OMB No. 1121-0329 Approval Expires 11/30/2020

**U.S. Department of Justice**Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



The <u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> (DOJ), <u>Office of Justice Programs</u> (OJP), <u>Bureau of Justice Assistance</u> (BJA) is seeking applications for funding under the Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) National Training and Technical Assistance Program. PSN is the lead initiative in a suite of programs focused on reducing violent crime. This program furthers the Department's mission and violent crime reduction strategy by building the capacities of communities to reduce violent crime, including but not limited to, the felonious use and possession of firearms and criminal gang violence, through the national delivery of training and technical assistance.

# Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) National Training and Technical Assistance Program

FY 2018 Competitive Grant Announcement Applications Due: July 9, 2018

## **Eligibility**

Applicants are limited to nonprofit organizations, for-profit organizations (including tribal nonprofit or for-profit organizations), institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education), faith-based organizations, and consortiums with demonstrated experience in addressing and developing strategies to reduce violent crime. This includes, but is not limited to, prevention, enforcement, prosecution, intervention, and reentry strategies. Additionally, only applicants that have experience delivering training and technical assistance on a national level are eligible to apply. All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

BJA welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed as subrecipients (subgrantees). The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the award, including administering the funding and managing the entire program. Only one application per lead applicant will be considered; however, an applicant may be proposed as a subrecipient (subgrantee) in multiple proposals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For additional information on subawards, see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under <u>Section D. Application</u> and <u>Submission Information</u>.

BJA may elect to make awards for applications submitted under this fiscal year (FY) 2018 solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

### **Deadline**

Applicants must register with Grants.gov at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html</a> prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on July 9, 2018.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see <u>How to Apply</u> in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

### **Contact Information**

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726, 606–545–5035, at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html</a>, or at <a href="mailto:support@grants.gov">support@grants.gov</a>. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under "Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues" in the <a href="How To Apply">How To Apply</a> section.

For assistance with any unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond an applicant's control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline, or any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800–851–3420; via TTY at 301–240–6310 (hearing impaired only); email <a href="mailto:grants@ncjrs.gov">grants@ncjrs.gov</a>; fax to 301–240–5830; or web chat at <a href="https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp">https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp</a>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this solicitation: BJA-2018-13621

Release date: June 5, 2018

# **Contents**

A. Program Description	4
Overview	4
Program-specific Information	4
Objectives and Deliverables	7
Evidence-based Programs or Practices	11
Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities	12
B. Federal Award Information	12
Type of Award	13
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	13
Budget Information	14
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement	14
Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)	14
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	14
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)	15
C. Eligibility Information	15
D. Application and Submission Information	16
What an Application Should Include	16
How To Apply	28
E. Application Review Information	32
Review Criteria	32
Review Process	33
F. Federal Award Administration Information	35
Federal Award Notices	35
Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements	35
General Information about Post-federal Award Reporting Requirements	36
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	37
H. Other Information	37
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)	37
Provide Feedback to OJP	37
Appendix A: Performance Measures Table	39
Appendix B: Application Checklist	42

# Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) National Training and Technical Assistance Program CFDA #16.738

### A. Program Description

### Overview

PSN is designed to create and foster safer neighborhoods through a sustained reduction in violent crime, including but not limited to, criminal gang violence and the felonious possession and use of firearms. The program's effectiveness is based on the cooperation and partnering of local, state, tribal, and federal agencies engaged in a unified approach led by the United States Attorney (USA) in each district. Acting decisively at all levels—federal, state, local, and tribal—will help reverse a rise in violent crime and keep our citizens safe. PSN provides the critical funding, resources, and training for law enforcement, prosecutors, and their PSN task forces to combat violent crime and to make their communities safer.

### **Statutory Authority:**

Funding is authorized under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, Public Law 115-141, 132 Stat. 348, 421.

### **Program-specific Information**

A significant initiative the Department has undertaken to reduce violent crime in America is the reinvigoration and reprioritization of the PSN Program in late 2017. This comprehensive strategy builds on the successes of earlier national-scale violent crime reduction programs led by the Department. PSN is based on five design features that are sufficiently flexible, scalable, and adaptable to address the most significant violent crime problems in each federal judicial district, based on the magnitude of the violent crime problem and available resources.

