The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is pleased to announce that it is seeking applicants for funding under the Second Chance Act to provide technology career training to incarcerated individuals. This program furthers the Department's mission by providing services and programs to help facilitate the successful reintegration of offenders as they return to their communities.

Second Chance Act Technology Careers Training Demonstration Projects for Incarcerated Adults and Juveniles FY 2011 Competitive Grant Announcement

Eligibility

Applicants are limited to states, units of local government, territories, and federally recognized Indian tribes (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior).

Deadline

Registration with Grants.gov is required prior to application submission. (See “How to Apply,” page 10.) All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on March 3, 2011. (See “Deadlines: Registration and Application,” page 1.)

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting the application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726 or via e-mail to support@grants.gov.

Note: The Grants.gov Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the BJA Justice Information Center at 1–877–927–5657. The BJA Justice Information Center hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 8:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to announcement: BJA-2011-2871

Release date: January 4, 2010
## CONTENTS

Overview  
3

Deadlines: Registration and Application  
3

Eligibility  
3

Second Chance Act Technology Careers Training Demonstration Projects for Incarcerated Adults and Juveniles—Specific Information  
3

Performance Measures  
7

Notice of New Post-Award Reporting Requirements  
10

How to Apply  
10

What an Application Should Include  
12  
- Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)  
- Abstract  
- Program Narrative  
- Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative  
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)  
- Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)  
- Additional Attachments  
- Other Standard Forms

Selection Criteria  
15

Review Process  
17

Additional Requirements  
17

Application Checklist  
19
Second Chance Act Technology Careers Training Demonstration Projects for Incarcerated Adults and Juveniles (CFDA #16.812)

Overview

The Second Chance Act of 2007 (Pub. L. 110-199) provides a comprehensive response to the increasing number of incarcerated adults and juveniles who are released from prison, jail, and juvenile residential facilities and returning to communities. There are currently over 2.3 million individuals serving time in our federal and state prisons, and millions of people cycling through local jails every year. There are approximately 94,000 youth in residential confinement within the juvenile justice system on any given day. Ninety-five percent of all offenders incarcerated today will eventually be released and will return to communities. The Second Chance Act will help ensure that the transition individuals make from prison, jail, or juvenile residential facilities to the community is successful and promotes public safety.

Section 115 of the Second Chance Act authorizes the U.S. Attorney General to make federal awards to states, units of local government, territories, and federally recognized Indian tribes to provide technology career training to persons confined in state prisons, local jails, and juvenile residential facilities.

For more information on BJA implementation of the Second Chance Act initiatives and Frequently Asked Questions about this solicitation, visit BJA’s Second Chance Act web page at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/grant/SecondChance.html.

Deadlines: Registration and Application

Registration is required prior to submission. OJP strongly encourages registering with Grants.gov several weeks before the deadline for application submission. The deadline for applying for funding under this announcement is 11:59 p.m. eastern time on March 3, 2011. Please see the “How to Apply” section, page 10 for more details. Please note that while the deadline for submission is 11:59 p.m. eastern time on March 3, 2011, staff assistance through the BJA Justice Information Center is only available until 8:00 p.m. eastern time (see “Contact Information” on the title page for more information about BJA’s Justice Information Center).

Eligibility

Please refer to the title page for eligibility under this program.

Second Chance Act Technology Careers Training Demonstration Projects for Incarcerated Adults and Juveniles—Specific Information

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables

The goal of this program is to increase the post-release employability of offenders in related technology-based jobs and career fields. The objective of the program is to establish and
provide technology career training programs to train incarcerated adults and juveniles during the 3-year period before release from a prison, jail, or juvenile facility.

To receive an award under this announcement, applicants must adhere to the following deliverables and mandatory requirements:

