The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) is seeking applications for funding under the Law Enforcement National Initiatives: Improving Responses to Criminal Justice Issues Program. This program furthers the Department's mission by assisting state and local jurisdictions in improving victim and witness cooperation, preventing and reducing crime by improving law enforcement investigations, and bringing criminal justice practitioners together to discuss and explore issues and trends in the criminal justice community.

Law Enforcement National Initiatives: Improving Responses to Criminal Justice Issues
FY 2017 Competitive Grant
Applications Due: March 7, 2017

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are limited to for-profit (commercial) organizations, nonprofit organizations (including tribal nonprofit and for-profit organizations), and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education) that support national initiatives to improve the functioning of the criminal justice systems. For-profit organizations (as well as other recipients) must forgo any profit or management fee. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit an application that shows partnerships with key organizations in order to build strong working relationships with national-level organizations in the criminal justice field.

BJA welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed subrecipients ("subgrantees").¹ The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the awards, including administering the funding and managing the entire project. Under this solicitation, only one application by any particular applicant will be considered. An entity may, however, be proposed as a subrecipient ("subgrantee") in more than one application.

BJA may elect to fund applications submitted under this FY 2017 solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

¹ For additional information on subawards, see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under Section D, Application and Submission Information.
Deadline

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on March 7, 2017.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see How to Apply in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via e-mail to support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline in order to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the How to Apply section.

For assistance with any unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond an applicant’s control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline, or any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this solicitation: BJA-2017-11443

Release date: January 18, 2017
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Law Enforcement National Initiatives: Improving Responses to Criminal Justice Issues

(CFDA #16.738)

A. Program Description

Overview
The FY 2017 Law Enforcement National Initiatives: Improving Responses to Criminal Justice Issues Competitive Grant Announcement focuses on national initiatives to improve the functioning of the criminal justice system, specifically by (1) providing training to selected law enforcement to develop effective communication strategies; (2) continuing the National Center for Campus Public Safety, which was established in FY 2013; and (3) providing training and technical assistance (TTA) to law enforcement on performance management to improve trust and accountability with communities through the CompStat process.

Statutory Authority: This program is typically funded under the annual Department of Justice appropriation to “enhance prosecutorial decision-making” and potentially includes funds set aside for training and technical assistance programs. Any awards under this solicitation would be made under statutory authority provided by a full-year appropriations act for FY 2017. As of the writing of this solicitation, the Department of Justice is operating under a short-term "Continuing Resolution;" no full-year appropriation for the Department has been enacted for FY 2017.

Program-Specific Information
This BJA-administered program helps improve criminal justice systems by supporting funding for national program efforts such as TTA to address the needs of state and local justice systems and communities. The FY 2017 program will focus on funding efforts in three major national TTA categories:

- Improving communication strategies for law enforcement
- Improving safety on college and university campuses
- Improving community trust and accountability through CompStat

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables
Under this solicitation, BJA seeks to improve and elevate the functioning of the criminal justice system by assisting state and local jurisdictions and tribal governments to ensure the fair administration of justice in the criminal justice system. This is achieved by focusing on TTA for law enforcement agencies, including, but not limited to, sheriffs’ offices, police departments, prosecutors, and campus public safety officials.

TTA providers may be required to participate in BJA’s GrantStat. Through GrantStat, BJA management and staff examine the performance of the grant programs funded by BJA by tracking grantee or program performance along several key indicators. GrantStat calls for the collection and analysis of performance data and other relevant grant-level information that enables BJA as well as our TTA partners to be held accountable for the grantee’s and
program’s performance as measured against the program’s goals and objectives. In addition, the TTA provider will be required to assist grantees in the collection of performance measure data, working in collaboration with the local research partners.

Recent national events have highlighted the need for law enforcement agencies to develop effective communication strategies that foster the delivery of effective messaging while also engaging the community. This project will provide both training and targeted technical assistance to a number of specific law enforcement agencies whose communities are experiencing a precipitous increase in crime. Through this project, the selected TTA provider will work directly with those identified agencies within their respective jurisdictions to improve the functioning of their media and communications departments with the goal of improving community relations, building trust, providing timely information, and improving witness participation in an effort to reduce crime. The TTA provider will work with the identified departments to do the following:

1. Conduct an assessment of current media strategies and communications operations to provide recommendations for improvement.
2. Conduct an assessment of the training received by staff responsible for communication as well as command staff and leadership.
3. Provide training and technical assistance to communication staff and command staff / office leadership on how to strategically communicate with the media and the public.
4. Develop new modes of communication and communication strategies to reach the community.
5. Work with local law enforcement agencies (police departments, sheriffs’ offices, prosecutors, etc.) within the same jurisdiction to develop consistency in messaging and provide the public with a more comprehensive information in order to increase transparency and therefore build trust in the justice system.
6. Based on lessons learned, develop guidelines on how law enforcement agencies can improve upon their communications in the future.
7. Convene a 2-day meeting of the law enforcement agencies at the conclusion of the project and develop a written guide outlining lessons learned.
8. Provide any additional ad hoc tasks/deliverables requested or deemed necessary by BJA to address related topics. The awardee may set aside a conservative funding amount to be used for these activities or may be required to coordinate with BJA and receive approval to re-allocate funding/deliverables.

