

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP), [Bureau of Justice Assistance](#) (BJA), and the [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services](#) (HHS), [Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration](#) (SAMHSA) are seeking applications for funding to enhance drug court services, coordination, and substance use disorder treatment and recovery support services. This program furthers the missions of DOJ and HHS by providing resources to state, local, and tribal governments and state, local, and tribal courts to enhance drug court programs and systems for nonviolent offenders with substance use disorders.

Joint Adult Drug Court Solicitation to Enhance Services, Coordination, and Treatment FY 2016 Competitive Grant Announcement

Applications Due: May 31, 2016

[Note to Applicants: This document must be used in conjunction with BJA/SAMHSA's [Requirements Resource Guide](#). You must use both documents in preparing your application.]

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are limited to states or state courts applying on behalf of a single jurisdiction; local courts; counties; other units of local government; or federally recognized Indian tribal governments (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior). Indian tribal governments may apply directly or through other public or not-for-profit private entities. Eligible jurisdictions must have a fully operational (at least 1 year as of September 30, 2016) adult drug court. "Operational" is defined as a judge being designated as a "drug court" judge with a drug court docket of cases and seeing defendants in drug court on a regular and recurring basis. **By signing the SF-424, the authorized representative of the applicant organization is certifying that the Adult Drug Court(s) that receives funding from this grant announcement has been operational, as defined above. Note: Applicants must demonstrate that eligible drug court participants promptly enter the drug court program following a determination of their eligibility. BJA and SAMHSA will not make awards to applicants whose drug courts require an initial period of incarceration unless the period of incarceration is mandated by statute for the offense in question. In such instances, the applicant must demonstrate that the offender is receiving treatment services, if available, while incarcerated and begins drug court treatment services immediately upon release.**

BJA and SAMHSA will prioritize making awards to those jurisdictions who do not have an active BJA or SAMHSA drug court award for the same population. BJA and SAMHSA may also elect to make awards for applications submitted under this solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on the merit of the applications and on the availability of funds.

For the purposes of this solicitation, the definition of “adult drug court” is a court program managed by a non-adversarial and multidisciplinary team that responds to the offenses and treatment needs of offenders who have a substance use disorder (SUD). Eligible drug court models include Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts, Driving While Intoxicated (DWI)/Driving Under the Influence (DUI) Courts, Co-Occurring Courts where those participants possess a substance use-related charge and dual diagnosis of both substance use and mental disorders, and Veterans Courts that adhere to the Drug Court 10 key components in [Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components](#) and serve adults with substance use disorders in the respective problem-solving court, as long as the court meets all the elements required for drug courts, as described herein. Municipal courts using the problem-solving model, in which substance use has been identified as the criterion for the individual being referred to the court, are eligible to apply for funding.

Coordination Requirement: The following requirements must be met or the application will not be reviewed or considered for an award:

- Non-tribal applicants must include a letter from the State Substance Abuse (SSA) Director or designated representative indicating support for the application and confirming that the proposal conforms to the framework of the State Strategy of Substance Abuse Treatment. Applicants must include this letter as **Attachment 7** of the application.
- Non-tribal applicants must also submit a letter from the Chief Justice of the state’s highest court, the State Court Administrator, or a designee (for example, the state drug or problem-solving court coordinator) describing how the proposed application would enhance the statewide efforts related to problem-solving courts. Applicants must include this letter as **Attachment 8** of their application.
- Tribal applicants submitting on behalf of a tribe/tribal organization must submit a Tribal Authorizing Resolution in lieu of a letter of support from the state as **Attachment 9** of their application. These resolutions should authorize the inclusion of the tribe or tribal organization and its membership within the application.

For additional eligibility information, see Section [C. Eligibility Information](#).

Deadline

Applicants must register with [Grants.gov](#) prior to submitting an application. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 31, 2016.

All applicants are encouraged to read this [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#).

For additional information, see [How to Apply](#) in [Section D: Application and Submission Information](#).

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via email to support@grants.gov. The [Grants.gov](#) Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants who experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must email the BJA contact

identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the [How to Apply](#) section.

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at <https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

For assistance with SAMHSA program-specific requirements of this solicitation, contact Jon Berg, Public Health Advisor, at 240-276-1609 or by email to Jon.Berg@samhsa.hhs.gov.

For assistance with financial/fiscal SAMHSA-specific requirements of this solicitation, contact Eileen Bermudez, Grants Management Team Lead at 240-276-1412 or by email to FOACSAT@samhsa.hhs.gov.

Grants.Gov number assigned to announcement: BJA-2016-8988

Release date: March 31, 2016

Contents

A. Program Description	5
Overview	5
Program-Specific Information	6
Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables	7
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices	8
B. Federal Award Information	15
Type of Award	16
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	16
Budget Information	16
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement	17
Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals.....	17
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	17
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	18
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable).....	18
C. Eligibility Information	19
Limit on Number of Application Submissions	19
D. Application and Submission Information.....	19
What an Application Should Include	19
How to Apply	31
E. Application Review Information	34
Selection Criteria	34
Review Process	38
F. Federal Award Administration Information	39
Federal Award Notices	39
Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements.....	39
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements	40
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s).....	40
H. Other Information.....	41
Provide Feedback to OJP	41
Application Checklist	42
Appendix A: Tribal Healing to Wellness Court Key Components	44
Appendix B: Veterans Treatment Court 10 Key Components	46
Appendix C: The Guiding Principles of DWI Courts.....	48

Joint Adult Drug Court Solicitation to Enhance Services, Coordination, and Treatment

CFDA #s 16.585 (BJA) and 93.243 (SAMHSA)

A. Program Description

Overview

BJA and SAMHSA are accepting applications for fiscal year (FY) 2016 grants to enhance court services, coordination, and evidence-based substance use disorder treatment and recovery support services of adult drug courts. The purpose of this joint initiative is to allow applicants to submit a comprehensive strategy for enhancing drug court services and substance use disorder treatment. Through this solicitation, applicants are competing for two grant awards (a grant from SAMHSA and a separate grant from BJA) for both criminal justice and substance use disorder treatment funds with one application. BJA also supports courts that integrate the National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP) adult drug court standards into existing drug court services. A detailed description of the NADCP standards can be found below. In order to fulfill all of the requirements for this grant program, applicants should comply with the requirements outlined in this announcement as well as those incorporated by reference in the Requirements Resource Guide. These grants are authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 3797u, et seq., and section 509 of the Public Health Service Act, as amended. This announcement addresses Healthy People 2020 Substance Abuse Topic Area HP 2020-SA and SAMHSA's Strategic Initiative on Trauma and Justice.

Drug courts funded through this grant may use federal funding and matched funding to serve only nonviolent offenders¹ and must operate the adult drug court based on BJA's and the National Association of Drug Court Professionals' publication [Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components](#), which addresses the statutory requirements.

This opportunity provides drug court applicants the flexibility to identify the most appropriate evidence-based court (service/docket) model on which to base the drug court, in order to accommodate the needs and available resources of that jurisdiction, so long as the model conforms to the 10 key drug court components (see page 10 of this solicitation), which describe the basic elements that define drug courts.

¹ Programs funded through this solicitation may not, with grant funding or matched funding, serve violent offenders. As defined in 42 U.S.C. 3797u-2, a "violent offender" means a person who—(1) is charged with or convicted of an offense that is punishable by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, during the course of which offense or conduct— (A) the person carried, possessed, or used a firearm or dangerous weapon; (B) there occurred the death of or serious bodily injury to any person; or (C) there occurred the use of force against the person of another, without regard to whether any of the circumstances described in subparagraph (A) or (B) is an element of the offense or conduct of which or for which the person is charged or convicted; or (2) has 1 or more prior convictions for a felony crime of violence involving the use or attempted use of force against a person with the intent to cause death or serious bodily harm. A BJA Adult Drug Court Grant Program-funded drug court may, at its own discretion and after taking a valid assessment of risk into consideration, choose to provide services to an offender that is otherwise excluded from this program if the grantee is using non-federal (including match) funding to provide the services to that offender. BJA strongly encourages the use of valid risk assessment instruments and consideration of public safety needs in this local decisionmaking process.

NOTE: In addition to this announcement, BJA is offering its stand-alone drug court solicitation titled “Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program FY 2016 Competitive Grant Announcement,” which provides financial and technical assistance to states, state courts, local courts, units of local government, and Indian tribal governments to develop and implement drug treatment courts that effectively integrate substance use disorder treatment, mandatory drug testing, sanctions and incentives, and transitional services in a judicially supervised court setting with jurisdiction over nonviolent, offenders with substance use disorders.

SAMHSA is also offering a stand-alone grant program titled “Grants to Expand Substance Abuse Treatment Capacity in Adult Treatment Drug Courts and Adult Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts” (TI-16-009). This program provides funding to expand and/or enhance substance use disorder treatment services in existing adult problem solving courts, and adult Tribal Healing to Wellness courts, which use the treatment drug court model in order to provide alcohol and drug treatment (including recovery support services, screening, assessment, case management, and program coordination) to defendants/offenders. Grantees will be expected to provide a coordinated, multi-system approach designed to combine the sanctioning power of treatment drug courts with effective substance use disorder treatment services to break the cycle of criminal behavior, alcohol and/or drug use, and incarceration or other penalties. Priority for the use of the funding should be given to addressing gaps in the continuum of treatment for those individuals in these courts who have substance use disorders and co-occurring substance use and mental disorder (COD) treatment needs. Grant funds must be used to serve people diagnosed with a substance use disorder as their primary condition. SAMHSA will use discretion in allocating funding for these awards, taking into consideration the specific drug court models (Adult Treatment Drug Courts and Adult Tribal Healing to Wellness Court models), as appropriate, and the number of applications received per model type.