- Demonstrate an understanding of the value of technological training for offenders reentering the community from prisons, jails, and juvenile facilities.
- Specify and include a process or plan for identifying, referring, and assessing potential participants into the training program.
- Include a curriculum that helps participants acquire and develop skills needed to build potentially successful careers in technology-related fields. The training curriculum must identify necessary skills and competencies, provide real-world work experience, teach transferable job skills and soft skills to help prepare for post-release reentry and employment, and provide resources to support training in technology areas (see “Approved Uses for Award Funds” on 5 for more information).
- Provide incarcerated adults and juveniles with paths to career opportunities in technology-based fields by utilizing a variety of learning strategies to assist with performing work-related activities. The specific type of technology-based field(s) incorporated into the training program is at the discretion of the applicant. However, the applicant must be able to justify the selected training as technology-related. Some examples of technology-based fields include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - Computer assisted design in engineering and construction.
  - Information technology development and design.
  - “Green-technology”-related projects.
  - Braille transcription training and certification.
  - Wireless and broadband deployment.
  - Computer science and programming.
  - Other fields as justified by the eligible applicant.
- Incorporate the following activities: address skills and competencies demanded by the targeted technology career; support participants’ advancement along a defined career pathway; and, where applicable, result in a recognized certificate, degree, or license that indicates a level of mastery and competence in a given technology based on the type of training completed.
- Highlight, when at all possible, evidence-based programs or practices.
- Restrict access to the Internet by incarcerated persons, as appropriate, to ensure public safety.
- Submit to BJA a report no later than 90 days after the last day of the final fiscal year of the award that describes and assesses the uses of award funds during the preceding fiscal year.

Target Population
The target population should be incarcerated adults and juveniles within a 3-year period before release from a prison, jail, or juvenile facility. The target population for the initiative must be a
specific subset of the population of individuals convicted as an adult or adjudicated as a juvenile, and incarcerated in a state, local, or tribal prison; jail or a juvenile detention/correctional facility; juvenile camp; juvenile community-based program; or a juvenile residential facility. For federally recognized Indian tribes, the individuals may be housed in a tribal, state, regional, county, or local jail facility pursuant to state or tribal law.

Applicants must provide justification for selecting the target population and provide supporting documentation for their decision.

Approved Uses for Award Funds
Approved uses for award funds under a comprehensive technology training application may include the following:

• Classroom occupational training.
• Training activities that lead to permanent employment in a technology-related field.
• Alignment with apprenticeship programs in the technology field.
• Basic skills training that includes:
  o Adult basic education
  o English as a Second Language (ESL)
  o Job readiness training
• Job search and placement assistance in the technology field.
• Career counseling, work-based learning, and other activities as justified by the applicant (where appropriate).
• Equipment or supplies necessary for participation and completion of the training program. Equipment costs must be fully justified and applicants should note that these funds are not intended to pay for equipment only.
• Training instructors with technology career experience.
• Post-release services for a maximum 6-month period that provides support for program participants engaged in paths to technology-based careers, including voucher/stipend support.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices
OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence (generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations). Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based.
Priority Consideration
Priority consideration will be given to applications that:

- Partner with technology industry-related organizations and other entities that can provide resources or expertise to the project. Applicants should demonstrate a high degree of collaboration with workforce employers, and provide documentation demonstrating partnerships, agreements, or memoranda of understanding with relevant employers in the technology areas to which program participants are likely to return.

- Provide training to offenders within 12–18 months prior to release to ensure the training is not obsolete and still current, useable, and transferable.

- Target high-risk offenders for program participation using validated assessment tools. Examples of high-risk offenders may include, but are not limited to: sex offenders, mentally ill offenders, offenders dually diagnosed with major mental health disorders and alcohol or substance addictions at the same time, and other offenders.

- Assess the local demand for employees trained in their targeted field, in the areas to which their program participants are likely to return, to improve the likelihood of post-release employment.

- Partner with technology industry-related organizations and other entities (including local and small businesses) willing to hire and support high-risk offenders through the learning and job training process.

- Provide ongoing training to employers and potential employers on successful approaches to working with trainees/employees engaged through the program.

- Provide individualized education and reentry plans for offenders participating in the training program.

- Leverage existing resources (including current Second Chance programs) to continue the training, job placement, career, and reentry success during the community release phase of reentry.

- Incorporate “peer” mentors when appropriate and include a plan for recruiting, training, and supervising mentors.

Amount and Length of Awards

As required by the statute, any awards made under this program will be made for a period of up to 12 months. Contingent upon the availability of funds, awards of up to $750,000 each may be made. Pending the outcome of the FY 2011 appropriations process anticipated in March 2011, BJA may make as few as no awards and as many as five awards this fiscal year under this program.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Budget Information

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver: With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, federal funds may not be used to pay total cash compensation (salary plus bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a
rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. (The 2011 salary table for SES employees is available at www.opm.gov/oca/11tables/indexSES.asp.) Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a higher rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.)

The limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award may be waived on an individual basis at the discretion of the Assistant Attorney General (AAG) for OJP. An applicant that wishes to request a waiver must include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of its application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit its budget.

The justification should include: the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service being provided, the individual’s specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual’s salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work that is to be done.

**Match Requirement**
This solicitation does not require a match.

**Performance Measures**

To assist in fulfilling the Department’s responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work. Any award recipient will be required, post award, to provide the data requested in the “Data Grantee Provides” column so that OJP can calculate values for the “Performance Measures” column. Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Performance Measure(s)</th>
<th>Data Grantee Provides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the knowledge of offenders through in-person training.</td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who successfully completed the in-person training program.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed the training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who completed the training who rated the in-person training program as satisfactory or better.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who attended each training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who completed the in-person training program whose post-test indicated an improved score over their pre-test.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed the training who rated the training as satisfactory or better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed the training whose post-test indicated an improved score over their pre-test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the knowledge of offenders through web-based learning.</td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who successfully completed the web-based learning program.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who started the training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who completed the web-based learning program who rated the training as satisfactory or better.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed the training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of trainees who completed the web-based learning program whose post-test indicated an improved score over their pre-test.</td>
<td>Number of individuals who completed the training who rated the training as satisfactory or better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the knowledge of offenders through distance learning using CD/DVDs.</td>
<td>Percentage of organizations that completed the survey who expressed satisfaction that the CD/DVD met their training needs.</td>
<td>Number of organizations who expressed satisfaction that the CD/DVD met their training needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of organizations who responded to the survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of organizations who received CD/DVDs who were surveyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of organizations receiving CD/DVDs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the knowledge of offenders by providing training scholarships.</td>
<td>Percentage of surveyed trainees who reported that the training provided information that could be utilized in their job.</td>
<td>Number of training scholarships provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of trainees who received scholarships who were surveyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of trainees who reported in the survey that the training provided information that could be utilized in their job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of trainees surveyed who responded to the survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase a criminal justice agency’s ability to solve problems and/or modify policies or practices.</td>
<td>Percentage of requesting agencies who rated services as satisfactory or better in terms of timeliness and quality following completion of an onsite visit.</td>
<td>Number of requesting agencies who rated services as satisfactory or better in terms of timeliness and quality following completion of an onsite visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of requesting agencies who completed an evaluation of the onsite visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of requesting agencies that implemented one or more of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of peer visitors who reported that the visit to the other agency was useful in providing information on policies or practices.</td>
<td>Number of peer visitors who reported that the visit to the other agency was useful in providing information on policies or practices.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of peer visitors who implemented one or more policies or practices six months after they were observed at the visited site.</td>
<td>Number of peer visitors who implemented one or more policies or practices six months after they were observed at the visited site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of requesting agencies of other onsite services who rated the services provided as satisfactory or better.</td>
<td>Number of requesting agencies of other onsite services who rated the services provided as satisfactory or better.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of peer to peer visits completed.</td>
<td>Number of peer to peer visits completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of follow-ups with requesting agencies completed six months after onsite visit.</td>
<td>Number of follow-ups with requesting agencies completed six months after onsite visit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reports completed by peer visitors after completion of the visit.</td>
<td>Number of reports completed by peer visitors after completion of the visit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of follow-ups with the requesting peer visitor completed six months after the peer to peer visit.</td>
<td>Number of follow-ups with the requesting peer visitor completed six months after the peer to peer visit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of other onsite services provided.</td>
<td>Number of other onsite services provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies following other onsite services.</td>
<td>Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies following other onsite services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of onsite visits completed.</td>
<td>Number of onsite visits completed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase the knowledge of offenders through the development and/or revision of training curricula

| Percentage of curricula that were pilot tested. | Number of training curricula developed. |
| Percentage of curricula that were revised after pilot testing | Number of training curricula pilot tested. |

| Number of training curricula revised after being pilot tested. |

Submission of performance measures data is not required for the application. Instead, applicants should discuss in their application their proposed methods for collecting data for
performance measures. Please refer to the section “What an Application Should Include” (below) for additional information.

**Note on project evaluations:** Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute “research” for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protections. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements likely do not constitute “research.” Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.” 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the “Research and the Protection of Human Subjects” section of the OJP “Other Requirements for OJP Applications” web page (www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm). Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the “Confidentiality” section on that web page.