Category 2: Ensuring Safe and Secure Campuses – Continuation of the National Center for Campus Public Safety. Competition ID: BJA-2017-12421
The National Center for Campus Public Safety (National Center) was created through a congressional appropriation in FY 2013 to support the field, foster collaboration, facilitate information sharing, and support policy development, model practices, operations, and research that will enhance the safety of the nation’s institutions of higher education. The National Center is a resource for campus police chiefs, directors of public safety, emergency managers, and key campus safety stakeholders. The National Center is also a catalyst that brings together all forms of campus public safety, professional associations, advocacy organizations, community leaders, and others to improve and expand services to those who are charged with providing a safe environment on the campuses of the nation’s colleges and universities.
Since the National Center opened its doors in 2014, it has held numerous **Emerging Issues Forums** to discuss campus public safety and emergency management challenges and identify potential solutions on topics such as **Reclaiming the Spirit of the Clery Act, Institutionalizing the Clery Act, Policing Off-Campus Communities, Emerging Issues in Managing International Programs, The Effects of Marijuana Legalization and Decriminalization on Campus Safety, and A National Conversation on Police and Community Interactions on HBCU Campuses**, among others. The National Center has also disseminated toolkits, guidebooks, news articles, professional development opportunities, and other resources to public safety and emergency management professionals on a wide variety of topics such as sexual assault and domestic violence, behavioral threat assessment, Clery and Title IX, emergency management, and community relations. Resources are provided on the National Center's website. Last, the National Center has implemented training nationwide on important campus safety topics and continues to schedule additional trainings throughout the country.

This solicitation seeks to continue, innovate, and improve upon efforts described above and the critical mission of the National Center, which is as follows:

1. Identifying and prioritizing the needs of the field and reaching out to key stakeholders and identifying their roles in The Center
2. Serving as the “one-stop shop” for campus public safety information by maintaining and updating the National Center’s extensive library of campus safety resources
3. Connecting existing federal and nonfederal resources with the needs of constituents
4. Connecting major campus public safety entities with one another and with federal agencies to facilitate collaboration and coordination around issues of campus public safety thorough the National Center’s directory of campus safety officials
5. Highlighting and promoting best and innovative practices specific to campus public safety efforts and developing comprehensive responses that meet the complexity of needs on campuses by integrating key issues in public safety
6. Delivering essential technical assistance specific to campus public safety in person, as needed

Additionally, deliverables under this solicitation must include:

1. Hosting a series of **Emerging Issues** forums on topics identified in collaboration with BJA and the field that addresses the gaps in information needed to provide a safe campus environment in order to develop products, documents, and in-person training as a result
2. Conduct a series of webinars on topics addressing the critical needs of campus public safety and emergency management
3. Deliver essential technical assistance specific to campus public safety, as needed
4. Develop an implementation plan based on the recommendations from the Campus Emergency Management Needs Assessment conducted by the National Center in 2016
5. Coordinate with the BJA-funded **ALERRT** program to ensure lessons learned are disseminated and implemented as widely as possible
6. Provide any additional ad hoc tasks/deliverables requested or deemed necessary by BJA to address related topics. The awardee may set aside a conservative funding amount to be used for these activities or may be required to coordinate with BJA and receive approval to re-allocate funding/deliverables.
Category 3: Building Trust and Accountability with Communities through CompStat Training and Technical Assistance. Competition ID: BJA-2017-12422

Almost 30 years ago, law enforcement began using CompStat and the analytical methods associated with it to set goals in delivering public safety service and measuring outcomes. CompStat has proven to be a very useful tool that can bring added transparency to law enforcement and enable it to address crime issues more rapidly and efficiently.

CompStat is a performance management system that emphasizes information sharing and accountability. CompStat models historically have focused primarily on crime and disorder issues and have stressed the following core management components: timely and accurate information, rapid deployment of resources, effective tactics, and follow-up.2 A survey conducted by BJA and the Police Executive Research Foundation in 2013 found that law enforcement leaders see many benefits from a CompStat program, including a greater focus on the underlying conditions that give rise to crime and better targeting of available resources to address those crime issues. And, many reported that information sharing overall has increased within their departments as a result of implementing CompStat.3 In the last 20 years, there has been significant progress in the use of data in law enforcement agencies to address crime issues through CompStat programs. As CompStat has evolved, some agencies came to realize that this management approach could also measure aspects of an agency’s performance other than crime reduction such as use of overtime, budget management, use of force, and tracking citizen complaints.4

BJA and law enforcement stakeholders recognize the need to further refine existing CompStat models so that they can implement proven crime reduction strategies and improve outcomes within the criminal justice system and for the community at large. BJA is seeking a training and technical assistance provider to identify trends, data sources, and proven strategies that enhance law enforcement CompStat efforts beyond measures of crime and disorder and that address the following objectives:

(1) Expand upon the current CompStat crime reduction models to engage the community and build trust. In 2015 and 2016, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office) funded the Police Foundation and the Vera Institute of Justice to assess current CompStat models, hold a series of focus groups with experts, and develop a model for CompStat 2.0. The CompStat 2.0 model, which will be available in 2017, will address data related to citizen satisfaction, procedural justice, problem-oriented policing, and citizen complaints and use of force.

(2) Evaluate and utilize the latest in crime reduction tactics, analytical tools, and public safety strategies (e.g., social network analysis, predictive analysis, intelligence centers, etc.) in a manner that directly incorporates them into CompStat practices models. Provide assistance and support to agencies wishing to redesign their CompStat processes based on 21st century tools and tactics and evidence on what works for simultaneously promoting crime reduction and constitutional policing.

This TTA project will demonstrate and evaluate implementation of enhanced CompStat 2.0 models in law enforcement agencies. The selected TTA provider will focus on the following key deliverables:

---

3 Bureau of Justice Assistance, Police Executive Research Forum, 2013, p. 3.
(1) Work with up to 15 agencies to implement, expand, and/or redesign CompStat to align with the objectives described above. When working with agencies, the provider should determine the best CompStat model that meets the needs of the agencies and incorporate the latest findings from the development of CompStat 2.0.