Applicants may submit an application in response to one or all three grant solicitations. However, neither SAMHSA nor BJA will make more than one award for the same proposed drug court project to the same jurisdiction/court (e.g., if an applicant receives an award under this solicitation, that applicant would not receive an award under BJA’s Adult Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program or SAMHSA’s Grants to Expand Substance Abuse Treatment Capacity in Adult Treatment Drug Courts and Adult Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts). Furthermore, both SAMHSA and BJA may consider geographic distribution when making funding decisions. The aforementioned drug court grant solicitations may be found on BJA’s website at www.bja.gov/funding.aspx#1, and SAMHSA’s website at <http://www.samhsa.gov/grants/grant-announcements-2016>.

Program-Specific Information

Background Information

Drug courts are part of the larger universe of problem-solving courts, and have been demonstrated (where implemented in an evidence-based manner) to reduce recidivism and substance use among high-risk substance-using participants and increase their likelihood of successful rehabilitation through:

- early, continuous, and intense treatment;
- close judicial supervision and involvement (including judicial interaction with participants and frequent status hearings);
- mandatory and random drug testing;
- community supervision;
- appropriate incentives and sanctions; and

- recovery support services.

BJA and SAMHSA provide drug court applicants the flexibility to identify the most appropriate adult court (service/docket) model on which to base the drug court in order to accommodate the needs and available resources of that jurisdiction, so long as the model conforms to the key drug court components and evidence-based principles and practices for substance use disorder treatment, which describe the basic elements that define drug courts. NADCP's newly released drug court standards provide specific evidence-based practices, policies and programs that when implemented, ensure conformity with the 10 key components.

For the purposes of this solicitation, an "adult drug court" is a court program managed by a multidisciplinary team that responds to the needs of participants who have a substance use disorder (SUD). Drug courts funded through this grant solicitation may use federal funding and matched funding to serve only nonviolent offenders and must operate the adult drug court based on the publication [Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components](#).

For [Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts](#), [Veterans Treatment Courts](#), and [DWI Courts](#), program designs must also function in accordance with the corresponding key components or evidence-based principles as included in Appendices A-C of this solicitation.

The National Drug Court Resource Center (NDCRC), available at www.NDCRC.org, is a BJA-funded resource for the drug court field. This website serves as a clearinghouse for drug court training, technical assistance, publications, funding resources, and other practitioner-specific resources. Applicants are encouraged to visit this site for information.

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables

The overall goal of the Joint Adult Drug Court Program is to equip courts and court systems with the necessary tools and resources utilizing the most current evidence-based practices and principles to intervene with substance-using participants while preparing citizens for successful community reintegration. To accomplish this goal, these grant funds will be awarded to build and/or expand drug court capacity at the state, local, and tribal levels to reduce crime and substance use disorders among high-risk, high-need participants.

Program objectives include:

- Assisting courts that utilize evidence-based practices and principles, which are incorporated into the NADCP drug court standards;
- Reducing obstacles to successful recovery, including the provision of legal assistance for civil infractions;
- Building and maximizing the capacity of jurisdictions to ensure that all potential participants are identified and assessed for risk and need, as well as co-occurring substance use and mental disorders;
- Ensuring that all participants receive targeted evidence-based services;
- Enhancing the provision of recovery support services that prevent recidivism (e.g., individualized treatment, child care, vocational, educational, transportation services, community reintegration services, etc.);
- Lowering costs associated with the population of focus;
- Supporting strategies that ensure drug court practitioners have tools to effectively manage these interventions, including data collection and analysis, training, and technical assistance; and
- Tracking and improving drug court performance.

The intended outcomes from these goals and objectives should result in reductions in recidivism and substance use disorders among the population of focus while increasing an offender's likelihood of successful reintegration into the community.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. The [OJP CrimeSolutions.gov](http://www.ojp.gov/crimesolutions) website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

Applicants can also find information on evidence-based treatment practices in the SAMHSA Guide to Evidence-Based Practices (EBP) available at www.samhsa.gov/ebpwebguide. The guide provides a short description and a link to dozens of websites with relevant EBP information—either specific interventions or comprehensive reviews of research findings. Note that SAMHSA's Guide also references the [National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices](http://www.nrepp.org) (NREPP), a searchable database of interventions for the prevention and treatment of mental and substance use disorders. NREPP is intended to serve as a decision support tool, not as an authoritative list of effective interventions. Being included in NREPP, or in any other resource listed in the guide, does not mean an intervention is “recommended” or that it has been demonstrated to achieve positive results in all circumstances.

Adult Drug Court Standards and 10 Key Components

Over the past three decades, hundreds of evaluations of drug courts have been conducted that have demonstrated effectiveness, as well as five meta-analyses of study findings, making drug courts one of the most rigorously tested and evaluated programs in the criminal justice field. Over the past several years, the NADCP identified 10 best practice standards² for adult drug courts. These standards are based on the expansive body of research spanning nearly 20 years that represents best practices in addiction, pharmacology, behavioral health treatment, and criminal justice, that, if integrated into practice, will optimize drug court operations. In support of this optimization of drug courts, BJA and SAMHSA strongly encourage applicants, and particularly applications proposing to enhance existing drug courts, to design their proposed programs with

² Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, Volume 1. National Association of Drug Court Professionals. Allrise.org. <http://www.allrise.org/sites/default/files/nadcp/AdultDrugCourtBestPracticeStandards.pdf> (accessed December 18, 2015).

Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, Volume 2. National Association of Drug Court Professionals. NDCRC.org. http://www.ndcrc.org/sites/default/files/adult_drug_court_best_practice_standards_volume_ii.pdf (accessed December 18, 2015).

the intention of moving toward the full incorporation of NADCP'S newly adopted standards, which represent the most current evidence-based principles and practices.

Adult Drug Court Standards

Standard 1 Target Population

Eligibility and exclusion criteria for the Drug Court are predicated on empirical evidence indicating which types of offenders can be treated safely and effectively in Drug Courts. Candidates are evaluated for admission to the Drug Court using evidence-based assessment tools and procedures.

Standard 2 Historically Disadvantaged Groups

Citizens who have historically experienced sustained discrimination or reduced social opportunities because of their race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, sexual identity, physical or mental disability, religion, or socioeconomic status receive the same opportunities as other citizens to participate and succeed in the Drug Court.

Standard 3 Roles and Responsibility of the Judge

The Drug Court judge stays abreast of current law and research on best practices in Drug Courts, participates regularly in team meetings, interacts frequently and respectfully with participants, and gives due consideration to the input of other team members.

Standard 4 Incentives, Sanctions, and Therapeutic Adjustments

Consequences for participants' behavior are predictable, fair, consistent, and administered in accordance with evidence-based principles of effective behavior modification.

Standard 5 Substance Abuse Treatment

Participants receive substance use disorder treatment based on a standardized assessment of their treatment needs. Substance use disorder treatment is not provided to reward desired behaviors, punish infractions, or serve other non-clinically indicated goals. Treatment providers are trained and supervised to deliver a continuum of evidence-based interventions that are documented in treatment manuals.

Standard 6 Complementary Treatment and Social Services

Participants receive complementary treatment and social services for conditions that co-occur with substance use disorders and are likely to interfere with their compliance in Drug Court, increase criminal recidivism, or diminish treatment gains.

Standard 7 Drug and Alcohol Testing

Drug and alcohol testing provides an accurate, timely, and comprehensive assessment of unauthorized substance use throughout participants' enrollment in the Drug Court.

Standard 8 Multidisciplinary Team

A dedicated multidisciplinary team of professionals manages the day-to-day operations of the Drug Court, including reviewing participant progress during pre-court staff meetings and status hearings, contributing observations and recommendations within team members' respective areas of expertise, and delivering or overseeing the delivery of legal, treatment and supervision services.

Standard 9 Census and Caseloads

The Drug Court serves as many eligible individuals as practicable while maintaining continuous fidelity to best practice standards.

Standard 10 Monitoring and Evaluation

The Drug Court routinely monitors its adherence to best practice standards and employs scientifically valid and reliable procedures to evaluate its effectiveness.

The standards represent the cumulative body of the most current evidence-based practices available to drug courts to effectively operationalize the drug court 10 key components listed below. A detailed video presentation of NADCP's drug court standards can be accessed at www.nadcp.org/Standards.

Adult Drug Court 10 Key Components

Key Component 1: Drug courts integrate alcohol and other drug treatment services with justice system case processing.

Key Component 2: Using a non-adversarial approach, prosecution and defense counsel promote public safety while protecting participants' due process rights.

Key Component 3: Eligible participants are identified early and promptly placed in the drug court program.

Key Component 4: Drug courts provide access to a continuum of alcohol, drug, and other related treatment and rehabilitation services.

Key Component 5: Abstinence is monitored by frequent alcohol and other drug testing.

Key Component 6: A coordinated strategy governs drug court responses to participants' compliance.

Key Component 7: Ongoing judicial interaction with each drug court participant is essential.

Key Component 8: Monitoring and evaluation measure the achievement of program goals and gauge effectiveness.

Key Component 9: Continuing interdisciplinary education promotes effective drug court planning, implementation, and operations.

Key Component 10: Forging partnerships among drug courts, public agencies, and community-based organizations generates local support and enhances drug court program effectiveness.

For additional information:

- [Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts: The Key Components](#)
- [Justice for Vets: The Ten Key Components of Veterans Treatment Court](#)
- [NCDC: National Center for DWI Courts](#)

Note: For additional information related to the drug court research supporting the evidence-based program principles and key components, visit the Evidence-Based Practice section of: www.ndcrc.org/content/grant-solicitation-references. Here you can also find a cross walk of the historical 10 Key Components to the 10 Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards.

