine the most common risk/needs assessment instruments used by aftercare programs.






Figure 9. Participants Successfully Completing the Aftercare Program or Exiting the Program, and Completion Rate (%)

These bar graphs show the number of participants exiting the aftercare program, those exiting the program successfully by completing all program requirements, and the completion rate. Reasons for leaving the program prior to completion are listed in Table 6. The completion rate calculation can be found in the Key Performance Measures table just before Appendix A. As mentioned previously, participants who exit prior to program completion because of release, transfer, death, or serious injury are excluded from the completion rate calculation. The completion rate decreased from 46 percent in January-March 2013 to 36 percent in April–June 2013. Past iterations of RSAT Program Performance Report showed aftercare completion rates ranging from 53 percent to 60 percent.5

⁴ The PMT questionnaire was recently revised to include a question about the type of risk and needs assessment instruments grantees are using in their programs. Subsequent iterations of this report will include that contextual information.

⁵ Steyee, J. (2012). *Program performance report: Residential substance abuse treatment program.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance. Available online: www.bja.gov/Publications/RSAT PPR 09-12.pdf.

Figure 10. Number of Individuals Successfully Completing the Program, and Unsuccessful Exits

During January–June 2013, more people were terminated from the program than successfully completed all of the program requirements. "Terminated" is defined as exiting the program because of a new charge, voluntarily dropping out (if not on parole or court supervision), a failure to meet program requirements, or absconding.




Figure 11. Services Received by Aftercare Program Participants Through BJA Grant Funds: January-June 2013

Similarly to services for jail- and prison-based program participants reported in Figure 6, these bar graphs show the percentage of aftercare program participants receiving various services. Generally, participants receive more than one type of service while in the program, and all will receive substance abuse treatment services while participating. Overall, about 64 percent of aftercare participants received substance abuse treatment services during both reporting periods. About 60 percent of participants also received cognitive and behavioral services. Cognitive and behavioral services include interventions that address criminal thinking and antisocial behaviors. Finally, about 20 percent of participants received employment services during the reporting periods examined.




Table 5 shows the number of aftercare program participants tested for alcohol and illegal substances, and of those, the number who tested positive for illegal substance use.

Table 5. Alcohol and Illegal Substance Testing in Aftercare Programs: January-June 2013

	January–March 2013	April–June 2013
Number of Participants with Positive Test (N)	65	50
Number of Participants Tested (N)	318	531
Participants Testing Positive (%)	20	9

- In January–March 2013, about 20 percent of drug tests were positive, indicating substance abuse usage while participating in the aftercare program. However, in April–June 2013, grantees reportedly conducted more tests (531 versus 318), which yielded fewer positive tests.
- The percentage of positive drug/alcohol tests dropped from 20 percent in January–March 2013 to 9 percent in April–June 2013.
- Previous analysis of PMT RSAT aftercare data resulted in a positive drug testing rate of about 21 percent.⁶

Table 6. Completion Timeframes and Reasons for Not Completing Aftercare Programs: January-June 2013

	N	%
Participants Who Completed Program: Timeframe		
0 to 3 Months	61	36%
4 to 6 Months	75	44
7 to 9 Months	18	11
10 or More Months	16	9
Total	170	100%
Participants Who Did Not Complete Program: Timefram	ie	
0 to 3 Months	172	65%
4 to 6 Months	57	22
7 to 9 Months	13	5
10 or More Months	21	8
Total	263	100%
Participants Who Did Not Complete Program: Reasons	Reported	
Termination for a New Charge	47	18%
Release or Transfer to Another Facility	20	8
Death or Serious Illness	1	0
Voluntary Dropout	59	22
Failure to Meet Program Requirements	106	40
Absconded	16	6
Other	14	5
Total	263	100%

As previously reported, the successful completion rate ranges from 36 percent to 60 percent. Table 6 shows the timeframes during which aftercare participants exited the program (both successfully and unsuccessfully) in January-June 2013. It also shows the reasons that participants exited the program unsuccessfully.

- About 44 percent of participants successfully exited the program during the 4- to 6-month timeframe.
- For those participants who did not complete the program in January–June 2013, 65 percent left the program in the first 3 months.
- The most common reasons cited for not completing the aftercare program include failure to meet program requirements (40 percent), voluntary dropout (22 percent), and termination for a new charge (18 percent).

⁶ Steyee (2012).

Observations

- A total of 5,748 jail- and prison-based RSAT program participants and 170 aftercare participants successfully completed the program during the 6 months covered in this report.
- The time to completion for the majority of participants was no more than 9 months for jail-, prison-based, and aftercare programs. However, some differences were observed between jail- and prison-based RSAT programs. The time to completion for 89 percent of jail-based program participants was up to 6 months. The average time to completion for prison-based programs was longer, as expected. For prison-based program participants, 88 percent completed in up to 9 months.
- Of those who quit the program early, 86 percent of jail-based program participants did so in the first 3 months, compared with 49 percent of prison-based program participants and 65 percent of aftercare program participants.
- Over 90 percent of individuals who were assessed exhibited high criminogenic risk and substance abuse treatment needs in all program types.
- Other than substance abuse treatment, the most common types of services provided were cognitive and behavioral treatment services.