(2) Track and assess the implementation of enhanced CompStat 2.0 and, based on feedback and data analysis, make appropriate modifications to the model.

(3) Provide short-term (via telephone/video conferencing and online) training and technical assistance to agencies seeking assistance on CompStat strategies.

(4) Support peer-to-peer learning for agencies interested in learning from more established programs.

(5) Develop resources (briefs, fact sheets, training modules, online content, etc.) for law enforcement agencies looking to expand and improve CompStat efforts.

(6) Provide any additional ad hoc tasks/deliverables requested or deemed necessary by BJA to address related topics. The awardee may set aside a conservative funding amount to be used for these activities or may be required to coordinate with BJA and receive approval to re-allocate funding/deliverables.

For Category 1 and 2: When planning meetings, the grantee should factor in an approximate 120-day approval time period for the meeting of law enforcement agencies; the approval request for the meeting should be submitted 120 days prior to the proposed meeting date.

The Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables are directly related to the performance measures set out in the table in Section D. Application and Submission Information, under "Program Narrative."

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices
OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. The OJP CrimeSolutions.gov website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

B. Federal Award Information

BJA estimates that it will make approximately three awards:
• Under Category 1, BJA expects to make one award for up to $600,000. The project period is 24 months, and the project start date should be on or after October 1, 2017.

• Under Category 2, BJA expects to make one award for up to $800,000. The project period is 18 months, and the project start date should be on or after October 1, 2017.

• Under Category 3, BJA expects to make one award for up to $1,300,000. The project period is 24 months, and the project start date should be on or after October 1, 2017.

BJA may, in certain cases, provide supplemental funding in future years to awards under this solicitation, through supplemental awards. In making decisions regarding supplemental awards, OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, OJP’s strategic priorities, and OJP’s assessment of both the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports) and the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award
BJA expects that any award under this solicitation will be made in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements, under Section F. Federal Award Administration Information, for a brief discussion of what may constitute substantial federal involvement.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls
Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities5) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements6 as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that [the recipient (and any subrecipient)] is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework”, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

(b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.

---

5 For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase “pass-through entity” includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward (“subgrant”) to a subrecipient (“subgrantee”) to carry out part of the funded award or program.

6 The “Part 200 Uniform Requirements” means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
(c) Evaluate and monitor [the recipient's (and any subrecipient's)] compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.

(d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.

(e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or [the recipient (or any subrecipient)] considers sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

To help ensure that applicants understand applicable administrative requirements and cost principles, OJP will require prospective applicants to enroll, at no charge, in the DOJ Grants Financial Management Online Training, available here.

Budget Information

Cost Sharing or Match Requirement
This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

Pre-Agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)
Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant. (Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs.) Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient's approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide for more information.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver
With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, a recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110% of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.7 The 2017 salary table for SES employees is available at the Office of Personnel Management website. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with nonfederal funds. (Nonfederal funds used for any such additional

---

7 OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed in Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
compensation will not be considered matching funds, where match requirements apply.) If only a portion of an employee’s time is charged to an OJP award, the maximum allowable compensation is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, this limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant that requests a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of its application. An applicant that does not submit a waiver request and justification with its application should anticipate that OJP will require the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should address—in the context of the work the individual would do under the award—the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of a service the individual will provide, the individual’s specific knowledge of the proposed program or project, and a statement that explains whether and how the individual’s salary under the award would be commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work he/she would do under the award.

**Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs**
OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning, and reporting of such events, available at [www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm](http://www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm). OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients, as well as some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, which include a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

**Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)**
If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services, where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under “Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2017 Awards” in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](http://www.ojp.gov).

**C. Eligibility Information**
For eligibility information, see the title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](http://www.ojp.gov).
D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include
This section describes in detail what an application should include. An applicant should anticipate that if it fails to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of its application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of award conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds until the recipient satisfies the conditions and OJP makes the funds available.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that an application that OJP determines is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that OJP determines does not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review, nor receive further consideration. For this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, Budget Narrative, Time and Task Plan, and résumés/curriculum vitae of key personnel. An applicant may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it must contain both narrative and detail information. Please review the “Note on File Names and File Types” under How to Apply (below) to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Résumés”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting “type of applicant,” if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select “For-Profit Organization” or “Small Business” (as applicable).

To avoid processing delays, an applicant must include an accurate legal name on its SF-424. Current OJP award recipients, when completing the field for “Legal Name,” should use the same legal name that appears on the prior year award document which is also the legal name stored in OJP’s financial system. On the SF-424, enter the Legal Name in box 5 and Employer Identification Number (EIN) in box 6 exactly as it appears on the prior year award document. An applicant with current, active awards must ensure that its GMS profile is current. If the profile is not current, the applicant, should submit a Grant Adjustment Notice updating the information on their GMS profile prior to applying under this solicitation.

A new applicant entity should enter the Official Legal Name and address of the applicant entity in box 5 and the EIN in box 6 of the SF-424. Applicants must attach official legal documents to its applications (e.g., articles of incorporation, 501C3, etc.) to confirm the legal name, address, and EIN entered into the SF-424.
Intergovernmental Review: This solicitation ("funding opportunity") is not subject to Executive Order 12372. (In completing the SF-424, an applicant is to answer question 19 by selecting the response that the “Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.”)

2. Project Abstract
Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be—

- Written for a general public audience
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (such as Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

All project abstracts should follow the detailed template available at ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/ProjectAbstractTemplate.pdf.