Medication-Assisted Treatment

Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) is an evidence-based substance use disorder treatment protocol. BJA and SAMHSA support the right of individuals to have access to FDA-approved medications under the care and prescription of a physician. BJA and SAMHSA recognize that not all communities have access to MAT due to a lack of physicians who are able to prescribe and oversee clients using anti-alcohol and opioid medications. This will not preclude the applicant from applying, but where and when available, BJA and SAMHSA support the client's right to access MAT. This right extends to participation as a client in a BJA/SAMHSA-funded drug court.

Applicants must affirm, in the Statement of Assurance (Requirements Resource Guide), that the treatment drug court(s) for which funds are sought will not deny any eligible client for the treatment drug court access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved medications for the treatment of substance use disorders (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine products including buprenorphine/naloxone combination formulations and buprenorphine mono-product formulations, naltrexone products including extended-release and oral formulations, disulfiram, and acamprosate calcium). Specifically, methadone treatment rendered in accordance with current federal and state methadone dispensing regulations from an Opioid Treatment Program and ordered by a physician who has evaluated the client and determined that methadone is an appropriate medication treatment for the individual's opioid use disorder must be permitted. Similarly, medications available by prescription must be permitted unless the judge determines the following conditions have not been met:

- the client is receiving those medications as part of treatment for a diagnosed substance use disorder,
- a licensed clinician, acting within their scope of practice, has examined the client and determined that the medication is an appropriate treatment for their substance use disorder,
- the medication was appropriately authorized through prescription by a licensed prescriber.

In all cases, MAT must be permitted to be continued for as long as the prescriber determines that the medication is clinically beneficial. Grantees must assure that a drug court client will not be compelled to no longer use MAT as part of the conditions of the drug court if such a mandate is inconsistent with a licensed prescriber's recommendation or valid prescription.

Under no circumstances may a drug court judge, other judicial official, correctional supervision officer, or any other staff connected to the identified drug court deny the use of these medications when made available to the client under the care of a properly authorized physician and pursuant to regulations within an Opioid Treatment Program or through a valid prescription and under the conditions described above. A judge, however, retains judicial discretion to mitigate/reduce the risk of abuse, misuse, or diversion of these medications. See the Requirements Resource Guide for more information.

SAMHSA's services grants are intended to fund services or practices that have a demonstrated evidence base and that are appropriate for the population(s) of focus. An evidence-based practice (EBP) refers to approaches to prevention or treatment that are validated by some form of documented research evidence. In the project narrative, applicants will need to:

- Identify the EBP(s) you propose to implement for the specific population(s) of focus;
- If you are proposing to use more than one EBP, provide a justification for doing so and clearly identify which service modality and population of focus each practice will support; and

- Discuss the population(s) for which the practice(s) has (have) been shown to be effective and show that it (they) is (are) appropriate for your population(s) of focus.

SAMHSA recognizes that EBPs have not been developed for all populations and/or service settings. See the Requirements Resource Guide for Using Evidence-Based Practices.

[Note: See the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) for Funding Restrictions regarding allowable costs for EBPs.]

A priority consideration will be given to applications that propose designs and strategies that are consistent with each of the above-referenced 10 key components (page 10) AND identify which state-specific NADCP Best Practice Standard (page 9) the applicant is seeking to implement and how this implementation will occur.

BJA Drug Court Enhancement Component (BJA Grant Funds):

Enhancement grants are available to jurisdictions with a fully operational adult drug court (to be eligible, you must have been operating for at least 1 year as of September 30, 2016). Applicants are encouraged to request funding in their proposals to incorporate the evidence-based program principles included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, to specify which Standard(s) is/are addressed in the application, and include in the program design details on how the Standard(s) will be implemented.

Additionally:

Applicants may propose to use funding for court operations and services; offender supervision, management, and services; provision and coordination of recovery support services including education, civil legal assistance, job training and placement, housing placement assistance, primary and behavioral health care, and childcare and other supportive services.

Allowable use of funds include:

1. Scale up the drug court program capacity to better meet the actual number of eligible high-risk/high-need justice-involved persons in the jurisdiction. Applicants should provide data to support their request.
2. Enhance court operations, including training programs for drug court practitioners, drug court program evaluations, performance management system implementation, and automated management information system implementation.
3. Expand or enhance court services in areas such as case management, including drug testing, case management, and community supervision.
4. Improve the quality and/or intensity of services based on needs assessments; for instance, funding may be used for enhancing treatment services (including cognitive behavioral therapy), enrollment in and access to health care coverage, education, vocational training, job training and placement, housing placement assistance, and childcare or other family support services for each participant who requires such services.

For examples of drug court services allowable under this program, see the Requirements Resource Guide.

SAMHSA Substance Use Disorder Treatment Component (SAMHSA Grant Funds):

SAMHSA funding is intended to fund treatment services or practices that have a demonstrated evidence base and that are appropriate for the drug court population. Applicants should discuss the evidence base for their proposed service selection in the program narrative. **For more information on EBPs, see “Using Evidence-Based Practices” in the Requirements Resource Guide.**

Applicants are required to enhance and/or expand substance use disorder treatment and recovery support services. **For information on allowable substance use disorder treatment and recovery support services, see the Requirements Resource Guide.**

Service Enhancement: An applicant may propose to improve **the quality and/or intensity of substance use disorder treatment services and recovery support services**, for instance, by adding state-of-the-art treatment approaches, or adding a new service to address emerging trends or unmet needs. For example, a substance use disorder treatment project may propose to add a co-occurring substance use and mental disorders treatment intervention to the current treatment protocol for a population being served by the program.

Service Expansion: An applicant may also propose to **increase access and availability of services to a larger number of clients**. Applicants may propose to increase the number of clients receiving services as a result of the award. For example, if a drug court currently serves 50 persons per year and has a waiting list of 50 persons (but no funding to serve these persons), the applicant may propose to expand service capacity to be able to admit some or all of those persons on the waiting list.

To demonstrate that a comprehensive service system is in place, the substance use disorder treatment providers for the drug court must provide letters of commitment which outline the services to be provided, including the level and intensity of resources committed. These documents must be provided as **Attachment 6** of the application.

Applicants must screen and assess clients for the presence of co-occurring substance use and mental disorders and use the information obtained from the screening and assessment to develop appropriate treatment approaches for the persons identified as having such co-occurring disorders.

In alignment with the goals of SAMHSA’s Trauma and Justice Strategic Initiative, this program aims to reduce the pervasive, harmful, and costly health impact of violence and trauma by integrating trauma-informed approaches throughout health, behavioral health, and related systems.

In order to address the prevalent issue of trauma histories in offender populations, applicants must demonstrate how staff will be trained in trauma-informed practices and how these practices will be integrated into the clinical treatment and recovery services provided for the drug court clients. For more information on trauma and trauma-informed practices, go to the National Center for Trauma-Informed Care at www.samhsa.gov/nctic/.

Applicants must demonstrate that they have developed linkages with community-based substance use disorder treatment organizations with experience in providing services to the population of focus. **Examples of possible community linkages are provided in the Requirements Resource Guide.**

Recognizing that Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) may be an important part of a comprehensive treatment plan, SAMHSA Treatment Drug Court grantees are encouraged to use **up to 20 percent** of the annual grant award to pay for FDA-approved medications (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine products including buprenorphine/naloxone combination formulations and buprenorphine mono-product formulations, naltrexone products including extended-release and oral formulations, disulfiram, and acamprosate calcium) when the client has no other source of funds to do so.

Grantees are encouraged to provide HIV rapid preliminary antibody testing as part of their treatment regimen. Grantees providing HIV testing must do so in accordance with state and local requirements. **Up to 5 percent** of SAMHSA grant funds may be used for HIV rapid testing. (Note: Grant funds may be used to purchase such services from another provider.) All clients who have a preliminary positive HIV test result must be administered a confirmatory HIV test result. Post award, grantees must develop a plan for medical case management of all clients who have a preliminary positive HIV and confirmatory HIV test result.

All clients who are considered to be at risk for viral hepatitis (B and C) as specified by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) recommendations for hepatitis B (CDC, 2008)³ and hepatitis C (CDC, 1998)⁴ must be tested for viral hepatitis (B and C) in accordance with state and local requirements, either onsite or through referral. **Up to \$5,000** of SAMHSA grant funds (when no other funds are available) may be used for viral hepatitis (B and C) testing, including purchase of test kits and other required supplies (e.g., gloves, biohazardous waste containers, etc.) and training for staff related to viral hepatitis (B and C) testing. Grantees must report all positive viral hepatitis test results to the local and state health department, as appropriate.

Applicants must provide a plan for providing referrals to viral hepatitis testing (if applicable), and to treatment for all clients testing positive for viral hepatitis (B or C), and provide memoranda of agreement demonstrating that they have linkages with appropriate treatment providers in **Attachment 6** of their application.

SAMHSA strongly encourages all grantees to provide a tobacco-free workplace and to promote abstinence from all tobacco products (except in regard to accepted tribal traditions and practices).

Grantees must utilize third party and other revenue realized from provision of services to the extent possible and use SAMHSA grant funds only for services to individuals who are not covered by public or commercial health insurance programs, individuals for whom coverage has been formally determined to be unaffordable, or for services that are not sufficiently covered by an individual's health insurance plan. Grantees are also expected to facilitate the health insurance application and enrollment process for eligible uninsured clients. Grantees should also consider other systems from which a potential service recipient may be eligible for services (for example, the Veterans Administration or senior services) if appropriate for and desired by that individual to meet his/her needs. In addition, grantees are required to implement policies and procedures that ensure other sources of funding are utilized first when available for that individual.

³ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for identification and public health management of persons with chronic hepatitis b virus infection. MMWR 2008; 57(No. RR-8): 1-39. www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5708a1.htm.