**Notice of New Post-Award Reporting Requirements**

Applicants should anticipate that all recipients (other than individuals) of awards of $25,000 or more under this solicitation, consistent with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), will be required to report award information on any first-tier subawards totaling $25,000 or more, and, in certain cases, to report information on the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients. Each applicant entity must ensure that it has the necessary processes and systems in place to comply with the reporting requirements should it receive funding. Reports regarding subawards will be made through the FFATA Subaward Reporting System (FSRS), found at www.fsrs.gov.

Please note also that applicants should anticipate that no subaward of an award made under this solicitation may be made to a subrecipient (other than an individual) unless the potential subrecipient acquires and provides a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.

**How to Apply**

Applications will be submitted through Grants.gov. Grants.gov is a “one-stop storefront” that provides a unified process for all customers of federal awards to find funding opportunities and apply for funding. Complete instructions on how to register and submit an application can be found at www.Grant.gov. If the applicant experiences technical difficulties at any point during this process, please call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, processing delays may occur, and it can take up to several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP highly recommends that
applicants start the registration process as early as possible to prevent delays in submitting an application package by the specified application deadline.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

1. **Acquire a DUNS number.** A DUNS number is required for Grants.gov registration. In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS (Data Universal Numbering System) number in their applications for a new award or renewal of an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and keeping track of entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Obtain a DUNS number by calling Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 or by applying online at [www.dnb.com](http://www.dnb.com). A DUNS number is usually received within 1-2 business days.

2. **Acquire or renew registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database.** OJP requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance maintain current registrations in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database. An applicant must be registered in the CCR to successfully register in Grants.gov. The CCR database is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. Organizations that have previously submitted applications via Grants.gov are already registered with CCR, as it is a requirement for Grants.gov registration. Please note, however, that applicants must update or renew their CCR registration annually to maintain an active status. Information about CCR registration procedures can be accessed at [www.ccr.gov](http://www.ccr.gov).

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization’s DUNS Number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process, go to [www.grants.gov/applicants/get_registered.jsp](http://www.grants.gov/applicants/get_registered.jsp).

4. **Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. Please note that there can be more than one AOR for the organization.

5. **Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Please use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for this solicitation is 16.812, titled “Second Chance Act Prisoner Reentry Initiative,” and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2011-2871.

6. **Submit an application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive an e-mail validation message from Grants.gov. The validation message will state whether the application has been received and validated, or rejected, with an explanation. **Important:** Applicants are urged to submit applications at least 72 hours prior
to the due date of the application to allow time to receive the validation message and to correct any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.


**Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**

If an applicant experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond the applicant’s control that prevent submission of its application by the deadline, the applicant must contact BJA staff **within 24 hours after the deadline** and request approval to submit its application. At that time, BJA staff will instruct the applicant to submit specific information detailing the technical difficulties. The applicant must e-mail: a description of the technical difficulties, a timeline of submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant DUNS number, and Grants.gov Help Desk tracking number(s) received. After the program office reviews all of the information submitted, and contacts the Grants.gov Help Desk to validate the technical issues reported, OJP will contact the applicant to either approve or deny the request to submit a late application. If the technical issues reported cannot be validated, the application will be rejected as untimely.

To ensure a fair competition for limited discretionary funds, the following conditions are not valid reasons to permit late submissions: (1) failure to begin the registration process in sufficient time, (2) failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its Web site, (3) failure to follow all of the instructions in the OJP solicitation, and (4) technical issues experienced with the applicant’s computer or information technology (IT) environment.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page, [www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/solicitations.htm](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/solicitations.htm).

**What an Application Should Include**

This section describes what an application should include and sets out a number of elements. Applicants should anticipate that failure to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements may negatively affect the review of the application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude access to or use of award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that some application elements are so critical that applications unresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include a program abstract, program narrative, budget detail worksheet including a budget narrative, project timeline, and position descriptions will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration.

OJP strongly recommends use of appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Resumes”) for all attachments. OJP recommends that resumes be included in a single file.
For examples of successful FY 2010 applications, go to: www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/funding/Example_Applications_2010.html.