Permission to Share Project Abstract with the Public: It is unlikely that OJP will be able to fund all applications submitted under this solicitation, but it may have the opportunity to share information with the public regarding unfunded applications, for example, through a listing on a web page available to the public. The intent of this public posting would be to allow other possible funders to become aware of such applications.

In the project abstract template, each applicant is asked to indicate whether it gives OJP permission to share the applicant's project abstract (including contact information for individuals) with the public. Granting (or failing to grant) this permission will not affect OJP’s funding decisions. Moreover, if the application is not funded, providing permission will not ensure that OJP will share the abstract information, nor will it assure funding from any other source.

Note: OJP may choose not to list a project that otherwise would have been included in a listing of unfunded applications, should the abstract fail to meet the format and content requirements noted above and outlined in the project abstract template.

3. Program Narrative
The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and the Review Criteria (1-4) in the order given. Applicants must meet the requirements of the category under which they are applying, as described in the category-specific information section under Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables.

The program narrative also must address the applicant’s ability to collect and report all required performance measurement data.

The program narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 15 pages. Number pages “1 of 15,” “2 of 15,” etc.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.
The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

a. Description of the Issue

b. Project Design and Implementation

c. Capabilities and Competencies

d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit specific performance measures data as part of its reporting under the award (see “General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements” in Section F. Federal Award Administration Information). The performance measures correlate to the goals, objectives, and deliverables identified under “Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables” in Section A. Program Description.

The application should describe the applicant's plan for collection of all of the performance measures data listed in the table below under “Data Grantee Provides,” should it receive funding.

To assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide the data requested in the “Data Grantee Provides” column so that OJP can calculate values for the “Performance Measures” column. Post award, recipients will be required to submit performance metric data semi-annually through BJA’s online Training and Technical Assistance Reporting Portal. More information on reporting requirements can be found at: https://www.bjatraining.org/working-with-nttac/providers. Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Catalog ID</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Data Grantee Provides</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: Increase the knowledge of criminal justice practitioners through the development, implementation, and sustainment of comprehensive, evidence-based, community-oriented crime strategies.</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>Number of Trainings conducted</td>
<td>Number of trainings (by type):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• In-person,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Web-based,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CD/DVD,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Peer to Peer,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Number of participants who attend the training</td>
<td>Number of individuals who:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Percentage of participants trained and subsequently demonstrated performance improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Percentage of scholarship recipients surveyed who reported that the training provided information that could be utilized in their job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>Number of curricula developed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>Number of curricula that were pilot tested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>Percentage of curricula that were revised after pilot testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Individuals who:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Received a scholarship;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed the training;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Completed a survey at the conclusion of the training;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reported the training provided information that could be utilized in their job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of training curricula:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Developed;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pilot tested;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Revised after being pilot tested</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Objective 2: Increase a criminal justice agency’s ability to solve problems and/or modify policies or practices as a result of training and technical assistance interventions. | 12 | Percentage of requesting agencies who rated services as satisfactory or better | • Number of onsite visits completed;  
• Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies after onsite visits;  
• Number of requesting agencies who completed an evaluation of services;  
• Number of agencies who rated the services a satisfactory or better (in terms of timeliness and quality);  
• Number of follow-ups with requesting agencies completed 6 months after onsite visit;  
• Number of agencies that were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit |
<p>| 11 | Percentage of requesting agencies that were planning to implement one or more recommendations |  |
| 247 | Percentage of peer visitors who reported that the visit to the other agency was useful in providing information on policies or practices |  |
| 246 | Percentage of peer visitors that were planning to implement one or more policies or practices 6 months after they were observed at the visited site |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months after the onsite visit</th>
<th>Percentage of requesting agencies of other onsite services who rated the services provided as satisfactory or better</th>
<th>Number of other onsite services provided; Number of requesting agencies who completed an evaluation of other onsite services; Number of agencies who rated the services a satisfactory or better</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 3: Increase information provided to BJA and the criminal justice community.</td>
<td>Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held</td>
<td>Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held; Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who completed an evaluation; Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who rated the advisory/focus group as satisfactory or better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of advisory/focus groups evaluated as satisfactory or better</td>
<td>Number of publications/resources developed; Number of publications/resources disseminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of publications developed</td>
<td>Number of publications developed; Number of web sites developed; Number of web sites maintained; Number of visits to web sites during the current reporting period; Number of visits to web sites during the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Note on Project Evaluations

An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute “research” for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute “research.” Each applicant should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project it proposes would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ definition of research that appears at 28 C.F.R. Part 46 ("Protection of Human Subjects").

Research, for purposes of human subjects protection for OJP-funded programs, is defined as “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.” 28 C.F.R. 46.102(d).

For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research for purposes of human subjects protection, applicants should consult the decision tree in the “Research and the protection of human subjects” section of the “Requirements related to Research” web page of the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2017," available through the OJP Funding Resource Center. Every prospective applicant whose application may propose a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that web page.

### 4. Budget and Associated Documentation

a. **Budget Detail Worksheet**

A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at [www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf](http://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf). An applicant that submits its budget in a different format should use the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should break out costs by year.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](http://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf).
b. **Budget Narrative**
The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

An applicant should demonstrate in its budget narrative how it will maximize cost effectiveness of award expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The budget narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond clearly with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how those costs are necessary to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes, but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the budget narrative should describe costs by year.

c. **Information on Proposed Subawards (if any), as well as on Proposed Procurement Contracts (if any)**
Applicants for OJP awards typically may propose to make "subawards." Applicants also may propose to enter into procurement "contracts" under the award.