⁴ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis c virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. MMWR 1998; 57(No. RR-19): 1-20. www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/HCV/GuidelinesC.htm.

SAMHSA encourages all grantees to address the behavioral health needs of returning veterans and their families in designing and developing their programs and to consider prioritizing this population for services, where appropriate. SAMHSA will encourage its grantees to utilize and provide technical assistance regarding locally-customized web portals that assist veterans and their families with finding behavioral health treatment and support.

This jointly funded grant program seeks to address behavioral health disparities among racial and ethnic minorities by encouraging the implementation of strategies to decrease the differences in access, service use, and outcomes among the racial and ethnic minority populations served. If your application is funded, you will be expected to develop a behavioral health disparities impact statement no later than 60 days after your award. In this statement you must propose: (1) the number of individuals to be served during the grant period and identify subpopulations (i.e., racial, ethnic, sexual, and gender minority groups) vulnerable to behavioral health disparities; (2) a quality improvement plan for the use of program data on access, use, and outcomes to support efforts to decrease the differences in access to, use, and outcomes of service activities; and (3) methods for the development of policies and procedures to ensure adherence to the National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) in Health and Health Care. More information about behavioral health disparities is available in the Requirements Resource Guide: [Addressing Behavioral Health Disparities](#).

Applicants who do not meet the requirements of both BJA and SAMHSA will not be granted an award.

B. Federal Award Information

BJA estimates that it will make up to 10 awards of up to \$400,000 for an estimated total of \$4 million for a 36-month project period, beginning on October 1, 2016.

Amount and Length of Awards

	BJA Funding	SAMHSA Funding
Anticipated Total Available Funding:	\$4,000,000	\$3,250,000
Estimated Number of Awards:	10	10
Estimated Award Amount:	Up to \$400,000 as a <u>one-time award</u> for the entire 3-year grant period	Up to \$325,000 per year for each year of the 3-year grant period
Cost Sharing/Match Required:	Yes. See Requirements Resource Guide for more information on BJA match requirements	No
Project Period:	Up to 3 years	Up to 3 years

Proposed SAMHSA budgets cannot exceed \$325,000 in total costs (direct and indirect) in any year of the proposed project and cannot exceed \$975,000 for the total 3 years. Annual SAMHSA continuation awards will depend on the availability of funds, grantee progress in meeting project goals and objectives, timely submission of required data and reports, and compliance with all terms and conditions of award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award⁵

BJA and SAMHSA expect that they will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a grant.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity⁶) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework,” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- (b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.
- (c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s) compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified, including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (or any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training available [here](#).

Budget Information

If awarded, grantees will receive two separate grant awards. Applicants must provide **two separate budgets** (i.e., a budget for the requested BJA grant funds and a budget for the requested SAMHSA grant funds). Additionally, if awarded, grantees must have a system in place to track both the BJA grant and SAMHSA grant fund expenditures separately.

⁵ See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements [a type of grant]).

⁶ For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), “pass-through entity” includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.

BJA Grant Budget Requirements:

Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

(a portion of the match must be cash and the remainder can be in-kind)

Federal funds awarded under this program may not cover more than 75 percent of the total costs of the project being funded. The applicant must identify the source of the 25 percent non-federal portion of the total project costs and how match funds will be used. If a successful applicant's proposed match exceeds the required match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit. (Match is restricted to the same uses of funds as allowed for the federal funds.) Applicants may satisfy this match requirement with any portion of cash and the remainder can be in-kind funds. See the [Financial Guide](#) for definitions and examples of in-kind funding. The formula for calculating the match is:

$$\frac{\text{Federal Award Amount}}{\text{Federal Share Percentage}} = \text{Adjusted (Total) Project Costs}$$

$$\text{Required Recipient's Share Percentage} \times \text{Adjusted Project Cost} = \text{Required Match}$$

Example: 75%/25% match requirement: for a federal award amount of \$400,000, match would be calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\$400,000}{75\%} = \$533,333 \quad 25\% \times \$533,333 = \$133,333 \text{ match}$$

Applicants wishing to exceed the 25 percent match amount should reflect the amount above 25 percent in the program narrative section only. The budget detail should distinguish cash from in-kind matched funds using an asterisk to show what percentage of the budget is cash. (Refer to the Financial Guide at <http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>.)

Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, pre-agreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee's approved budget, and under applicable cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP's consideration as pre-agreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the [Financial Guide](#), for more information. SAMHSA will not consider pre-agreement costs for any reason.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, federal funds may not be used to pay total cash compensation (salary plus bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES

Performance Appraisal System for that year.⁷ The 2016 salary table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management [website](#). Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a higher rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.) For employees who charge only a portion of their time to an award, the allowable amount to be charged is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General (AAG) for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of the application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual's salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages applicants who propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully – before submitting an application – the OJP policy and guidance on “conference” approval, planning, and reporting available at www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits by individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under “Solicitation Requirements” in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

SAMHSA Grant Budget Requirements:

- No match is required for the SAMHSA budget.
- Applicants must provide a per-unit cost for this program. One approach might be to provide a per-person or unit cost of the project to be implemented. This figure can be calculated by: 1) taking the total cost of the project over the lifetime of the grant and subtracting 20 percent for data and performance assessment; and 2) dividing this number by the total

⁷ OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. 200.

unduplicated number of persons to be served. Another approach might be to calculate a per-person or unit cost based upon your organization's history of providing a particular service(s). This might entail dividing the organization's annual expenditures on a particular service(s) by the total number of persons/families who received that service during the year. Another approach might be to deliver a cost per outcome achieved. Justify that this per-unit cost is providing high quality services that are cost effective. Describe your plan for maintaining and/or improving the provision of high quality services that are cost effective throughout the life of the grant.

- Applicants must include key staff positions (e.g., Project Director and Clinical Supervisor) in the budget. If the Project Director is a contractor/consultant, the applicant must include a copy of the contract or proposed contract with the application.
- SAMHSA's Sample Budget and Justification format must be used. A sample budget and justification is included in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

For a comprehensive list of SAMHSA requirements and funding restrictions, see the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

C. Eligibility Information

For additional eligibility information, see title page.

For additional information on cost sharing or matching requirements, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](#).

Limit on Number of Application Submissions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see [How to Apply](#).

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that BJA and SAMHSA have designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, BJA and SAMHSA have designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, BJA Budget Detail Worksheet, BJA Budget Narrative, SAMHSA Budget and Narrative. The BJA Budget Detail Worksheet and BJA Budget Narrative should be combined in one document and must contain **both** narrative and detail information. The SAMHSA Budget and Narrative should also be combined and uploaded as a separate attachment.

BJA and SAMHSA strongly recommend use of appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "BJA Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative," "SAMHSA Budget and Narrative," "Time Task Plan," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. OJP

recommends that résumés be included in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424, which should reflect only the BJA funding request, is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre- applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable). **NOTE: An SF-424 must be completed for SAMHSA funding and should be included in Attachment 4. SAMHSA will not make an award to a for-profit entity.** See the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) for further information.

Intergovernmental Review: This funding opportunity (program) **is not** subject to [Executive Order 12372](#). (In completing the SF-424, applicants are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 to indicate that the "Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.")

2. Abstract and Program Narrative (Attachment 1)

Only **one** program narrative should be submitted. The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and should address the [Selection Criteria](#) (1-4) listed below in the order given. The program narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 30 pages. Number pages "1 of 30" "2 of 30," etc.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, noncompliance may be considered in peer review and in final award decisions.

Abstract:

The first page of the program narrative must include an abstract. This page does not count toward the 30-page limit for the program narrative. Your total abstract must not be longer than 35 lines.

The abstract should include the project name, population to be served, strategies/interventions proposed, project goals and measurable objectives, including the number of people to be served annually and throughout the lifetime of the project, etc. In the first five lines or less of the abstract, write a summary of the project that can be used, if the project is funded, in publications, reporting to Congress, or press releases. A sample abstract can be found in the Application Information section of the [Requirements Resource Guide](#). The abstract should affirm which of the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards will be addressed in the application, noting the page numbers where each item is discussed in the application.

Note: In the abstract, applicants must provide the target goal (number) of people to whom they expect to provide services under this project during the grant award period. Applicants should identify the number of clients that will be served with SAMHSA funding and the number of clients that will be served with BJA funding. This number will serve as the target number, and BJA and SAMHSA will measure the applicant against these target numbers, if the applicant is selected to receive an award. Applicants should briefly describe the target population and how this population will mirror the arrestee population, including the risk and need level of participants, how criminogenic risk and treatment need will be screened and assessed, and the jurisdiction's access to MAT.

Program Narrative:

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

1. Statement of the Problem
2. Project Design and Implementation
3. Capabilities and Competencies
4. Evaluation, Aftercare, Sustainability, and Plan for Collecting the Performance Measurement Data

There will be two primary types of data reporting required by BJA and SAMHSA, which includes biannual reports to SAMHSA, quarterly reports to BJA, and Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) (Public Law 103-62) reporting requirements. The biannual report to SAMHSA must provide an overview of the goals and objectives of the grant as proposed in the application and the progress made in achieving these measures.

NOTE: BJA and SAMHSA do not require applicants to submit performance measure data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that BJA and SAMHSA will require grantees to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

BJA Performance Measures:

To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111-352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. Post award, recipients will be required to submit quarterly performance metrics through BJA's online Performance Measurement Tool (PMT), located at www.bjaperformancetools.org. Applicants should review the complete list of BJA Adult Drug Court Program performance measures at: www.bjaperformancetools.org/help/EnhancementDrugCourtMeasures.pdf.

BJA does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that BJA will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

Note on Project Evaluations

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute "research." Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and

evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge” 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the “Research and the Protection of Human Subjects” section of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm) web page at <http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm>. Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that web page.