Key Performance Measures

		i	
Measure	Data Elements Used to Calculate Measure	Definition	Interpretation
Participants with High Criminogenic Risk and Substance Abuse Treatment Needs Jail/Prison and Aftercare	 A. Number of participants assessed as having high criminogenic risks and needs B. Number of participants assessed using a risk assessment instrument % High risk = A/B 	Percentage of participants identified using a valid screening/assessment instrument as having high criminogenic risks and needs.	Assess the percentage of drug court participants who have high criminogenic risks and needs; participants with high criminogenic risks and needs are at higher risk for reoffending compared with low- and medium-risk individuals.
Successful Completion Rate	 A. Number of participants successfully completing program requirements B. Number of participants terminated for a new charge C. Number of participants who dropped out D. Number of participants who did not complete due to failure to meet program requirements E. Number of participants who did not complete for violating institutional rules F. Number who failed for other reasons 	Number of participants who successfully completed the program.	Assesses how many participants have successfully completed program requirements as determined by the drug court program; can also be thought of as the graduation rate.
Jail/Prison and Aftercare	% Successful = A/(A+B+C+D+E+F)		
Participants Testing Positive for Using Illegal Substances	 A. Number of participants who tested positive for drug or alcohol use B. Number of participants tested % Positive Drug or Alcohol Test = A/B 	Percentage of participants who have failed drug and alcohol tests while in the program.	Assesses how many participants continue to use substances while in the program; also assesses the use of drug and alcohol testing as a key component of the program.
Jail/Prison and Aftercare			or the program.
New Participants with an Individualized Treatment Plan (Case Plan)	A. Number of new participants with a case plan B. Number of new participants % Case Plan = A/B	Percent of participants who receive an individualized treatment plan upon entering the program.	Assesses the percentage of new participants entering the program who are receiving individually tailored treatment plans.
Jail/Prison and Aftercare			
Successful Program Completers Released from Jail/Prison Entering the Community	A. Number of participants successfully completing program requirements and released from incarceration B. Number of participants successfully completing program requirements	Percent of participants completing all program requirements and released from incarceration.	Assesses the percentage of successful program participants released from incarceration into their communities.
Jail/Prison Only	% Case Plan = A/B		

Appendix A. Data from Prison-Based Program Grantees and Subrecipients: January–June 2013

This table presents the key measures for individual grantees and subrecipients.

-				-								
Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
American Samoa Criminal Justice Planning Agency	AmSa	2010-RT-BX-0038	\$ 114,350.00	April–June 2013	No	23	20	100	0	100	0	0
American Samoa Criminal Justice Planning Agency	AmSa	2009-RT-BX-0018	39,604.00	January-March 2013	No	23	20	100	0	100	0	0
Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (Davis)	MD	2010-RT-BX-0044	425,146.00	January–March 2013	Yes	0	0	**	**	**	**	**
Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (Davis)	MD	2010-RT-BX-0044	425,146.00	April–June 2013	Yes	0	0	**	3	**	**	**
Puerto Rico Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	PR	2010-RT-BX-0056	264,833.00	January-March 2013	No	96	27	100	6	76	114	85
Puerto Rico Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	PR	2010-RT-BX-0056	264,833.00	April–June 2013	No	128	28	100	16	36	118	64
Alaska Dept. of Public Safety	AK	2012-RT-BX-0043	46,053.00	January-March 2013	No	43	7	43	0	64	22	57
Alabama Dept. of Corrections	AL	2012-RT-BX-0001	167,758.00	January–March 2013	Yes	1490	275	**	1	62	0	0
Alabama Dept. of Corrections	AL	2012-RT-BX-0001	167,758.00	April–June 2013	Yes	1545	212	**	6	31	0	0
AR Department of Community Correction	AR	2010-RT-BX-0046	49,464.00	January–March 2013	Yes	87	20	100	0	100	30	100
AR Department of Community Correction	AR	2010-RT-BX-0046	49,464.00	April–June 2013	Yes	80	6	100	0	100	8	100
Arkansas Dept. of Corrections	AR	2010-RT-BX-0046	85,500.00	January-March 2013	Yes	319	110	100	0	65	153	40
Arkansas Dept. of Corrections	AR	2010-RT-BX-0046	85,500.00	April–June 2013	Yes	302	93	100	0	56	143	43
AR Department of Community Correction	AR	2011-RT-BX-0012	49,919.00	April–June 2013	Yes	99	16	100	0	100	19	100
Arizona Department of Corrections	AZ	2011-RT-BX-0011	56,552.00	April–June 2013	Yes	34	0	**	6	65	0	18
Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections	AZ	2011-RT-BX-0011	172,213.00	January–March 2013	Yes	104	36	100	**	97	49	100
Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections	AZ	2011-RT-BX-0011	172,213.00	April–June 2013	Yes	104	31	100	0	95	38	100
Arizona Department of Corrections	AZ	2012-RT-BX-0049	26,290.00	January-March 2013	Yes	53	0	**	0	83	0	20
Colorado Department of Corrections	CO	2011-RT-BX-0010	251,971.00	January-March 2013	Yes	226	51	98	0	54	49	41
Colorado Department of Corrections	CO	2011-RT-BX-0010	251,971.00	April–June 2013	Yes	253	92	99	0	38	53	57
DE Department of Corrections	DE	2010-RT-BX-0032	104,525.00	January-March 2013	Yes	222	21	33	3	79	12	5
DE Department of Corrections	DE	2010-RT-BX-0032	104,525.00	April–June 2013	Yes	221	39	23	3	61	22	21
DE Division of Youth Rehabilitative Services	DE	2010-RT-BX-0032	55,054.00	January-March 2013	Yes	74	29	70	8	97	98	100
DE Division of Youth Rehabilitative Services	DE	2010-RT-BX-0032	55,054.00	April–June 2013	Yes	55	22	86	8	92	116	100
Florida Dept. of Corrections	FL	2011-RT-BX-0020	1,141,199.00	January-March 2013	Yes	270	106	**	0	40	54	17
Florida Dept. of Corrections	FL	2011-RT-BX-0020	1,141,199.00	April–June 2013	Yes	295	90	**	0	51	44	13
Department of Corrections (Probation RSAT) Fund contractors	GA	2010-RT-BX-0005	550,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	198	150	100	0	92	100	100
Department of Corrections (Probation RSAT) Fund contractors	GA	2010-RT-BX-0005	550,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	151	76	100	0	83	100	100
Department of Corrections (Probation RSAT) Fund contractors	GA	2011-RT-BX-0042	611,636.00	April–June 2013	Yes	510	76	100	0	93	17	100
Guam Department of Corrections	GU	2009-RT-BX-0012	36,607.00	January-March 2013	Yes	18	0	**	0	100	0	100