Whether—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—a particular agreement between a recipient and a third party will be considered a "subaward" or instead considered a procurement "contract" under the award is determined by federal rules and applicable OJP guidance. It is an important distinction, in part because the federal administrative rules and requirements that apply to "subawards" and to procurement "contracts" under awards differ markedly.

In general, the central question is the relationship between what the third-party will do under its agreement with the recipient and what the recipient has committed (to OJP) to do under its award to further a public purpose (e.g., services the recipient will provide, products it will develop or modify, research or evaluation it will conduct). If a third party will provide some of the services the recipient has committed (to OJP) to provide, will develop or modify all or part of a product the recipient has committed (to OJP) to develop or modify, or will conduct part of the research or evaluation the recipient has committed (to OJP) to conduct, OJP will consider the agreement with the third party a subaward for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements.

This will be true even if the recipient, for internal or other nonfederal purposes, labels or treats its agreement as a procurement, a contract, or a procurement contract. Neither the title nor the structure of an agreement determines whether the agreement — for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements — is a "subaward" or is instead a procurement "contract" under an award.

Additional guidance on the circumstances under which (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) an agreement constitutes a subaward as opposed to a
procurement contract under an award, is available (along with other resources) on the [OJP Part 200 Uniform Requirements](#) web page.

1. **Information on proposed subawards**
   A recipient of an OJP award may not make subawards ("subgrants") unless the recipient has specific federal authorization to do so. Unless an applicable statute or DOJ regulation specifically authorizes (or requires) subawards, a recipient must have authorization from OJP before it may make a subaward.

   A particular subaward may be authorized by OJP because the recipient included a sufficiently-detailed description and justification of the proposed subaward in the application as approved by OJP. If, however, a particular subaward is not authorized by federal statute or regulation, and is not sufficiently described and justified in the application as approved by OJP, the recipient will be required, post-award, to request and obtain written authorization from OJP before it may make the subaward.

   If an applicant proposes to make one or more subawards to carry out the federal award and program, the applicant should (1) identify (if known) the proposed subrecipient(s), (2) describe in detail what each subrecipient will do to carry out the federal award and federal program, and (3) provide a justification for the subaward(s), with details on pertinent matters such as special qualifications and areas of expertise. Pertinent information on subawards should appear not only in the Program Narrative, but also in the Budget Detail Worksheet and budget narrative.

2. **Information on proposed procurement contracts (with specific justification for proposed noncompetitive contracts over $150,000)**
   Unlike a recipient contemplating a subaward, a recipient of an OJP award generally does not need specific prior federal authorization to enter into an agreement that—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is considered a procurement contract, provided that (1) the recipient uses its own documented procurement procedures and (2) those procedures conform to applicable federal law, including the Procurement Standards of the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements (as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 - 200.326). The Budget Detail Worksheet and budget narrative should identify proposed procurement contracts. (As discussed above, subawards must be identified and described separately from procurement contracts.)

   The Procurement Standards in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, however, reflect a general expectation that agreements that (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) constitute procurement “contracts” under awards will be entered into on the basis of full and open competition. If a proposed procurement contract would exceed the simplified acquisition threshold—currently, $150,000—a recipient of an OJP award may not proceed without competition unless and until the recipient receives specific advance authorization from OJP to use a non-competitive approach for the procurement.

   An applicant that (at the time of its application) intends—without competition—to enter into a procurement “contract” that would exceed $150,000 should include a detailed justification that explains to OJP why, in the particular circumstances, it is appropriate to proceed without competition. Various considerations that may be pertinent to the justification are outlined in the [DOJ Grants Financial Guide](#).
d. Pre-Agreement Costs
   For information on pre-agreement costs, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)
   Indirect costs may be charged to an award only if:

   (a) The recipient has a current (that is, unexpired), federally-approved indirect cost rate
   or
   (b) The recipient is eligible to use, and elects to use, the “de minimis” indirect cost rate
       described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f)

   An applicant with a current (that is, unexpired) federally approved indirect cost rate is to
   attach a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement to the application. An applicant that does
   not have a current federally-approved rate may request one through its cognizant federal
   agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant entity, or, if
   the applicant’s accounting system permits, applicants may propose to allocate costs in the
   direct cost categories.

   For assistance with identifying the appropriate cognizant federal agency for indirect costs,
   please contact the OCFO Customer Service Center at 1-800-458-0786 or at
   ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain
   information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at

   Certain OJP recipients have the option of electing to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate.
   An applicant that is eligible to use the “de minimis” rate that wishes to use the "de minimis" rate
   should attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both— (1)
   the applicant’s eligibility to use the “de minimis” rate, and (2) its election to do so. If an
   eligible applicant elects the “de minimis” rate, costs must be consistently charged as either
   indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both.
   The "de minimis" rate may no longer be used once an approved federally-negotiated indirect
   cost rate is in place. (No entity that ever has had a federally-approved negotiated indirect
   cost rate is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate.)

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)
   A tribe, tribal organization, or third party that proposes to provide direct services or
   assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in its application a resolution, letter,
   affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that demonstrates (as a legal matter) that
   the applicant has the requisite authorization from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed
   project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes
   applies for an award on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should
   include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would
   receive services or assistance under the award. A consortium of tribes for which existing
   consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without
   an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing
   body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.
7. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high-risk status)

Every applicant (other than an individual applying in his/her personal capacity) is to download, complete, and submit the OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire, as part of its application.

Among other things, the form requires each applicant to disclose whether it currently is designated “high risk” by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant’s past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal awarding agency, the applicant must provide the following information:

- The federal awarding agency that currently designates the applicant high risk
- The date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address)
- The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency

OJP seeks this information to help ensure appropriate federal oversight of OJP awards. An applicant that is considered “high risk” by another federal awarding agency is not automatically disqualified from receiving an OJP award. OJP may, however, consider the information in award decisions, and may impose additional OJP oversight of any award under this solicitation (including through the conditions that accompany the award document).