SAMHSA Data Collection and Performance Measurement Requirements:

All SAMHSA grantees are required to collect and report certain data so that SAMHSA can meet its obligations under the Government Performance and Results (GPRA) Modernization Act of 2010. Applicants must document their ability to collect and report the required data in Selection Criteria #4 “Evaluation, Aftercare, Sustainability, and Plan for Collecting the Performance Measurement Data” in the program narrative section of the application.

In addition to demographic data (gender, age, race, and ethnicity) on all clients served, grantees will be required to report performance on the following GPRA performance measures: abstinence from use, housing status, employment status, criminal justice system involvement, access to services, retention in services, and social connectedness. This information will be gathered using a uniform data collection tool provided by SAMHSA. Grantees will be required to submit data via SAMHSA’s data-entry and reporting system; access will be provided upon award. An example of the type of data collection tool required can be found at <http://www.samhsa-gpra.samhsa.gov> (click ‘Click Here to Enter SAIS’, then click on ‘Data Collection Tools/Instructions’, and then click ‘Services’), along with instructions for completing it. Data will be collected via a face-to-face interview using this tool at three data collection points: intake to services, six months post intake, and at discharge. Grantees will be expected to do a GPRA interview on all clients in their specified unduplicated target number, and are also expected to achieve a six-month follow-up rate of 80 percent.

Grantees and subawardees will be provided training on the system and its requirements post award.

The collection of these data will enable SAMHSA to report on key outcome measures relating to the grant program. In addition to these outcomes, data collected by grantees will be used to demonstrate how SAMHSA’s grant programs are reducing disparities in access, service use, and outcomes nationwide.

Performance data will be reported to the public, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and Congress as part of SAMHSA’s budget request.

Note: Applicants are required to identify the number of clients that will be served annually and over the entire 3-year project period. The number of clients identified by the applicant is the number of clients that will require GPRA intake, 6 months post-intake, and discharge as noted above. For more information see “Proposed Number of Service Recipients-Guidelines and Definitions” in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

SAMHSA Local Performance Assessment Requirements:

Grantees must periodically review the performance data they report to SAMHSA (as required above) and assess their progress and use this information to improve

management of their grant projects. The assessment should be designed to help grantees determine whether they are achieving the goals, objectives, and outcomes they intend to achieve and whether adjustments need to be made to their project. Performance assessments also should be used to determine whether the project is having /will have the intended impact on behavioral health disparities. Grantees will be required to report on their progress achieved, barriers encountered, and efforts to overcome these barriers in a performance assessment report to be submitted at least annually.

At a minimum, the performance assessment should include the required performance measures identified above. Grantees may also consider outcome and process questions, such as the following:

Outcome Questions:

- What was the effect of the intervention on key outcome goals?
- What program/contextual/cultural/linguistic factors were associated with outcomes?
- What individual factors were associated with outcomes, including race/ethnicity/sexual orientation/gender identity?
- How durable were the effects?
- Was the intervention effective in maintaining the project outcomes at 6-month follow-up?

As appropriate, describe how the data, including outcome data, will be analyzed by racial/ethnic group or other demographic factors to assure that appropriate populations are being served and that disparities in services and outcomes are minimized.

Process Questions:

- How closely did implementation match the plan?
- What types of changes were made to the originally proposed plan?
- What types of changes were made to address disparities in access, service use, and outcomes across subpopulations, including the use of the National CLAS standards?
- What led to the changes in the original plan?
- What effect did the changes have on the planned intervention and performance assessment?
- Who provided (program staff) what services (modality, type, intensity, duration), to whom (individual characteristics), in what context (system, community), and at what cost (facilities, personnel, dollars)?
- What strategies were used to maintain fidelity to the EBP or intervention across providers over time?

The performance assessment report should be a component of, or an attachment to, the biannual progress report due in October of each grant year.

Up to 20 percent of the total SAMHSA grant award may be used for data collection, performance measurement, and performance assessment, including incentives for participating in the required data collection follow-up.

3. Two Budgets: BJA Budget Detail Worksheet and Narrative AND SAMHSA Budget and Narrative (Attachment 2)

Applicants must provide **TWO** separate budgets (Selection Criteria 5) which clearly show the use for BJA funds and SAMHSA funds. If awarded, the grantee will receive two separate awards (BJA award and SAMHSA award) and will be responsible for tracking the resources separately.

- a. BJA Budget with a required match must clearly delineate the uses for BJA funds (not to exceed \$400,000). An example for the BJA budget can be found in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) (Sample BJA Drug Court Funding Request Budget).
- b. SAMHSA Budget with no match must clearly show the use for the requested SAMHSA funds (not to exceed \$325,000 per year for up to 3 years for a total not to exceed \$975,000). An example for the SAMSHA budget can be found in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) (SAMHSA Sample Budget and Justification - no match required).

Note: The Sample Budgets for BJA and SAMHSA differ. Applicants should complete the budgets using the correct template located in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

Required Grantee Meetings

Grantees must plan to attend an annual grantee meeting in each year of the grant. It is anticipated that during the 3-year grant period, grantees will alternate between physical, onsite grantee meetings and “virtual” grantee meetings. FY 2017 is slated as for a “virtual” grantee meeting and FY 2018 as an in-person grantee meeting. Applicants should plan to send a drug court team consisting of the judge, project director, clinical director, evaluator, and a representative of the prosecutor’s office and the defense bar to at least one grantee meeting in each year of the grant (up to five staff). For onsite grantee meetings, applicants must include a detailed budget and narrative for this travel in their budget. At these meetings, grantees will present the results of their projects and federal staff will provide technical assistance. Each onsite grantee meeting will be 3 days. These meetings are usually held in the Washington, D.C., area and attendance is mandatory. Grantee meetings may coincide with other national drug court conferences. Applicants are encouraged to consider travel, conference registration fees, and per diem costs for other such conferences in their budgets.

BJA Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative and Indirect Cost Rate Agreement:

a. BJA Budget Detail Worksheet

A sample budget detail worksheet can be found at <http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf>. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide at <http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>.

The budget must indicate how the 75 percent BJA federal funds and the 25 percent match will be allocated in the overall budget.

b. BJA Budget Narrative

The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to show the total amount requested over the life of the grant, to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary) for project activities.

Applicants should demonstrate in their Budget Narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a Budget Narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. Applicants should identify the source of the 25 percent non-federal portion of the total project costs and how match funds will be used. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format.

c. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold

If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at \$150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the [Financial Guide](#).

d. Pre-Agreement Cost Approvals

For information on pre-agreement costs, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](#).

e. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:

- (a) The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The applicant is eligible to use and elects to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the “Glossary of Terms” in the [Financial Guide](#). For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the Customer Service Center at 800-458-0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at <http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf>.

In order to use the “de minimis” indirect rate, attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both the applicant’s eligibility (to use the “de minimis” rate) and its election. If the applicant elects the “de minimis” method, costs must be consistently charged as either

indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally approved indirect cost rate.⁸

SAMHSA Budget and Narrative and Indirect Cost Rate Agreement:

a. SAMHSA Budget and Narrative

Applicants must provide a narrative justification of the items included in their proposed budget, as well as a description of existing resources and other support they expect to receive for the proposed project. Reference the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) for a sample of the SAMHSA budget and narrative as well as SAMHSA funding restrictions.

b. SAMHSA Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

SAMHSA will not accept a “research” indirect cost rate. The grantee must use the “other sponsored program rate” or the lowest rate available.

4. Additional Attachments

a. Project Timeline, Résumés, and Key Staff (Attachment 3)

Applicants must include a realistic timeline for the project, résumés, and job descriptions for proposed staff and identify key staff and level of effort. For more information, refer to the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

b. SAMHSA Application Forms (Attachment 4)

For SAMHSA Substance Abuse Treatment funding, applicants must go to the SAMHSA website (<http://www.samhsa.gov/grants/grant-announcements-2016>) and click on TI-16-005 to download the required documents needed to apply for a SAMHSA grant.

Complete and include in Attachment 4 the following forms:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424);
- Budget Information – Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A);
- Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form;
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities; and
- Checklist.

Applications that do not include these required forms will be screened out and will not be reviewed.

You also will need to include the following forms to complete your application:

- Assurances – Non-Construction Programs;
- Certifications;

⁸ See 2 C.F.R. § 200.414(f).

- Charitable Choice Form SMA 170; and
- Examples of behavioral health disparities impact statement.

Be sure to check the SAMHSA website periodically for any updates on this program.

c. SAMHSA Confidentiality and Participant Protection (Attachment 5)

Because of the confidential nature of the work in which many SAMHSA grantees are involved, it is important to have safeguards protecting individuals from risks associated with their participation in SAMHSA projects. Applicants must describe procedures relating to Confidentiality, Participant Protection, and the Protection of Human Subjects Regulations in Attachment 5 of their application (see “Confidentiality and SAMHSA Participant Protection” in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#)). Problems with confidentiality, participant protection, and the protection of human subjects identified during peer review of the application must be resolved prior to funding.

d. Evidence of Experience and Credentials for Substance Treatment Provider(s) and Letters of Commitment (Attachment 6)

Applicants must include the following as Attachment 6 of the application:

(1) Identification of at least one experienced, licensed mental health/substance use disorder treatment provider organization;

(2) a list of all direct service provider organizations that have agreed to participate in the proposed project, including the applicant agency, if it is a treatment or prevention service provider organization;

(3) letters of commitment from these direct service provider organizations;

(4) the Statement of Assurance (provided in the [Requirements Resource Guide](#)) signed by the authorized representative of the applicant organization identified on the first page (SF-424) of the application, that assures SAMHSA that all listed providers **a)** meet the 2-year experience requirement, are appropriately licensed, accredited and certified, and that if the application is within the funding range for an award, the applicant will send the GPO the required documentation within the specified time; and **b)** applicants must affirm that the treatment drug court(s) for which funds are sought will not: 1) deny any appropriate and eligible client for the treatment drug court access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved MAT medications (e.g., methadone, injectable naltrexone, non-injectable naltrexone, disulfiram, acamprosate calcium, buprenorphine) that is in accordance with an appropriately authorized physician's prescription; and 2) mandate that a drug court client no longer use MAT as part of the conditions of the drug court if such a mandate is inconsistent with a physician's recommendation or prescription.