In PSN, the USA is responsible for establishing a PSN task force of federal, state, local, and tribal (where applicable) law enforcement and other relevant community members to implement a strategic plan for investigating and prosecuting violent crime. The PSN task force will implement the five design features of PSN—leadership, partnerships, targeted and prioritized enforcement, prevention, and accountability—to address violent crime. Details on the five design features (also referred to as "core elements") follow.

### Required PSN Design Features

There are five PSN design features that all PSN grant applicants must address in their applications. The five design features are:

### 1. Leadership

United States Attorneys—working with state, local, and tribal law enforcement—are the cornerstone of the law enforcement response to crime in their jurisdictions. They must take the leadership role in developing and implementing a crime reduction program to help local law enforcement address violent crime problems with available resources. This includes serving as a convener to ensure coordination among federal, state, local, and tribal agencies, and among existing initiatives and task forces that can help reduce violent crime.

### 2. Partnerships

The United States Attorney must work in partnership with federal, state, local, and applicable tribal law enforcement and prosecutors, as well as the community. All of these stakeholders are necessary partners in this work and must collaborate to achieve success. Led by the USA, the PSN task force typically includes both federal and local prosecutors, federal law enforcement agencies (Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives [ATF], Drug Enforcement Administration, Federal Bureau of Investigation [FBI], and U.S. Marshals Service), local and state law enforcement agencies, probation and parole offices, and the certified fiscal agent. The inclusion of local government leaders, social service providers, neighborhood leaders, members of the faith community, and business leaders, is also essential.

Because of the importance and effectiveness of implementing evidence-based practices, PSN strongly encourages a partnership to engage a research entity—either from within the local law enforcement community or through academic institutions—to help identify crime trends, develop targeted enforcement strategies, and measure the effectiveness of the program. Recognizing that crime problems, including felonious possession and use of a firearm and/or gang violence, illegal drug sales and distribution, human trafficking, and other related violent crimes vary from community to community, PSN includes a commitment to tailor the program to local crime issues and be data informed.

**Note:** George Mason University's Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy (CEBCP) developed an e-Consortium that provides a resource to local, state, federal, and other groups that seek to collaborate with nearby (or other) university researchers and centers in partnerships and projects that are mutually beneficial. The e-Consortium may be accessed at <a href="http://gmuconsortium.org/">http://gmuconsortium.org/</a>. There is also a list of researchers, along with their focus areas, listed on the Crime and Justice Research Alliance website at <a href="http://crimeandjusticeresearchalliance.org/experts/">http://crimeandjusticeresearchalliance.org/experts/</a>.

For information on identifying and working with a research partner, please visit: <a href="http://www.psnmsu.com/documents/ResearchPartnerQ&A.pdf">http://www.psnmsu.com/documents/ResearchPartnerQ&A.pdf</a>.

### 3. Targeted and Prioritized Enforcement

PSN requires each federal judicial district to develop data-driven strategies to target enforcement efforts in locations with significant violent crime problems and against the offenders who are driving the violence. District-based enforcement efforts must focus on three areas: First, they must identify the locations within the district in greatest need of comprehensive violent crime reduction efforts. Second, they must identify the offenders who are driving the violence in those areas. Third, they must ensure that those offenders are prosecuted in the jurisdiction that can provide the most certain and appropriate sanctions.

### 4. Prevention

While enforcement is a cornerstone of violence reduction, the PSN Program requires a comprehensive approach that also focuses on prevention and deterrence efforts. At the outset, public awareness and support of the local violent crime reduction effort is key. This entails developing effective relationships with both community leaders and residents, understanding the needs and priorities of the community, and effectively communicating how law enforcement's efforts are helping to reduce crime and increase public safety. Additionally, PSN encourages partnerships with local prevention and reentry programs that

can help reduce violent crime by keeping at risk populations (especially at risk youth) from offending in the first place.

### 5. Accountability

PSN must maintain accountability by measuring results based on outcomes (reduction of violent crime) as well as numbers of investigations and prosecutions. PSN's success is ultimately measured by the reduction in violent crime. This core element emphasizes that task forces will collect and analyze data to focus on outcomes—i.e., reduced violent crime. This accountability element is linked to strategic planning where PSN task forces monitor crime data over time, as related to the targeted problems and/or targeted areas.