8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Each applicant must complete and submit this information. An applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities is to provide all of the information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). An applicant that does not expend any funds for lobbying activities is to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

9. Additional Attachments

a. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally-funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed in the application under this solicitation, and (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. The applicant is to disclose applications made directly to federal awarding agencies, and also applications for subawards of federal funds (e.g., applications to State agencies that will subaward (“subgrant”) federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.
Each applicant that has one or more pending applications as described above is to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or State funding agency
- The solicitation name/project name
- The point of contact information at the applicable federal or State funding agency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
<th>Solicitation Name/Project Name</th>
<th>Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ/Office of Community Oriented Policing Services</td>
<td>COPS Hiring Program</td>
<td>Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:jane.doe@usdoj.gov">jane.doe@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Human Services/Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration</td>
<td>Drug-Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program</td>
<td>John Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:john.doe@hhs.gov">john.doe@hhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each applicant should include the table as a separate attachment to its application. The file should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.” The applicant Legal Name on the application must match the entity named on the disclosure of pending applications statement.

Any applicant that does not have any pending applications as described above is to submit, as a separate attachment, a statement to this effect: “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have (and is not proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally-funded grants or cooperative agreements (or for subawards under federal grants or cooperative agreements) that request funding to support the same project being proposed in this application to OJP and that would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted as part of in this application.”

b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The applicant must demonstrate independence and integrity regarding both this proposed research and/or evaluation, and any current or prior related projects.

Each application should include an attachment that addresses both i. and ii. below.
i. For purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including one of the following two items:

a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its application to identify any actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients), and that the applicant has identified no such conflicts of interest—whether personal or financial or organizational (including on the part of the applicant entity or on the part of staff, investigators, or subrecipients)—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, and reporting of the research.

OR

b. A specific description of actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified—including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research. These conflicts may be personal (e.g., on the part of investigators or other staff), financial, or organizational (related to the applicant or any subrecipient entity). Some examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations are those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse’s work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization would not be given an award to evaluate a project, if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), because the organization in such an instance might appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.

ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to address possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:

a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. The applicant also is to include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and prevent (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational,
personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OR

b. If the applicant has identified actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) that could affect the independence and integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research, the applicant must is to provide a specific and robust mitigation plan to address each of those conflicts. At a minimum, the applicant is expected to explain the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OJP will assess research and evaluation independence and integrity based on considerations such as the adequacy of the applicant’s efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the applicant entity (and any subrecipients) in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant’s existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

c. Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation

An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may be required to make certain disclosures relating to the processes it uses to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees.

Under certain circumstances, a nonprofit organization that provides unreasonably high compensation to certain persons may subject both the organization’s managers and those who receive the compensation to additional federal taxes. A rebuttable presumption of the reasonableness of a nonprofit organization’s compensation arrangements, however, may be available if the nonprofit organization satisfied certain rules set out in Internal Revenue Service regulations with regard to its compensation decisions.

Each applicant nonprofit organization must state at the time of its application (in the "OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire" mentioned earlier) whether or not the applicant entity believes (or asserts) that it currently satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to establishing or invoking a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals and entities).

A nonprofit organization that states in the questionnaire that it believes (or asserts) that it has satisfied the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 must then disclose, in an attachment to its application (to be titled "Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensation"), the process used by the applicant nonprofit organization to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees (together, "covered persons").
At a minimum, the disclosure must describe in pertinent detail: (1) the composition of the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; (2) the methods and practices used by the applicant nonprofit organization to ensure that no individual with a conflict of interest participates as a member of the body that reviews and approves a compensation arrangement for a covered person; (3) the appropriate data as to comparability of compensation that is obtained in advance and relied upon by the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; and (4) the written or electronic records that the applicant organization maintains as concurrent documentation of the decisions with respect to compensation of covered persons made by the body that reviews and approves such compensation arrangements, including records of deliberations and of the basis for decisions.

For purposes of the required disclosure, the following terms and phrases have the meanings set out by the Internal Revenue Service for use in connection with 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6: officers, directors, trustees, key employees, compensation, conflict of interest, appropriate data as to comparability, adequate documentation, and concurrent documentation.

Applicant nonprofit organizations should note that following receipt of an appropriate request, OJP may be authorized or required by law to make information submitted to satisfy this requirement available for public inspection. Also, a recipient may be required to make a prompt supplemental disclosure after the award in certain circumstances (e.g., changes in the way the organization determines compensation).

How to Apply
Applicants must register in, and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726 or 606–545–5035, which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation of registration and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to register several weeks before the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Browser Information: Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.
Note on Attachments: Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: “mandatory” and “optional.” OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure that all required documents are attached in either Grants.gov category.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in the file names of attachments. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov rejects any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below. Grants.gov forwards successfully-submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Special Characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper case (A – Z)</td>
<td>Parenthesis ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower case (a – z)</td>
<td>Ampersand (&amp;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underscore (_)</td>
<td>Comma ( , )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphen (-)</td>
<td>At sign (@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>Percent sign (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (.)</td>
<td>Applicants must use the “&amp;” format in place of the ampersand (&amp;) when using XML format for documents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

Every applicant entity must comply with all applicable System for Award Management (SAM) and unique entity identifier (currently, a Data Universal Numbering System ["DUNS"] number) requirements. If an applicant entity has not fully complied with applicable SAM and unique identifier requirements by the time OJP makes award decisions, OJP may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making the award to a different applicant.