For more information on Evidence of Experience and Credentials for Substance Treatment Provider(s) and Letters of Commitment/Formal Contractual Agreements, see the [Requirements Resource Guide](#).

e. State Substance Abuse Agency Director, or Designee Letter (Attachment 7)

Nontribal applicants must include a letter from the SSA Director or designated representative that they support the application and confirm that the proposal conforms to the framework of the State Strategy of Substance Abuse Treatment. **A listing of the SSAs can be found on SAMHSA’s website at <http://www.samhsa.gov/grants/applying/forms->**

[resources](#). This requirement does not pertain to Indian government applicants. See below for information about the Tribal Authorizing Resolution requirement.

- f. **Chief Justice, State Court Administrator or Designee Letter (Attachment 8)**
Nontribal applicants must include a letter from the Chief Justice of the state's highest court, the State Court Administrator, or a designee (for example the state drug or problem solving court coordinator) describing how the proposed application would enhance the statewide efforts related to problem-solving courts. A listing of the state drug and problem-solving court coordinators can be found on BJA's website at www.bja.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?Program_ID=58. This requirement does not pertain to Indian government applicants. See below for information about the Tribal Authorizing Resolution requirement.

5. **Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable, Attachment 9)**

Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands must include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, then the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services/assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

Applicants unable to submit with the application a fully-executed (i.e., signed) copy of appropriate legal documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe's governance structure, should, at minimum, submit an unsigned, draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except in cases where, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, use of and access to funds will be contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

6. **Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (Attachment 10)**

Applicants must disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure must include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will be sub-awarding federal funds).

OJP and SAMHSA seek this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants who have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency

- The solicitation name/project name
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Project Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency
DOJ/COPS	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
HHS/ Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services Administration	Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202/000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.”

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

7. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal’s other merits, in order to receive funds the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation objectivity and integrity, both in the proposal and as it may relate to the applicant’s other current or prior related projects. This documentation must be included as an attachment to the application which addresses BOTH i. and ii. below.

- i. For purposes of this solicitation, applicants must document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
 - a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed the proposal to identify any research integrity issues (including all principal investigators and subrecipients) and has concluded that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by BJA grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of part of staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization;

OR

- b. A specific listing of actual or perceived conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified in relation to this proposal. These conflicts may be either personal (related to

specific staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients) or organizational (related to the applicant or any subgrantee organization). Examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include, but are not limited to, those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse's work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization may not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), as the organization in such an instance would appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.

- ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation applicants must address the issue of possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
 - a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Applicants **MUST** also include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

OR

- b. If the applicant has identified specific personal or organizational conflicts of interest in its proposal during this review, the applicant must propose a specific and robust mitigation plan to address conflicts noted above. At a minimum, the plan must include specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will include, but are not limited to, the adequacy of the applicant's efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant's existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

8. Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status

Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high risk by another federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the following information to OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov at the time of application submission:

- The federal agency that currently designated the applicant as high risk
- Date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that federal agency
- Reasons for the high risk status

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award. Disclosing this high risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

9. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire

In accordance with Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.205, federal agencies must have in place a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, **all** applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this [form](#).

10. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

All applicants must complete this information. Applicants who expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants who do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

How to Apply

Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants who experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606-545-5035**, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks** for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

BJA and SAMHSA strongly encourage all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email [notifications](#) regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Browser Information: Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

Note on Attachments. Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below.

Characters	Special Characters		
Upper case (A – Z)	Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Lower case (a – z)	Ampersand (&)	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Underscore (_)	Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe (‘)
Hyphen (-)	At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Space	Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)
Period (.)	When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the “&#amp;” format.		

Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the applicant organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the federal awarding agency is ready to make a federal award, the federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. Complete the registration form at <https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister> to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2, and 4.

- 1. Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** In general, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.

- 2. Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must **update or renew their SAM registration annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization's DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations, go to www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html>.
- 4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is CFDA #16.585 titled "Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program," and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2016-8988.
- 6. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges applicants to submit applications **at least 72 hours prior** to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 31, 2016.

Click [here](#) for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

Note: Duplicate Applications

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA and SAMJSA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under [How To Apply](#).

Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

Applicants who experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov [Customer Support Hotline](#) or the [SAM Help Desk](#) (Federal Service Desk) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must then email the BJA contact identified in the Contact Information section **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note: BJA does not automatically approve requests.** After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time. (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, including firewalls, browser incompatibility, etc.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page at <http://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>.

E. Application Review Information

Selection Criteria

The following five selection criteria will be used to evaluate each application, with the different weight given to each based on the percentage value listed below after each individual criterion. For example, the first criterion, "Statement of the Problem," is worth 15 percent of the entire application in the review process.

1. Statement of the Problem (15 percent)

- Describe the immediate issues that the proposed project seeks to address and the proposed enhancements and/or expansion that will address these issues. Applicants are encouraged to include in their proposals requests for funding to incorporate the evidence-based program principles included in the NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards, and to specify which Standard(s) will be addressed and how the Standard(s) will be implemented.

- Describe the current operation (pre-/post-plea, etc.) of the adult drug court, addressing program structure; program length; population of focus; screening and assessment; recovery support services delivery plan; judicial supervision; community supervision; drug testing; case management; incentives and sanctions; substance use disorder treatment; restitution; costs charged to program participants; and program success data. Identify the treatment service(s)/practice(s) available for drug court participants.
- Provide local data and any evaluation findings that demonstrate the program's impact with regard to offender and community outcomes. Describe a mechanism for targeting offenders facing substantial jail/prison sentences and providing specific drug court resources and services to meet their needs.
- Provide a comprehensive demographic profile of your population of focus in terms of race, ethnicity, federally recognized tribe (if applicable), language, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, and socioeconomic status.
- Describe the nature of the problem, including service gaps, and document the extent of the need (i.e., current prevalence rates or incidence data) for the population(s) of focus identified in your response to the previous bullet. To the extent available, use local data to describe need and service gaps, supplemented with state and/or national data. Identify the source of the data.
- Provide the target goal (unduplicated number) of individuals to whom services will be provided under this project during the grant award period. Applicants must identify the number of clients that will be served with SAMHSA funding and the number of clients that will be served with BJA funding. This number will serve as the target number that BJA and SAMHSA will measure the applicant against, if the applicant is selected to receive an award.
- Discuss the differences in access, service use, and outcomes for your population of focus in comparison with the general population in the local service area, citing relevant data.
- Describe how the proposed project will improve these disparities in access, service use, and outcomes.
- Identify the Medication Assisted Treatment service(s)/practice(s) available for drug court participants and how those services are currently monitored for quality and effectiveness.

2. Project Design and Implementation (30 percent)

Within this section, all applicants should address the following three items and then address their specific category requirements below.

- 1) Describe how eligible drug court participants will promptly enter the drug court program following a determination of their eligibility. Drug court programs that require an initial period of incarceration are ineligible unless the period of incarceration is mandated by statute for the offense in question. In such instances, the applicant must demonstrate the offender receives treatment services while incarcerated if available and begins drug court treatment services immediately upon release.
 - 2) The Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program authorizing statute requires participant payments for treatment and restitution; however, it does not allow imposing a fee on a client that would interfere with the client's rehabilitation. Describe how your program will determine that these costs would not interfere with a client's rehabilitation or graduation.
 - 3) Demonstrate that the drug court for which funds are being sought will not deny any eligible client access to the program because of their use of FDA-approved medications for the treatment of substance use disorders. Please refer to page 11 for additional information.
- Clearly state the purpose, goals, and objectives of the proposed enhancement and/or

expansion project linking it to the 10 key components of drug courts (Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components) and NADCP Adult Drug Court Best Practice Standards. Describe how achievement of the goals will produce meaningful and relevant results for your community (e.g., increase drug court participation; court services; court coordination; necessary recovery support services; and treatment access, availability, outreach, pre-services, treatment, and/or intervention).

- Describe the EBP(s) that will be used and justify its/their use for your population of focus, your proposed program, and the intent of this grant announcement. Explain how each EBP chosen is appropriate for the outcome you want to achieve. [See Requirements Resource Guide: Using Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs).]
- If an EBP does not exist/apply for your program, fully describe the practice you plan to implement, explain why it is appropriate for the population of focus, and justify its use compared to an appropriate existing EBP. Explain how your choice of an EBP or practice will help you address disparities in service access, use, and outcomes for your population(s) of focus.
- If applicable, describe any modifications that will be made to the EBP or practice and the reasons the modifications are necessary.
- Explain how you will monitor the delivery of the EBPs to ensure that they are implemented according to the EBP guidelines.
- Demonstrate how the proposed service(s)/practice(s) will meet project goals and objectives within the 3-year grant period.
- Describe how the proposed service(s) or practice(s) to be implemented will address the impact of violence and trauma by integrating trauma-informed approaches delivered to clients.
- Describe how you will screen for high-risk/high-need clients, including the tool you will use to assess clients for the presence of co-occurring substance use and mental disorders and use the information obtained from the screening and assessment to develop appropriate treatment approaches for the persons identified as having such co-occurring disorders.
- Describe how you will identify, recruit, and retain the population(s) of focus. Discuss how the proposed approach to identify, recruit, and retain the population(s) of focus considers the language, beliefs, norms, values and socioeconomic factors of this/these population(s).
- Describe how you will ensure the input of clients in assessing, planning, and implementing your project.
- Describe how the proposed activities will adhere to the National Standards for Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) in Health and Health Care (go to <http://ThinkCulturalHealth.hhs.gov>). Select one element of each of the CLAS Standards: 1) Governance, Leadership and Workforce; 2) Communication and Language Assistance; and 3) Engagement, Continuous Improvement, and Accountability, and specifically describe how these activities will address each element you selected.
- Provide a chart or graph depicting a realistic time line for the entire project period showing key activities, milestones, and responsible staff. These key activities should include the requirements outlined in the solicitation. Be sure to show that the project can be implemented and service delivery can begin as soon as possible and no later than 4 months after grant award. (Note: The time line should be placed in Attachment 3).
- If you plan to use grant funds for infrastructure development, describe the infrastructure changes you plan to implement and how they will enhance/improve access, service use, and outcomes for the population of focus. If you do not plan to use grant funds for infrastructure development, indicate this in your response.