1. **Information to complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)**
   The SF-424 is a standard form required for use as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and GMS take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, please select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

2. **Abstract**
   The abstract must provide an overall summary of the project and include the project’s purposes, goals, and deliverables. The abstract may be single- or double-spaced, but must use a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with no less than 1-inch margins, and must not exceed 2 pages.

   The abstract must include the following clearly labeled and delineated information: target population characteristics (e.g., adult or juvenile, etc.); technology field(s) selected and/or technology training type; geographic location; projected number of offenders to be trained; and whether the agency/correctional facility is a state, local, or tribal entity.

3. **Program Narrative**
   The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and the Selection Criteria (1-4) in the order given. The program narrative must be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with no less than 1-inch margins, and must not exceed 15 pages. Please number pages “1 of 15,” “2 of 15,” etc.

   Applicants should anticipate that if the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, such that it provides a significant competitive advantage, the failure to comply may be considered in peer review and in final award decisions.

   The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative.
   a. **Statement of the Problem**
   b. **Program Design and Implementation**
   c. **Capabilities and Competencies**
   d. **Impact/Outcomes, Evaluations, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures**

   Further information is available under the Selection Criteria section, page x.

4. **Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative**
   a. **Budget Detail Worksheet**
      A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at www.ojp.gov/funding/forms/budget_detail.pdf. If the budget is submitted in a different format, the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet should be included.
For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, please see the OJP Financial Guide at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/financialguide/index.htm.

Applicants must budget funding to travel to DOJ-sponsored grant meetings. Applicants should estimate the costs of travel and accommodations for three staff to attend two meetings in Washington, D.C.

b. Budget Narrative
The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how all costs were estimated and calculated and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)
Indirect costs are allowed only if the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate. (This requirement does not apply to units of local government.) A copy of the rate approval should be attached. If the applicant does not have an approved rate, one can be requested by contacting the applicant’s cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/financialguide/part3/part3chap17.htm.

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)
If an application is being submitted by either (1) a tribe or tribal organization or (2) a third party proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands, then a current authorizing resolution of the governing body of the tribal entity or other enactment of the tribal council or comparable governing body authorizing the inclusion of the tribe or tribal organization and its membership should be included with the application. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes proposes to apply for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, then the application should include a resolution from all tribes that will be included as a part of the services/assistance provided under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without authorizing resolution or other enactment of each tribal governing body) may submit a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application in lieu of tribal resolutions.

7. Additional Attachments: Project Timeline, Position Descriptions, and Letters of Support/ Memoranda of Understanding
Attach a Project Timeline with each project goal, related objective, activity, expected completion date, responsible person, or organization; Position Descriptions for key positions; and Letters of Support/Memoranda of Understanding from all key partners, detailing the commitment to work with the applicant to promote the mission of the project.

8. Other Standard Forms
Additional forms that may be required in connection with an award are available on
OJP’s funding page at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/forms.htm. For successful applicants, receipt of funds may be contingent upon submission of all necessary forms. Please note in particular the following forms.

a. Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (required to be submitted in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds).

b. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required for any applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities; this form must be downloaded, completed, and then uploaded).

c. Accounting System and Financial Capability Questionnaire (required for any applicant other than an individual that is a non-governmental entity and that has not received any award from OJP within the past 3 years; this form must be downloaded, completed, and then uploaded).

d. Standard Assurances (required to be submitted in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds).

Selection Criteria

The following five selection criteria will be used to evaluate each application, with the different weight given to each based on the percentage value listed after each individual criteria. For example, the first criteria, “Statement of the Problem,” is worth 20 percent of the entire score in the application review process.

1. Statement of the Problem (20 percent out of 100)
   
   • Describe the problems of providing technology career-based training for offenders returning to the community. The applicant must provide a clear and concise statement on why the applicant deems important the need to establish a technology careers training program to train incarcerated persons for technology-based jobs and careers from prisons, jails, or juvenile facilities.
   
   • Summarize the basic components of the state, local, or tribal project initiative as currently being implemented.
   
   • Indicate the jurisdiction or tribal community to be served including information about the correctional facility where programming is proposed to occur.
   
   • Provide information on the target population to receive the technology training, the number of offenders returning to the community, and description on the number and type of offenders in the correctional facilities.

2. Program Design and Implementation (40 percent out of 100)

   • Identify goals and objectives for program development, implementation, and outcomes.
   