		Federal Award			Subrecipient	Fotal Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
Organization	State	Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period		•						
Guam Department of Corrections	GU	2009-RT-BX-0012	\$ 36,607.00	April–June 2013	Yes	18	0	100	0	89	100	50
Department of Public Safety, Corrections Program Services	HI	2009-RT-BX-0014	61,978.00	January–March 2013	Yes	61	16	100	0	36	34	100
Department of Public Safety, Corrections Program Services	HI	2009-RT-BX-0014	61,978.00	April–June 2013	Yes	77	20	100	2	77	43	100
State Training School	IA	2012-RT-BX-0006	63,734.00	January-March 2013	Yes	49	13	100	0	87	38	100
State Training School	IA	2012-RT-BX-0006	63,734.00	April–June 2013	Yes	49	13	100	0	87	38	100
Idaho Department of Correction	ID	2010-RT-BX-0040	105,800.00	January-March 2013	Yes	143	43	100	0	51	43	100
Idaho Department of Correction	ID	2010-RT-BX-0040	105,800.00	April–June 2013	Yes	130	30	100	0	60	30	100
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2010-RT-BX-0003	22,767.00	January-March 2013	Yes	61	10	100	0	54	21	100
Illinois Department of Corrections	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	50,895.00	January-March 2013	Yes	45	8	100	0	60	23	67
Illinois Department of Corrections	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	50,895.00	April–June 2013	Yes	54	14	100	**	29	38	25
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	33,060.00	January-March 2013	Yes	46	14	100	0	24	45	50
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	20,760.00	January-March 2013	Yes	116	58	100	88	100	84	0
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	38,171.00	January-March 2013	Yes	65	8	100	0	90	100	89
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	43,834.00	January-March 2013	Yes	54	9	100	0	70	20	100
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	33,060.00	April–June 2013	Yes	48	17	100	23	40	52	50
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	20,760.00	April–June 2013	Yes	142	71	54	54	100	54	0
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	38,171.00	April–June 2013	Yes	31	9	100	0	75	33	100
Illinois Department of Juvenile Justice	IL	2012-RT-BX-0033	43,834.00	April–June 2013	Yes	57	11	100	0	92	24	100
Indiana Department of Correction	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	80,490.00	January-March 2013	Yes	832	134	100	0	62	19	100
Indiana Department of Correction	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	80,490.00	April–June 2013	Yes	1058	231	100	0	76	31	100
Indiana Department of Correction	IN	2012-RT-BX-0053	11,182.00	January–March 2013	Yes	91	15	100	0	57	19	100
Indiana Department of Correction	IN	2012-RT-BX-0053	11,182.00	April–June 2013	Yes	144	31	100	0	76	30	100
Kansas Department of Corrections	KS	2011-RT-BX-0033	202,255.00	January–March 2013	Yes	44	12	100	0	64	36	71
Kansas Department of Corrections	KS	2011-RT-BX-0033	202,255.00	April–June 2013	Yes	52	15	100	0	60	41	33
Department of Juvenile Justice	KY	2010-RT-BX-0004	14,721.00	January–March 2013	Yes	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
Department of Juvenile Justice	KY	2010-RT-BX-0004	14,721.00	April–June 2013	Yes	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
Department of Juvenile Justice	KY	2011-RT-BX-0019	14,887.00	January–March 2013	Yes	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
Department of Juvenile Justice	KY	2011-RT-BX-0019	14,887.00	April–June 2013	Yes	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
LA DPS&C	LA	2011-RT-BX-0058	334,527.00	January–March 2013	Yes	601	138	100	0	85	31	48
LA DPS&C	LA	2011-RT-BX-0058	334,527.00	April–June 2013	Yes	649	201	100	0	92	45	49
Concordia Parish Sheriff's Department	LA	2012-RT-BX-0028	40,466.00	January–March 2013	Yes	69	19	100	0	95	39	100
Concordia Parish Sheriff's Department Massachusetts Department of	LA	2012-RT-BX-0028	40,466.00	April–June 2013	Yes	67	23	100	4	75	43	93
Correction	MA	2010-RT-BX-0049	10,095.00	January–March 2013	Yes	4	4	100	0	**	100	**
Massachusetts Department of Correction	MA	2010-RT-BX-0049	10,095.00	April–June 2013	Yes	4	4	100	0	**	100	**
Bristol Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	35,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	116	17	100	0	88	18	86
Bristol Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	35,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	90	38	100	0	70	60	84
Hampshire Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	35,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	79	17	100	0	58	25	0
Hampshire Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	35,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	73	14	100	0	69	25	0
Maine Department of Corrections	ME	2012-RT-BX-0019	35,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	59	15	100	0	63	100	8