3. Capabilities and Competencies (25 percent)

- Describe how the project components will be embedded within the existing service delivery

system, including other SAMHSA- and OJP-funded projects, if applicable. Identify any other organization(s) that will partner in the proposed project in a significant way. Describe their specific roles and responsibilities and demonstrate their commitment to the project. Include letters of commitment **in Attachment 6** of the application.

- Discuss the capability and experience of the applicant organization with similar projects and populations. Demonstrate that the applicant organization has linkages to the population(s) of focus and ties to grassroots/community-based organizations that are rooted in the culture(s) and language(s) of the population(s) of focus.
- Discuss the capability and experience of other partnering organizations with similar projects and populations. Demonstrate that other partnering organizations have linkages to the population(s) of focus and ties to grassroots/community-based organizations that are rooted in the culture(s) and language(s) of the population(s) of focus. If you are not partnering with any other organizations, indicate so in your response.
- Show that the necessary groundwork (e.g., planning, consensus development, development of memoranda of agreement, identification of potential facilities) has been completed or is near completion so that the project can be implemented and substance use disorder treatment service delivery can begin as soon as possible and no later than 4 months after grant award.
- Provide a complete list of staff positions for the project, including the Project Director and other key personnel, describing their roles, responsibilities, their level of effort and qualifications. Include in **Attachment 3** the résumés for key staff positions, including the Project Director and Clinical Director/Supervisor.
- Discuss how key staff have demonstrated experience and are qualified to serve the population(s) of focus and are familiar with their culture(s) and language(s). If key staff are to be hired, discuss the credentials and experience the new staff must possess to work effectively with the population of focus.

4. Evaluation, Aftercare, Sustainability, and Plan for Collecting the Performance Measurement Data (15 percent)

- Describe the applicant's ability to collect and analyze client-level demographics, performance and outcome data, and your ability to conduct regular assessments of program service delivery and performance as described in the evidence-based program principles described in this solicitation. Indicate your willingness and ability to report aggregated client-level performance and outcome data through the Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) and identify the person responsible for collecting the data.
- Provide a plan detailing how performance of court operations will be evaluated and managed. Describe the proposed screening and referral process which ensures that offenders screened and referred to drug court mirror the jurisdiction's substance use disorder arrestee percentages.
- Describe the process for ongoing review of the expected program capacity as compared to the actual program capacity.
- Describe how operation and enhancement efforts will be maintained after federal funding ends, and how current collaborations and evaluations will be used to leverage ongoing resources.
- Provide a client community reintegration or aftercare strategy as well as a sustainability plan detailing how court operations will be maintained after federal funding ends.
- Document your ability to collect and report on the required performance measures as described in the solicitation. Describe your specific plan for data collection, management, analysis, and reporting. Specify and justify any additional measures or instruments planned to be used for your grant project.
- Describe the quality improvement process that will be used to track whether your

performance measures and objectives are being met, and how any necessary adjustments to the implementation of the project will be made.

- Describe your plan for conducting the local performance assessment as specified in this solicitation and document your ability to conduct the assessment.

5. Budget (15 percent)

- Applicants must provide two proposed budgets, one for requested SAMHSA funding (up to \$325,000 per year for each year, not to exceed a total of \$975,000 over 3 years) and one for BJA funding (up to \$400,000 total for the 3-year grant period). Both budgets must be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget Narratives should generally demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.⁹ Reference the [Requirements Resource Guide](#) for a complete list of allowable costs and examples of the BJA budget and narrative and the SAMHSA budget and narrative.

In the SAMHSA budget, applicants must provide a per-unit cost for this program. Justify that this per-unit cost is providing high quality services that are cost effective. Describe your plan for maintaining and/or improving the provision of high quality services that are cost effective throughout the life of the grant.

Review Process

OJP and SAMHSA are committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. BJA and SAMHSA review the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP and SAMHSA screen applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP and SAMHSA grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible applicant
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable)
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation
- Applications must include all items designated as “critical elements”
- Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management (SAM)

For a list of critical elements, see “What an Application Should Include” under Section D. Application and Submission Information.

BJA and SAMHSA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess whether applications meet basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation’s selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ or HHS employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ or HHS employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation.

⁹ Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers' ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA, OJP, or SAMHSA awards, and available funding.

OJP and SAMHSA review applications for discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:

1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide
3. History of performance
4. Reports and findings from audits
5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients
6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final grant award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General and the Administrator of SAMHSA, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, peer review ratings, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding when making awards.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices

OJP sends award notifications by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date (by September 30, 2016). Recipients will be required to log in; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The award acceptance process involves physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-executed award document to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ or other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements **prior** to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its [Solicitation Requirements](#) page of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds, as each details legal requirements with which applicants must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#) and are strongly encouraged to review and consider them carefully prior to making an application for OJP grant funds.

- [Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements](#)
- [Standard Assurances](#)

Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements¹⁰ with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements which may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via the [Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions](#) page of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements

Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports to SAMHSA and BJA, quarterly progress reports to BJA, biannual progress reports to SAMHSA, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Special Reporting requirements may be required by OJP depending on the statutory, legislative, or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see title page.

¹⁰ See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 [FFATA]).

H. Other Information

Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

IMPORTANT: This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are **not** sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, **you must** directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to ojpperreview@lmsolas.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your résumé. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.

Application Checklist

FY 2016 Joint Adult Drug Court Solicitation to Enhance Services, Coordination, and Treatment

The application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application. Please note that the items indicated with an asterisk (*) below have been designated as the basic minimum requirements for both categories of applications. Applications that do not include these elements will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA or SAMHSA.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:

_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 32)

_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 33)

To Register with Grants.gov:

_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 33)

_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 33)

To Find Funding Opportunity:

_____ Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 33)

_____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 33)

_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email [notifications](#) (optional) (see page 31)

_____ Read [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#)

_____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm

After application submission, receive Grants.gov email notifications that:

_____ (1) application has been received,

_____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 33)

If no Grants.gov receipt, and validation or error notifications are received:

_____ contact the NCJRS Response Center regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 34)

General Requirements:

_____ Review the [Solicitation Requirements](#) in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Scope Requirement:

The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit:

_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) (see page 15)

BJA: a one-time award up to \$400,000 (**match is required**) per grantee for the entire 3-year grant period

SAMHSA: annual awards, up to \$325,000 per year for each year of the 3-year grant period. Annual SAMHSA continuation awards will depend on the availability of funds, grantee progress in meeting project goals and objectives, timely submission of required data and reports, and compliance with all terms and conditions of award.

Eligibility Requirement

_____ Applicants are limited to states or state courts applying on behalf of single local jurisdiction, local court, county, other unit of local government, or Indian tribal governments (as defined under the Indian Self Determination Act, 25 U.S.C. 450b(e)); Indian tribal governments may apply directly or through other public or not-for-profit private entities. Eligible jurisdictions must have a fully operational (at least 1 year) adult drug court (see title page).

What an Application Should Include:

- _____ *Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see [Requirements Resource Guide](#)) – **please note that the SF-424 should reflect only the BJA funding request.**
- _____ *A separate SF-424 that reflects only the SAMHSA funding request will be included in Attachment 4.
- _____ *Attachment 1: Abstract and Program Narrative, including an abstract (see page 20)
- _____ *Attachment 2 (see page 24)
- _____ ***BJA Budget Worksheet and Budget Narrative:**
- _____ Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 17)
- _____ Read OJP policy and guidance on “conference” approval, planning, and reporting available at www.ojp.gov/funding/confcost.htm (see page 18)
- _____ Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 25)
- _____ ***SAMHSA Budget Worksheet and Budget Narrative:**
- _____ Attachment 3: Project Timeline, Résumés and Key/Instrumental Staff (see page 26)
- _____ Attachment 4: SAMHSA Application Forms (see page 26)
- _____ Attachment 5: Confidentiality and SAMHSA Participant Protection (see page 27)
- _____ *Attachment 6: Evidence of Experience and Credentials for Substance Treatment Provider(s) and Letters of Commitment (see page 27)
- _____ *Attachment 7: State Substance Abuse Agency Director or Designee Letter (see page 27) **(required for nontribal applicants)**
- _____ *Attachment 8: Chief Justice, State Court Administrator or Designee Letter and/or Letter Certifying Abstract Sent to State Drug or Problem Solving Court Coordinator (see page 28) **(required for nontribal applicants)**
- _____ Attachment 9: Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 28)
- _____ Attachment 10: Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 28)
- _____ Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status (see page 31)
- _____ Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (if applicable) (see page 31)
- _____ Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (see page 31)

*These elements are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA or SAMHSA.