An individual who wishes to apply in his/her personal capacity should search Grants.gov for funding opportunities for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. (An applicant applying as an individual must comply with all applicable Grants.gov individual registration requirements.)

Complete the registration form at [https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister](https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister) to create a username and password for Grants.gov. (An applicant applying as an individual should complete all steps except 1, 2 and 4.)

1. Acquire a unique entity identifier (DUNS number). In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires every applicant for a federal award (other than an individual) to include a "unique entity identifier" in each application, including an application for a supplemental award. Currently, a DUNS number is the required unique entity identifier.

A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit identification number provided by the commercial company Dun and Bradstreet. This unique entity identifier is used for tracking purposes, and
to validate address and point of contact information for applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. It will be used throughout the life cycle of an OJP award. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.

2. **Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for certain standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. All applicants for OJP awards (other than individuals) must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. An applicant must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Each applicant must **update or renew its SAM registration at least annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

An application cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take as long as 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. An applicant entity's "unique entity identifier" (DUNS number) must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations and other entities, go to https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/OrcRegister. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html.

4. **Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

5. **Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance ("CFDA") number for this solicitation is 16.738, titled “Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program,” and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2017-11443.

6. **Select the correct Competition ID.** Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended purpose area of the application.

7. **Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application. The second will state whether the application has been validated and successfully submitted, or whether it has been rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It
is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received, and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting an application well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges each applicant to submit its application at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applications must be successfully submitted through Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on March 7, 2017.

Click [here](#) for further details on DUNS numbers, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

**Note: Application Versions**
If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJP will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted.

**Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**
An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the NCJRS Response Center identified in the Contact Information section on the title page within 24 hours after the application deadline to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. The applicant’s email must describe the technical difficulties, and must include a timeline of the applicant’s submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant’s DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s).

**Note: OJP does not automatically approve requests to submit a late application.** After OJP reviews the applicant’s request, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to verify the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the untimely application submission was due to the applicant’s failure to follow all required procedures, OJP will deny the applicant’s request to submit its application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation
- Technical issues with the applicant’s computer or information technology environment, such as issues with firewalls or browser incompatibility.

**Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP Funding Resource Center web page.**
E. Application Review Information

Review Criteria
Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria:

1. **Description of the Issue (20%)**
   Specify the category for which the application is submitted. Identify the problem to be addressed by the project. Provide specific data where available.

2. **Project Design and Implementation (40%)**
   Describe how the project will address the category-specific description listed on pages 5–7. Provide its design and proposed implementation that will result in the category-specific deliverables. Tie project activities/deliverables to goals and objectives in the program design. In addition, the required project time and task plan should identify each project activity (all category-specific deliverables must be included), expected completion date, and responsible person or organization.

   **Specifically for Category 2:** In order to be considered for an award under this category, applicants must demonstrate experience in campus public safety, a demonstrated ability to work with the various federal agencies supporting campus public safety, and knowledge of the complexities related to campus public safety, including the variety of types of law enforcement involved in providing campus safety services. Applicants must also demonstrate the ability to clearly identify current and emerging issues impacting campus safety and how the applied-for funding will directly address those issues.

3. **Capabilities and Competencies (25%)**
   Fully describe the applicant’s capabilities to implement the project and the competencies of the staff assigned to the project. The applicant must also provide evidence of extensive experience in successfully providing the following:
   - Complex regional and national-level training and technical assistance programs with sufficient resources to successfully deliver the TTA throughout the country, using a range of training modalities such as online and in-person training
   - Work in the field relevant to the category of application
   - Collection of research and data as well as analysis of research and data

   In addition, the applicant must demonstrate knowledge and experience in: publications and multimedia material development, collaborative partnerships, development and management of trainings and events, and experience in recruitment and maintenance of subject matter experts/instructors.

4. **Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures (5%)**
   Explain how the program’s effectiveness will be demonstrated. For each performance measure selected, describe: (1) what data will be collected and how, (2) how it will be assessed/analyzed, and (3) the process for reporting the findings and outcomes.
5. **Budget (10%)**
   The budget must be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget narratives should demonstrate generally how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.⁹

**Review Process**
OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

- The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant
- The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable)
- The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation
- The application must include all items designated as “critical elements”
- The applicant must not be identified in SAM as excluded from receiving federal awards

For a list of the critical elements for this solicitation, see “What an Application Should Include” under Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation’s review criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully. Other important considerations for OJP include underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, and available funding, as well as the extent to which the budget detail worksheet and budget narrative accurately explain project costs that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by applicants. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award. If OJP anticipates that an

⁹ Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.
award will exceed $150,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any
information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and
performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and
Integrity Information System; “FAPIIS”).

**Important note on FAPIIS:** An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any
information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding
agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other
information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by applicants.

The evaluation of risks goes beyond information in SAM, however. OJP itself has in place a
framework for evaluating risks posed by applicants for competitive awards. OJP takes into
account information pertinent to matters such as—

1. Applicant financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of the management systems of the applicant, and the applicant’s ability to meet
   prescribed management standards, including those outlined in the DOJ Grants Financial
   Guide
3. Applicant's history of performance under OJP and other DOJ awards (including
   compliance with reporting requirements and award conditions), as well as awards from
   other federal agencies
4. Reports and findings from audits of the applicant, including audits under the Part 200
   Uniform Requirements
5. Applicant's ability to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements, and to effectively
   implement other award requirements

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final
award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not
only peer review ratings and BJA recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this
section.