					1							
Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
Maine Department of Corrections	ME	2012-RT-BX-0019	\$ 35,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	61	20	100	5	81	100	0
Michigan Department of Corrections	MI	2012-RT-BX-0018	185,084.00	January-March 2013	Yes	268	43	100	0	69	22	0
Michigan Department of Corrections	MI	2012-RT-BX-0018	185,084.00	April–June 2013	Yes	238	0	**	0	94	0	0
Minnesota Department of Corrections	MN	2010-RT-BX-0024	217,162.00	January-March 2013	Yes	38	15	100	0	83	58	50
Minnesota Department of Corrections	MN	2010-RT-BX-0024	217,162.00	April–June 2013	Yes	38	12	100	0	86	50	33
Missouri Department of Corrections, DORS	МО	2010-RT-BX-0047	284,844.00	January–March 2013	Yes	105	47	100	0	72	80	100
Missouri Department of Corrections, DORS	МО	2010-RT-BX-0047	284,844.00	April–June 2013	Yes	87	28	100	0	46	46	100
Bolivar County Correctional Facility	MS	2011-RT-BX-0041	83,646.00	January-March 2013	Yes	63	0	100	0	60	138	78
Bolivar County Correctional Facility	MS	2011-RT-BX-0041	83,646.00	April–June 2013	Yes	46	22	100	0	0	50	**
MS Department of Corrections	MS	2011-RT-BX-0041	154,462.00	January-March 2013	Yes	241	69	100	1	58	38	100
MS Department of Corrections	MS	2011-RT-BX-0041	154,462.00	April–June 2013	Yes	296	84	100	4	60	40	100
North Carolina Department of Crime Control and Public Safety	NC	2009-RT-BX-0056	249,034.00	January-March 2013	No	46	14	**	0	45	123	100
2010 RSAT Dan River	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	374,122.00	January-March 2013	Yes	109	36	100	0	50	57	100
2010 RSAT Dan River	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	374,122.00	April–June 2013	Yes	146	28	100	19	51	44	100
RSAT Polk 2010	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	238,441.00	January-March 2013	Yes	55	14	**	0	31	123	100
RSAT Polk 2010	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	238,441.00	April–June 2013	Yes	48	27	**	3	25	100	100
RSAT Southern 2010	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	136,959.00	January-March 2013	Yes	0	0	**	**	**	**	**
RSAT Southern 2010	NC	2010-RT-BX-0053	136,959.00	April–June 2013	Yes	0	0	**	**	**	**	**
Nebraska Department of Correctional Services	NE	2011-RT-BX-0039	150,514.00	January–March 2013	Yes	317	123	100	4	77	61	30
Nebraska Department of Correctional Services	NE	2011-RT-BX-0039	150,514.00	April–June 2013	Yes	318	133	100	0	77	65	49
Juvenile Justice Commission	NJ	2010-RT-BX-0035	207,848.00	January-March 2013	Yes	194	70	100	2	60	53	89
Juvenile Justice Commission	NJ	2010-RT-BX-0035	207,848.00	April–June 2013	Yes	154	15	100	1	78	100	25
NJ Dept. of Corrections	NJ	2010-RT-BX-0035	207,848.00	January-March 2013	Yes	124	37	100	**	44	100	7
NJ Dept. of Corrections	NJ	2010-RT-BX-0035	207,848.00	April–June 2013	Yes	46	28	100	0	50	321	100
New Mexico Corrections Department	NM	2010-RT-BX-0034	216,036.00	January-March 2013	Yes	292	63	186	1	33	63	42
New Mexico Corrections Department	NM	2010-RT-BX-0034	216,036.00	April–June 2013	Yes	246	38	100	7	53	67	24
Nevada Department of Corrections	NV	2010-RT-BX-0061	293,027.00	January-March 2013	Yes	53	11	45	0	50	27	33
Nevada Department of Corrections	NV	2010-RT-BX-0061	293,027.00	April–June 2013	Yes	51	10	70	9	17	22	100
Nevada Department of Corrections	NV	2011-RT-BX-0047	237,147.00	January-March 2013	Yes	51	11	36	0	60	27	17
Nevada Department of Corrections	NV	2011-RT-BX-0047	237,147.00	April–June 2013	Yes	48	9	78	0	0	20	**
New York State Department of Correctional Services	NY	2012-RT-BX-0014	274,938.00	January–March 2013	Yes	512	141	**	45	55	39	46
New York State Department of Correctional Services	NY	2012-RT-BX-0014	274,938.00	April–June 2013	Yes	471	174	**	43	59	51	40
Alvis, Inc. dba Alvis House FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	41,992.00	January–March 2013	Yes	11	5	60	20	0	50	**
Alvis, Inc. dba Alvis House FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	41,992.00	April–June 2013	Yes	15	2	100	27	50	18	100
Department of Corrections	OK	2012-RT-BX-0035	148,826.00	January–March 2013	Yes	1022	138	63	2	71	18	54
Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections— 20836	PA	2010-RT-BX-0018	748,800.00	January–March 2013	Yes	17	0	**	0	71	**	100
Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections— 20836	PA	2010-RT-BX-0018	748,800.00	April–June 2013	Yes	76	8	**	**	100	0	100