Appendix A: Tribal Healing to Wellness Court Key Components

Key Component #1: Tribal Healing to Wellness Courts brings together community-healing resources with the tribal justice process, using a team approach to achieve the physical and spiritual healing of the participant and the well-being of the community.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Treatment and Other Services**—The applicant should maintain program resources that: address drug court participant needs identified over time; accommodate the range of treatment and other rehabilitation services required; and apply case management beyond initial referral to confirm that providers appropriately deliver ongoing assessment and services.*

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Relapse Prevention, Aftercare and Community Integration**—From the first program phase, the applicant should demonstrate how culturally sensitive planning and other programming will be implemented to support relapse prevention, community integration, and aftercare/continuing care services.*

Key Component #2: Participants enter the wellness court program through various referral points and legal procedures while protecting their due process rights.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Screening and Assessment**—Referral sources and other stakeholders should be clear on program eligibility criteria, which must be consistent with targeted population needs and available program resources. Applicants should demonstrate an ability to screen promptly and systematically for all offenders potentially eligible for the drug court, identify the agency which will conduct this screening, and detail the procedures that will be used for screening.*

The applicant should further demonstrate how those offenders determined to be eligible for the drug court as a result of screening will then be assessed to identify their risk for relapse and recidivism, as well as the nature of treatment and other rehabilitation needs. Assessments should be conducted using instruments that have been validated for the targeted population and updated periodically. Treatment and other service assessments should be reviewed and adjusted to gauge offender needs that may change over time.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Target Population**—Program resources should be prioritized for offenders who demonstrate both high criminogenic risk and high substance use disorder treatment need. Applicants should aim to serve offenders whose characteristics and risk factors directly relate to a high probability of offending, and who are frequent drug users diagnosed for drug dependence. Also, applicants should target offenders who are subject to (or eligible for) legal sanctions that may provide greater leverage in program compliance.*

Key Component #3: Eligible substance use disorder offenders are identified early through legal and clinical screening for eligibility and are promptly placed in the Tribal Healing to Wellness Program.

Key Component #4: Tribal Healing to Wellness Programs provides access to holistic, structured and phased substance use disorder treatment and rehabilitation services that incorporate culture and tradition.

Key Component #5: Participants are monitored through intensive supervision that includes frequent and random testing for alcohol and other substance use.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Monitoring**—The applicant should demonstrate a comprehensive plan to: monitor drug court participants using random drug testing and community supervision; disseminate results efficiently to the drug court team; and immediately respond to noncompliance according to established program requirements.*

Key Component #6: Progressive consequences (or sanctions) and rewards (or incentives) are used to encourage participant compliance with program requirements.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Procedural and Distributive Justice**—Applicants should establish and clearly communicate a system of graduated sanctions and incentives that is activated and delivered with certainty in response to offender behavior. Information from the drug court team and the offender should be considered in determining noncompliance and the appropriate response. Specific program responses should be meaningful to the offenders, understandable, and delivered in a manner that can be perceived as fair and equitable.*

Key Component #7: Ongoing judicial interaction with each participant and judicial involvement in team staffing is essential.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Judicial Interaction**—Judges should interact directly and regularly with drug court participants during drug court hearings, which should be as frequent as the participant may require. As the program leader, the judge will maintain authority by demonstrating support for the program and knowledge of individual offenders. Communication between the participant and the judge should be based on a foundation of respect, and judges must maintain an understanding of program resources available to assess and respond to participant behavior.*

Key Component #8: Monitoring and evaluation measure the achievement of program goals and gauge effectiveness to meet three purposes: providing information to improve the Healing to Wellness process; overseeing participant progress; and preparing evaluative information for interested community groups and funding sources.

Key Component #9: Continuing interdisciplinary education promotes effective wellness court planning, implementation, and operation.

Key Component #10: The development of ongoing communication, coordination, and cooperation among team members, the community and relevant organizations are critical for program success.

Appendix B: Veterans Treatment Court 10 Key Components

Key Component #1: Veterans Treatment Court integrate alcohol, drug treatment, and mental health services with justice system case processing.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Treatment and Other Services**—The applicant should maintain program resources that: address drug court participant needs identified over time; accommodate the range of treatment and other rehabilitation services required; and apply case management beyond initial referral to confirm that providers appropriately deliver ongoing assessment and services.*

Key Component #2: Using a non-adversarial approach, prosecution and defense counsel promote public safety while protecting participants' due process rights.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Procedural and Distributive Justice**—Applicants should establish and clearly communicate a system of graduated sanctions and incentives that is activated and delivered with certainty in response to offender behavior. Information from the drug court team and the offender should be considered in determining noncompliance and the appropriate response. Specific program responses should be meaningful to the offenders, understandable, and delivered in a manner that can be perceived as fair and equitable.*

Key Component #3: Eligible participants are identified early and promptly placed in the Veterans Treatment Court program.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Screening and Assessment**—Referral sources and other stakeholders should be clear on program eligibility criteria, which must be consistent with targeted population needs and available program resources. Applicants should demonstrate an ability to screen promptly and systematically for all offenders potentially eligible for the drug court, identify the agency which will conduct this screening, and detail the procedures that will be used for screening.*

The applicant should further demonstrate how those offenders determined to be eligible for the drug court as a result of screening will then be assessed to identify their risk for relapse and recidivism, as well as the nature of treatment and other rehabilitation needs. Assessments should be conducted using instruments that have been validated for the targeted population and updated periodically. Treatment and other service assessments should be reviewed and adjusted to gauge offender needs that may change over time.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Target Population**—Program resources should be prioritized for offenders who demonstrate both high criminogenic risk and high substance use disorder treatment need. Applicants should aim to serve offenders whose characteristics and risk factors directly relate to a high probability of offending, and who are frequent drug users diagnosed for drug dependence. Also, applicants should target offenders who are subject to (or eligible for) legal sanctions that may provide greater leverage in program compliance.*

Key Component #4: Veterans Treatment Court provide access to a continuum of alcohol, drug, mental health and other related treatment and rehabilitation services.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Relapse Prevention, Aftercare and Community Integration**—From the first program phase, the applicant should demonstrate how culturally sensitive planning and other programming will be implemented to support relapse prevention, community integration, and aftercare/continuing care services.*

Key Component #5: Abstinence is monitored by frequent alcohol and other drug testing.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Monitoring**—The applicant should demonstrate a comprehensive plan to: monitor drug court participants using random drug testing and community supervision; disseminate results efficiently to the drug court team; and immediately respond to noncompliance according to established program requirements.*

Key Component #6: A coordinated strategy governs Veterans Treatment Court responses to participants' compliance.

Key Component #7: Ongoing judicial interaction with each veteran is essential.

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Judicial Interaction**—Judges should interact directly and regularly with drug court participants during drug court hearings, which should be as frequent as the participant may require. As the program leader, the judge will maintain authority by demonstrating support for the program and knowledge of individual offenders. Communication between the participant and the judge should be based on a foundation of respect, and judges must maintain an understanding of program resources available to assess and respond to participant behavior.*

Key Component #8: Monitoring and evaluation measure the achievement of program goals and gauge effectiveness.

Key Component #9: Continuing interdisciplinary education promotes effective Veterans Treatment Court planning, implementation, and operations.

Key Component #10: Forging partnerships among Veterans Treatment Court, Veterans Administration, public agencies, and community-based organizations generates local support and enhances Veteran Treatment Court effectiveness.

Appendix C: The Guiding Principles of DWI Courts

Guiding Principle #1: Determine the Population

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Target Population**—Program resources should be prioritized for offenders who demonstrate both high criminogenic risk and high substance use disorder treatment need. Applicants should aim to serve offenders whose characteristics and risk factors directly relate to a high probability of offending, and who are frequent drug users diagnosed for drug dependence. Also, applicants should target offenders who are subject to (or eligible for) legal sanctions that may provide greater leverage in program compliance.*

Guiding Principle #2: Perform a Clinical Assessment

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Screening and Assessment**—Referral sources and other stakeholders should be clear on program eligibility criteria, which must be consistent with targeted population needs and available program resources. Applicants should demonstrate an ability to screen promptly and systematically for all offenders potentially eligible for the drug court, identify the agency which will conduct this screening, and detail the procedures that will be used for screening.*

The applicant should further demonstrate how those offenders determined to be eligible for the drug court as a result of screening will then be assessed to identify their risk for relapse and recidivism, as well as the nature of treatment and other rehabilitation needs. Assessments should be conducted using instruments that have been validated for the targeted population and updated periodically. Treatment and other service assessments should be reviewed and adjusted to gauge offender needs that may change over time.

Guiding Principle #3: Develop the Treatment Plan

Guiding Principle #4: Supervise the Offender

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Monitoring**—The applicant should demonstrate a comprehensive plan to: monitor drug court participants using random drug testing and community supervision; disseminate results efficiently to the drug court team; and immediately respond to noncompliance according to established program requirements.*

Guiding Principle #5: Forge Agency, Organization, and Community Partnerships

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Relapse Prevention, Aftercare and Community Integration**—From the first program phase, the applicant should demonstrate how culturally sensitive planning and other programming will be implemented to support relapse prevention, community integration, and aftercare/continuing care services.*

Guiding Principle #6: Take a Judicial Leadership Role

*(Corresponding evidence-based program principle) **Procedural and Distributive Justice**—Applicants should establish and clearly communicate a system of graduated sanctions and incentives that is activated and delivered with certainty in response to offender behavior.*

Information from the drug court team and the offender should be considered in determining noncompliance and the appropriate response. Specific program responses should be meaningful to the offenders, understandable, and delivered in a manner that can be perceived as fair and equitable.

Guiding Principle #7: Develop Case Management Strategies

Guiding Principle #8: Address Transportation Issues

Guiding Principle #9: Evaluate the Program

Guiding Principle #10: Ensure a Sustainable Program