**F. Federal Award Administration Information**

**Federal Award Notices**
Award notifications will be made by September 30, 2017. OJP sends award notifications by
email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the
authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions
on how to access and view the award documents, and steps to take in GMS to start the award
acceptance process. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on
the award date.

For each successful applicant, an individual with the necessary authority to bind the applicant
will be required to log in; execute a set of legal certifications and a set of legal assurances;
designate a financial point of contact; thoroughly review the award, including all award
conditions; and sign and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires physical
signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-
executed award document to OJP.
Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, as well as all applicable requirements of federal statutes, regulations, and executive orders (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions prior to submitting an application.

Applicants should consult the “Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2017 Awards,” available in the OJP Funding Resource Center. In addition, applicants should examine the following two legal documents, as each successful applicant must execute both documents before it may receive any award funds.

- Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
- Standard Assurances

Applicants may view these documents in the Apply section of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

The web pages accessible through the “Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2017 Awards” are intended to give applicants for OJP awards a general overview of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP grants and cooperative agreements awarded in FY 2017. Individual OJP awards typically also will include additional award conditions. Those additional conditions may relate to the particular statute or program, or solicitation under which the award is made; to the substance of the funded application; to the recipient's performance under other federal awards; to the recipient's legal status (e.g., as a for-profit entity); or to other pertinent considerations.

As stated above, BJA expects that any award under this solicitation to be a cooperative agreement. A cooperative agreement will include a condition in the award document that sets out the “substantial federal involvement” in carrying out the award and program. Generally speaking, under cooperative agreements with OJP, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in matters such as coordination efforts and site selection, as well as review and approval of work plans, research designs, data collection instruments, and major project-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award condition that it may redirect the project if necessary.

In addition to a condition that sets out the “substantial federal involvement” in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include a condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities, or similar events funded under the award.

General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements

In addition to the deliverables described in Section A. Program Description, any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data.
**Required reports.** Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

Awards that exceed $500,000 will include an additional condition that, under specific circumstances, will require the recipient to report (to FAPIIS) information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either the OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Additional information on this reporting requirement appears in the text of the award condition posted on the OJP website at [http://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm](http://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm).

**Data on performance measures.** In addition to required reports, an award recipient also must provide data that measure the results of the work done under the award. To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist DOJ in fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, OJP will require any recipient, post award, to provide the data listed as "Data Recipient Provides" in the performance measures table in Section D. Application and Submission Information, under "Program Narrative," so that OJP can calculate values for this solicitation's performance measures.

**G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)**

For OJP contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

**H. Other Information**


All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. By law, DOJ may withhold information that is responsive to a request pursuant to FOIA if DOJ determines that the responsive information either is protected under the Privacy Act or falls within the scope of one of nine statutory exemptions under FOIA. DOJ cannot agree in advance of a request pursuant to FOIA not to release some or all portions of an application.

In its review of records that are responsive to a FOIA request, OJP will withhold information in those records that plainly falls within the scope of the Privacy Act or one of the statutory exemptions under FOIA. (Some examples include certain types of information in budgets, and names and contact information for project staff other than certain key personnel.) In appropriate circumstances, OJP will request the views of the applicant/recipient that submitted a responsive document.

For example, if OJP receives a request pursuant to FOIA for an application submitted by a nonprofit or for-profit organization or an institution of higher education, or for an application that
involves research, OJP typically will contact the applicant/recipient that submitted the application and ask it to identify—quite precisely—any particular information in the application that applicant/recipient believes falls under a FOIA exemption, the specific exemption it believes applies, and why. After considering the submission by the applicant/recipient, OJP makes an independent assessment regarding withholding information. OJP generally follows a similar process for requests pursuant to FOIA for applications that may contain law-enforcement sensitive information.

**Provide Feedback to OJP**
To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. OJP does **not** reply from this mailbox to messages it receives in this mailbox. Any prospective applicant that has specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation **must** use the appropriate telephone number or email listed on the front of this document to obtain information. These contacts are provided to help ensure that prospective applicants can directly reach an individual who can address specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to ojpeerreview@lmsolas.com. **(Do not send your résumé to the OJP Solicitation Feedback email account.)** **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization or entity can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization/entity has submitted an application.
Application Checklist
Law Enforcement National Initiatives: Improving Responses to Criminal Justice Issues
FY 2017 Competitive Grant

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 27)
_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 28)

To Register with Grants.gov:
_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 28)
_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 28)

To Find Funding Opportunity:
_____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 28)
_____ Select the correct Competition ID (see page 28)
_____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 26)
_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see page 26)
_____ Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov
_____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm (see page 11)

After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:
_____ (1) application has been received,
_____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 28)

If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:
_____ contact BJA regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 29)

Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:
_____ Review the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2017 Awards" in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Scope Requirement:
_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s). (Dependent on the category; see pages 5–7).

Eligibility Requirement: Eligible applicants are limited to for-profit (commercial) organizations, nonprofit organizations (including tribal nonprofit and for-profit organizations), and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education) that support national initiatives to improve the functioning of the criminal justice systems. For-profit organizations (as well as other recipients) must forgo any profit or management fee. Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit an application that shows partnerships with key organizations in order to build strong working relationships with national-level organizations in the criminal justice field.
What an Application Should Include:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 12)
- Intergovernmental Review (see page 13)
- Project Abstract (see page 13)
- Program Narrative (see page 13)
- Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 18)
- Budget Narrative (see page 19)
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 21)
- Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 21)
- Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 22)
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 22)
- Additional Attachments [Insert Name(s) of Additional Attachment(s) listed in the solicitation body]
  - Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 22)
  - Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity (see page 23)
  - Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensation (see page 25)
- Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waiver (if applicable) (see page 10)