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections— 22252	PA	2011-RT-BX-0046	\$ 250,839.00	January–March 2013	Yes	82	41	100	5	95	100	0
Pennsylvania Dept. of Corrections— 22252	PA	2011-RT-BX-0046	250,839.00	April–June 2013	Yes	48	24	0	**	92	100	100
Department of Children, Youth & Families	RI	2011-RT-BX-0028	35,604.00	January–March 2013	Yes	47	13	100	100	8	38	0
Department of Children, Youth & Families	RI	2011-RT-BX-0028	35,604.00	April–June 2013	Yes	50	13	100	**	50	38	50
Department of Corrections	RI	2011-RT-BX-0028	71,208.00	January–March 2013	Yes	204	68	100	2	67	51	9
Department of Corrections	RI	2011-RT-BX-0028	71,208.00	April–June 2013	Yes	239	83	100	7	56	53	9
South Carolina Department of Corrections	SC	2012-RT-BX-0004	133,780.00	January–March 2013	Yes	231	92	100	0	62	59	96
South Carolina Department of Corrections	SC	2012-RT-BX-0004	133,780.00	April–June 2013	Yes	236	47	100	0	69	27	93
Office of The Governor*	SD	2010-RT-BX-0036	167,805.00	January-March 2013	No	26	5	80	9	90	31	100
Office of The Governor*	SD	2010-RT-BX-0036	167,805.00	April–June 2013	No	22	6	67	0	88	43	86
Office of The Governor*	SD	2011-RT-BX-0030	135,681.00	January-March 2013	No	26	5	80	9	90	31	100
Office of The Governor*	SD	2011-RT-BX-0030	135,681.00	April–June 2013	No	22	6	67	0	88	43	86
Office of The Governor*	SD	2012-RT-BX-0040	50,862.00	January-March 2013	No	26	5	80	9	90	31	100
Office of The Governor*	SD	2012-RT-BX-0040	50,862.00	April–June 2013	No	22	6	67	0	88	43	86
Tennessee Department of Correction*	TN	2011-RT-BX-0023	162,041.00	January–March 2013	Yes	373	90	100	1	64	38	53
Tennessee Department of Correction*	TN	2011-RT-BX-0023	162,041.00	April–June 2013	Yes	327	89	100	2	83	41	65
Tennessee Department of Correction*	TN	2012-RT-BX-0011	149,188.00	January–March 2013	Yes	373	90	100	1	64	38	53
Tennessee Department of Correction*	TN	2012-RT-BX-0011	149,188.00	April–June 2013	Yes	327	89	100	2	83	41	65
Virginia Department of Corrections/RSAT	VA	2011-RT-BX-0036	471,553.00	January–March 2013	Yes	425	134	100	0	67	542	71
Virginia Department of Corrections/RSAT	VA	2011-RT-BX-0036	471,553.00	April–June 2013	Yes	585	134	100	6	81	427	35
Department of Justice—2010 RSAT Program	VI	2010-RT-BX-0052	106,106.00	January–March 2013	Yes	8	4	100	0	100	100	0
Department of Justice—2010 RSAT Program	VI	2010-RT-BX-0052	106,106.00	April–June 2013	Yes	4	4	0	100	**	100	**
Office of the Governor	VI	2010-RT-BX-0052	11,790.00	April–June 2013	No	4	4	0	11	**	100	**
Wisconsin Department of Corrections—RSAT	WI	2010-RT-BX-0023	376,680.00	April–June 2013	Yes	27	2	100	0	82	88	33
West Virginia Division of Corrections	WV	2011-RT-BX-0013	100,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	723	193	**	12	50	0	100

[†] Grantee indicated grant funds are used for training and therefore did not report data on program participants.

* Duplicate date entry.

** Division error (can't divide by zero)/grantee reported zero.

Appendix B. Data from Jail-Based Program Grantees and Subrecipients: January–June 2013

This table presents the key measures for individual grantees and subrecipients.