   • Describe in detail how the Mandatory Requirements specified on page 4 of this solicitation have been met.
• Describe in detail how the **Priority Considerations** specified on page 6 of this solicitation have been met.

• Describe in detail how proposed activities that fall within the **Approved Uses of Award Funds** specified on page 5 of this solicitation have been met.

3. **Capabilities and Competencies (20 percent out of 100)**

• Describe the management structure and staffing of the project, identifying the agency responsible for the project and the grant coordinator.

• Demonstrate the capability of the lead organization and collaborative partners to implement the project, including gathering and analyzing information, developing a plan, evaluating the program, and resumes for key personnel.

• Describe how the project would be organized and staffed to meet each of the requirements. The management and organizational structure described should match the staff needs necessary to accomplish the tasks outlined in the implementation plan.

4. **Impact/Outcomes, Evaluation, and Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting Data for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures (10 percent out of 100)**

• Describe the process for assessing the project’s effectiveness through the collection and reporting of the required performance metrics data. (See “Performance Measures” on page 7 for more information).

• Outline what data and information will be collected and describe how evaluation and collaborative partnerships will be leveraged to build long-term support and resources for the program.

• Describe how performance metrics will be documented, monitored, and evaluated, and identify the impact of the strategy once implemented.

• Identify what data elements and information will be collected and a description of how evaluation and collaborative partnerships will be leveraged to build long-term support and resources for the program.

• Discuss how this effort will be integrated into the applicant’s justice system plans or commitments, how the program will be financially sustained after federal funding ends, and the expected long-term results for the program.

5. **Budget (10 percent out of 100)**

Provide a proposed budget and budget narrative that are cost-effective, complete, and allowable. Equipment costs must be fully justified and applicants should note that these funds are not intended to pay for equipment only.
Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use either internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination to review the applications under this solicitation. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the field of the subject matter of a given solicitation who is NOT a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Eligible applications will be evaluated, scored, and rated by a peer review panel. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance, and available funding.

The Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO), in consultation with BJA, conducts a financial review of applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the fiscal integrity and financial capability of applicants; examines proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs; and determines whether costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General (AAG), who also may give consideration to factors including, but not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance, and available funding when making awards.

Additional Requirements

Applicants selected for awards must agree to comply with additional legal requirements upon acceptance of an award. OJP strongly encourages applicants to review the information pertaining to these additional requirements prior to submitting an application. Additional information for each requirement can be found at http://www.ojp.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm.

- Civil Rights Compliance
- Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations
- Confidentiality
- Research and the Protection of Human Subjects
- Anti-Lobbying Act
- Financial and Government Audit Requirements
• National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
• DOJ Information Technology Standards (if applicable)
• Single Point of Contact Review
• Non-Supplanting of State or Local Funds
• Criminal Penalty for False Statements
• Compliance with Office of Justice Programs Financial Guide
• Suspension or Termination of Funding
• Nonprofit Organizations
• For-profit Organizations
• Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)
• Rights in Intellectual Property
• Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) of 2006
• Awards in Excess of $5,000,000 – Federal Taxes Certification Requirement
• Active CCR Registration
Application Checklist
FY 2011 Second Chance Act Technology Careers Training Demonstration
Projects for Incarcerated Adults and Juveniles

This checklist has been created to assist with developing an application.

Eligibility Requirements:
_____ Applicant agency meets eligibility requirements (see page 1)
_____ The proposed budget is within the allowable limits ($750,000) (see page 6)
_____ Federally Recognized Tribe (if applicable)

What an Application Should Include:
_____ SF 424 Form (see page 12)
_____ Abstract* (see page 13)
_____ Program Narrative* (see page 13)
   _____ Statement of the Problem/Program
   _____ Project Design and Implementation
   _____ Capabilities/Competencies
   _____ Impact/Outcomes, Evaluation, Sustainment, and Plan for Collecting the Data
      Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures
_____ Budget Detail Worksheet* and Budget Narrative* (see page 13)
_____ Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 14)
_____ Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 14)
_____ Project Timeline,* Position Descriptions,* and Letters of Support/Memoranda of
      Understanding (see page 14)
_____ Other Standard Forms as applicable, including: (see page 14)
      _____ Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (if applicable)
      _____ Accounting System and Financial Capability Questionnaire (if applicable)

*These elements are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not
include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by
BJA.