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
American Samoa Criminal Justice Planning Agency	AmSa	2010-RT-BX-0038	\$114,350.00	April–June 2013	No	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
American Samoa Criminal Justice Planning Agency	AmSa	2011-RT-BX-0057	92,251.00	January–March 2013	No	23	0	**	100	100	0	100
American Samoa Criminal Justice Planning Agency	AmSa	2011-RT-BX-0057	92,251.00	April-June 2013	No	23	0	**	100	100	0	100
Coconino County Sheriff's Office	AZ	2011-RT-BX-0011	145,330.00	January-March 2013	Yes	59	19	100	0	95	50	100
Coconino County Sheriff's Office	AZ	2011-RT-BX-0011	145,330.00	April–June 2013	Yes	56	21	100	3	94	55	100
Sacramento County, Sheriff's Department	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	200,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	84	20	19	0	31	42	25
Riverside County, Sheriff's Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	122,507.00	January-March 2013	Yes	91	19	94	0	91	25	100
Tulare County, Sheriff's Department*	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	200,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	100	36	100	6	83	0	100
Tulare County, Sheriff's Department*	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	122,507.00	January–March 2013	Yes	100	36	100	6	83	0	100
Tulare County, Sheriff's Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	122,507.00	April–June 2013	Yes	94	30	67	**	89	0	100
Orange County Board of Commissioners	FL	2011-RT-BX-0020	50,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	22	5	100	0	33	31	100
Orange County Board of Commissioners	FL	2011-RT-BX-0020	50,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	11	3	100	0	100	43	100
Twin Falls County/ Misdemeanor Adult Probation	ID	2010-RT-BX-0040	112,032.00	January-March 2013	Yes	27	9	100	**	0	47	**
Twin Falls County/ Misdemeanor Adult Probation	ID	2010-RT-BX-0040	112,032.00	April–June 2013	Yes	53	22	100	**	0	67	**
Department of Corrections	KY	2010-RT-BX-0004	130,568.00	January-March 2013	Yes	59	16	**	0	64	100	78
Department of Corrections	KY	2010-RT-BX-0004	130,568.00	April–June 2013	Yes	114	31	**	0	89	0	97
Berkshire Sheriff's Office	MA	2009-RT-BX-0015	19,403.00	January-March 2013	Yes	125	37	86	0	65	42	17
Berkshire Sheriff's Office	MA	2009-RT-BX-0015	19,403.00	April–June 2013	Yes	84	41	95	0	67	100	0
Barnstable Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	91	19	89	0	68	30	11
Barnstable Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	86	19	89	0	74	30	35
Essex Sheriff's Department	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	\$30,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	259	65	100	2	63	229	88
Essex Sheriff's Department	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	267	69	100	2	65	227	89
Franklin Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	81	36	58	0	70	92	70
Franklin Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	59	24	53	0	71	100	100
Middlesex Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	216	92	78	6	90	82	25
Middlesex Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	213	91	81	6	91	81	24
Plymouth Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	22,256.00	January–March 2013	Yes	22	4	100	**	100	24	100
Plymouth Sheriff's Office	MA	2011-RT-BX-0026	22,256.00	April–June 2013	Yes	24	0	**	0	100	0	33
York County Sheriff's Office	ME	2011-RT-BX-0008	13,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	70	0	0	**	100	100	100
York County Sheriff's Office	ME	2011-RT-BX-0008	13,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	70	30	100	**	86	100	100
County of St. Clair—Sheriff Department	MI	2011-RT-BX-0052	2,287.00	January–March 2013	Yes	105	38	100	0	88	41	83
County of St. Clair—Sheriff Department*	MI	2011-RT-BX-0052	2,287.00	April–June 2013	Yes	205	78	100	19	94	62	68

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
County of St. Clair—Sheriff Department	MI	2012-RT-BX-0018	\$ 47,713.00	January–March 2013	Yes	108	39	100	0	82	41	86
County of St. Clair—Sheriff			, ,									
Department*	MI	2012-RT-BX-0018	47,713.00	April–June 2013	Yes	205	78	100	19	94	62	68
St. Louis County, Justice Services	MO	2010-RT-BX-0047	130,887.00	January–March 2013	Yes	140	54	100	**	100	56	100
St. Louis County, Justice Services	MO	2010-RT-BX-0047	130,887.00	April–June 2013	Yes	153	44	100	**	98	55	100
Butte/Silver Bow Family Drug Court	MT	2010-RT-BX-0043	82,630.00	January–March 2013	Yes	40	15	100	**	37	71	43
Butte/Silver Bow Family Drug Court	MT	2010-RT-BX-0043	82,630.00	April–June 2013	Yes	36	14	100	**	27	67	50
Yellowstone County Sheriff's Office	MT	2011-RT-BX-0034	60,511.00	January–March 2013	Yes	31	14	100	0	80	67	100
Yellowstone County Sheriff's Office	MT	2011-RT-BX-0034	60,511.00	April–June 2013	Yes	34	11	100	0	67	50	100
Chippewa Cree Tribe	MT	2012-RT-BX-0010	46,990.00	January–March 2013	Yes	3	2	100		0	100	
Chippewa Cree Tribe	MT	2012-RT-BX-0010	46,990.00	April–June 2013	Yes	2	1	100	**	100	0	100
Cheshire County DOC	NH	2010-RT-BX-0039	15,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	29	12	62	18	57	193	88
Cheshire County DOC	NH	2010-RT-BX-0039	15,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	28	10	68	0	83	63	90
Grafton County DOC	NH	2010-RT-BX-0039	15,710.00	April–June 2013	Yes	56	21	100	14	96	56	91
Strafford County DOC	NH	2010-RT-BX-0039	45,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	91	31	100	0	61	56	59
Sullivan County DOC	NH	2010-RT-BX-0039	35,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	14	0	100	100	50	100	25
Belknap County DOC	NH	2011-RT-BX-0043	20,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	37	12	100	0	38	57	67
Cheshire County DOC	NH	2011-RT-BX-0043	20,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	28	10	68	0	83	63	90
Grafton County DOC	NH	2011-RT-BX-0043	20,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	56	21	100	14	96	56	91
Sullivan County DOC Cumberland County Department of	NH	2011-RT-BX-0043	20,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	9	2	100	100	0	113	••
Corrections	NJ	2012-RT-BX-0023	46,222.00	January–March 2013	Yes	53	29	100	14	12	81	50
Cumberland County Department of Corrections	NJ	2012-RT-BX-0023	46,222.00	April–June 2013	Yes	59	17	100	0	59	46	15
New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	NY	2010-RT-BX-0009	105,122.00	January-March 2013	Yes	140	37	100	0	54	47	58
New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene	NY	2010-RT-BX-0009	105,122.00	April–June 2013	Yes	134	48	100	25	36	59	74
Astabula County Commissioners FY12	OH	2012-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	45	20	55	**	90	36	39
Astabula County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	30,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	23	7	65	**	100	47	50
Butler County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	59,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	33	9	100	**	100	67	100
Butler County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	59,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	23	5	50	**	100	71	100
Jefferson County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	37,763.00	January-March 2013	Yes	24	4	100	0	78	100	100
Jefferson County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	37,763.00	April–June 2013	Yes	14	4	100	0	100	36	100
Sandusky County Board of Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	25,810.00	January–March 2013	Yes	26	7	100	**	38	0	100
Sandusky County Board of Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	25,810.00	April–June 2013	Yes	50	19	100	**	12	0	100
Adapt, Inc.	OR	2010-RT-BX-0064	205,676.00	January–March 2013	Yes	13	5	100	0	83	71	100
Adapt, Inc.	OR	2010-RT-BX-0064	205,676.00	April–June 2013	Yes	12	5	100	0	50	50	100
Hidalgo County	TX	2010-RT-BX-0026	272,697.00	January–March 2013	Yes	183	46	100	0	92	34	100
Travis County	TX	2010-RT-BX-0026	143,743.00	January–March 2013	Yes	38	3	100	5	83	21	100
Travis County	TX	2010-RT-BX-0026	143,743.00	April–June 2013	Yes	40	12	83	0	89	57	100
West Texas CSCD	TX	2010-RT-BX-0026	202,517.00	January–March 2013	Yes	60	0	**	20	63	0	100

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)	Released to Community (%)
West Texas CSCD	TX	2010-RT-BX-0026	\$202,517.00	April–June 2013	Yes	90	28	65	30	62	41	100
Midland Judicial District CSCD	TX	2011-RT-BX-0031	55,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	67	17	100	0	81	33	100
Midland Judicial District CSCD	TX	2011-RT-BX-0031	55,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	62	14	100	2	85	29	100
Dallas County	TX	2012-RT-BX-0027	533,909.00	January-March 2013	Yes	284	87	100	0	69	25	100
Dallas County	TX	2012-RT-BX-0027	533,909.00	April–June 2013	Yes	311	81	100	0	77	40	100
Harris County CSCD	TX	2012-RT-BX-0027	331,296.00	January-March 2013	Yes	124	32	100	100	97	34	100
Harris County CSCD	TX	2012-RT-BX-0027	331,296.00	April–June 2013	Yes	134	33	100	0	70	36	100
Utah County Department of Drug and Alcohol Prevention and Treatment	UT	2011-RT-BX-0038	166,653.00	January–March 2013	Yes	106	40	100	3	50	61	95
Utah County Department of Drug and Alcohol Prevention and Treatment	UT	2011-RT-BX-0038	166,653.00	April–June 2013	Yes	106	41	100	2	52	59	56
Chesterfield County	VA	2009-RT-BX-0044	47,174.00	January–March 2013	Yes	34	15	100	0	17	41	100
Chesterfield County	VA	2009-RT-BX-0044	47,174.00	April–June 2013	Yes	22	12	80	0	0	53	**
Hampton City Sheriff's Office	VA	2009-RT-BX-0044	78,828.00	January-March 2013	Yes	24	10	100	0	83	83	40
Hampton City Sheriff's Office	VA	2009-RT-BX-0044	78,828.00	April–June 2013	Yes	26	9	100	0	40	43	100
Department of Corrections	VT	2010-RT-BX-0042	140,293.00	January-March 2013	Yes	50	18	100	10	86	62	83
Department of Corrections	VT	2010-RT-BX-0042	140,293.00	April–June 2013	Yes	80	26	100	4	84	47	100
Skagit County	WA	2012-RT-BX-0020	100,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	30	11	0	0	53	0	0
Skagit County	WA	2012-RT-BX-0020	100,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	26	9	100	0	65	267	36
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2009-RT-BX-0052	6,849.00	January–March 2013	Yes	4	2	100	0	100	67	0
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	62,936.00	January–March 2013	Yes	39	18	100	7	64	64	14
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	62,936.00	April–June 2013	Yes	40	20	100	0	70	67	14
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	11,864.00	January-March 2013	Yes	7	4	100	0	100	67	0
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	11,864.00	April–June 2013	Yes	7	6	100	0	100	67	0
Lawrence County Superior Court	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	46,766.00	January–March 2013	Yes	13	5	100	0	100	20	100
Lawrence County Superior Court	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	46,766.00	April–June 2013	Yes	9	4	100	**	100	57	100
Morrison County	MN	2011-RT-BX-0017	21,889.00	January–March 2013	Yes	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Morrison County	MN	2011-RT-BX-0017	21,889.00	April–June 2013	Yes	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

^{*} Duplicate date entry.

** Division error (can't divide by zero)/grantee reported zero.

Appendix C. Data from Aftercare Program Grantees and Subrecipients: January–June 2013

This table presents the key measures for individual grantees and subrecipients.

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)
Chicanos Por La Causa	AZ	2012-RT-BX-0049	\$ 10.469.00	January–March 2013	Yes	14	7	350	0	57	100
Tulare County, Sheriff's Department	CA	2009-RT-BX-0057	30.988.00	January–March 2013	Yes	85	27	42	**	30	47
California Youth Outreach	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	31	8	100	**	**	26
California Youth Outreach	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	10	8	100	**	**	80
Fresno County EOC	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	22	4	100	21	25	22
Fresno County EOC	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	23	0	**	100	50	6
Homeboy Industries	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	49	23	65	60	62	36
Homeboy Industries	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	64	8	100	27	61	17
LA CAUSA	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	5	0	**	**	100	0
LA CAUSA	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	5	0	**	**	67	0
Metro United Methodist Urban Ministry	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	56	1	100	21	79	4
Paving the Way Foundation	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January–March 2013	Yes	26	4	100	12	44	24
San Jose Conservation Corps	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January-March 2013	Yes	26	17	100	18	11	0
San Jose Conservation Corps	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	46	6	33	33	29	0
WestCare California	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	January-March 2013	Yes	13	6	100	67	25	100
WestCare California	CA	2010-RT-BX-0016	189,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	8	3	150	33	0	67
Alameda County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	122,562.00	January–March 2013	Yes	1	0	100	**	**	100
Alameda County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	122,562.00	April–June 2013	Yes	41	21	146	25	32	23
Kern County, Mental Health Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	23	7	100	30	0	37
Kern County, Mental Health Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	10	3	100	20	60	100
Riverside County, Dept. of Mental Health	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	6	6	100	33	**	100
San Benito County, Behavioral Health	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	34	11	100	0	25	42
San Benito County, Behavioral Health	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	27	5	100	42	50	24
San Luis Obispo County, Health Agency	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	January–March 2013	Yes	21	21	100	10	**	100
San Luis Obispo County, Health Agency	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	46	13	100	4	8	38
Santa Cruz County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	199,838.00	January–March 2013	Yes	33	10	100	20	69	50
Santa Cruz County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	199,838.00	April–June 2013	Yes	7	5	100	14	**	71
Stanislaus County, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	January-March 2013	Yes	16	8	100	38	0	175
Stanislaus County, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	200,000.00	April–June 2013	Yes	14	3	100	91	33	100
Yolo County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	192,200.00	January–March 2013	Yes	30	10	100	44	67	48
Yolo County, Probation Department	CA	2011-RT-BX-0054	192,200.00	April–June 2013	Yes	12	1	100	29	60	14

Organization	State	Federal Award Number	Award Amount (\$)	Reporting Period	Subrecipient	Total Enrolled (N)	New Admission (N)	High-Risk Participants (%)	Participants Testing Positive (%)	Successful Completion (%)	Case Plan (%)
Larimer County Community Corrections	СО	2012-RT-BX-0008	\$ 14,358.00	January–March 2013	Yes	35	4	**	22	38	15
Larimer County Community Corrections	СО	2012-RT-BX-0008	14,358.00	April–June 2013	Yes	44	15	100	3	90	44
Connecticut Department of Correction	СТ	2010-RT-BX-0055	332,247.00	January–March 2013	Yes	0	0	**	**	**	**
Connecticut Department of Correction	СТ	2010-RT-BX-0055	332,247.00	April–June 2013	Yes	0	0	**	**	**	**
Franklin County	IL	2009-RT-BX-0053	27,232.00	January–March 2013	Yes	8	3	100	33	50	50
Franklin County	IL	2009-RT-BX-0053	27,232.00	April–June 2013	Yes	11	4	100	38	33	50
Marion County Sheriff's Department	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	48,643.00	January-March 2013	Yes	24	12	100	57	50	55
Marion County Sheriff's Department	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	48,643.00	April–June 2013	Yes	35	10	100	20	40	0
Marion County Sheriff's Department	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	10,157.00	January-March 2013	Yes	6	3	100	50	0	0
Marion County Sheriff's Department	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	10,157.00	April–June 2013	Yes	6	2	100	0	**	0
Bartholomew County	IN	2012-RT-BX-0053	140,490.00	January-March 2013	Yes	11	3	100	0	100	57
Bartholomew County	IN	2012-RT-BX-0053	140,490.00	April–June 2013	Yes	14	8	100	0	80	78
Montgomery County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	18,662.00	January–March 2013	Yes	36	10	100	0	67	56
Montgomery County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	18,662.00	April–June 2013	Yes	32	13	100	0	55	67
Trumbull County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	40,340.00	January–March 2013	Yes	26	9	100	0	71	47
Trumbull County Commissioners FY12	ОН	2012-RT-BX-0026	40,340.00	April–June 2013	Yes	28	11	110	0	89	58
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2009-RT-BX-0052	6,849.00	January-March 2013	Yes	1	0	**	**	**	0
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	62,936.00	January–March 2013	Yes	2	1	100	33	**	50
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2010-RT-BX-0011	62,936.00	April–June 2013	Yes	8	6	100	20	**	88
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	11,864.00	January-March 2013	Yes	1	0	**	0	**	0
Delaware County Community Corrections	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	11,864.00	April–June 2013	Yes	1	1	100	0	**	100
Lawrence County Superior Court	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	46,766.00	January-March 2013	Yes	11	3	67	14	67	38
Lawrence County Superior Court	IN	2011-RT-BX-0062	46,766.00	April–June 2013	Yes	11	1	100	14	0	29
Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (Davis)	MD	2010-RT-BX-0044	425,146.00	January–March 2013	Yes	323	26	158	**	0	100
Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (Davis)	MD	2010-RT-BX-0044	425,146.00	April–June 2013	Yes	355	26	158	2	0	100
Puerto Rico Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	PR	2010-RT-BX-0056	264,833.00	January–March 2013	No	23	11	100	17	6	183
Puerto Rico Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ** Division error (can't divide by zero)/gra	PR	2010-RT-BX-0056	264,833.00	April–June 2013	No	22	7	100	17	6	117

^{**} Division error (can't divide by zero)/grantee reported zero.