BJA is seeking multiple training and technical assistance (TTA) providers that will develop the PSN National TTA Program to support and deliver TTA to state and local law enforcement, criminal justice professionals, research partners, and communities across the United States. The TTA providers will be responsible for responding to TTA requests from USA Offices (USAOs), and will assist the 94 USAO PSN task forces to achieve the five design features. This solicitation will seek partners to provide TTA in the following categories: (1) Strategic Problem Solving and Site Coordination; (2) Law Enforcement and Prosecution-focused Strategies; and (3) Victims-centered Focused Strategies. The partners selected will also provide assistance to the active National Public Safety Partnership (PSP) <sup>2</sup> sites.

The PSN National TTA Program is supported by research and evidence-based practices and strategies. The program combines the leadership of DOJ and the expertise of nationally recognized criminal justice practitioners and action research experts to deliver a wide variety of TTA nationwide.

### **PSN Suite**

PSN is the lead initiative in a suite of programs focused on reducing violent crime. The programs in the PSN Suite are PSN; Strategies for Policing Innovation; Innovative Prosecution Solutions; Crime Gun Intelligence Centers; National Public Safety Partnership; Supporting Innovation: Field Initiated; Technology Innovation for Public Safety; Innovations in Community-Based Crime Reduction; and Community-Based Violence Prevention Demonstration. These initiatives will coordinate proactively with the PSN task force in each respective district of the USAO to enhance collaboration and strengthen the commitment to reducing violent crime.

Individual PSN sites will leverage the TTA solicited through this competitive grant announcement to implement solutions to violent crime problems. The results of these efforts will assist the 94 PSN task forces to enhance the quality and outcomes of their PSN projects. BJA encourages applicants to form multiple partnerships (e.g., with law enforcement experts, former prosecutors, evidence and investigation experts, community leaders, researchers, strategic planners, crime analysts, victim advocates, etc.) to assemble the most complete TTA team in response to this solicitation.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PSP is a program that provides diagnostics and operations assistance tailored to the needs of a community to enhance the capacity to address violent crime. PSP is designed to leverage the multidisciplinary expertise of practitioners throughout the Department, in conjunction with experienced consultants and a full array of training and technical assistance providers, to help law enforcement agencies in these selected locations enhance their capacity to address violent crime in their communities. See: nationalpublicsafetypartnership.org

### **Objectives and Deliverables**

The overall purpose of the PSN National TTA Program is to support the needs of the 94 PSN task forces and to provide TTA, resources, instruction, and information that will enable them to reduce violent crime and support victims of violent crime. The general objectives of the PSN TTA Program are to:

- Upon request, work with PSN task forces and their partners to utilize evidence-based policies and procedures to develop and implement violent crime reduction strategies.
- Enhance services and resources for violent crime victims.
- Provide assistance to reduce witness intimidation and enhance witness protection.
- Assist current and future PSN sites to successfully implement data-driven and problemsolving activities in their efforts to reduce violent crime.
- Improve and enhance PSN partners' understanding of the PSN Program objectives.
- Engage proactively with PSN sites to assess progress, address challenges, and identify solutions.
- Communicate regularly with BJA to assess the impact of TTA services on PSN Program objectives and the progress of individual sites.
- Collaborate with local USAOs, BJA, and the Executive Office for United States Attorneys
  (EOUSA) to identify and provide training and/or technical assistance to address a wide
  range of violence reduction issues for state and local law enforcement and the community.

### Category 1: Strategic Problem Solving and Site Coordination

PSN uses a strategic problem-solving model<sup>3</sup> to identify the nature of violent crime problems, as well as formulate strategies to address the most critical aspects of these crime problems.

For Category 1, applicants should describe the technical assistance they will provide to individual state and local law enforcement and criminal justice agencies, as well as communities located throughout the United States. Applicants should also describe how they will coordinate the technical assistance delivered to local USAOs in the implementation, operation, and assessment of their violent crime strategies (e.g., Boston Ceasefire, Hot Spot Policing, etc.). This assistance must focus on all aspects of strategic problem solving to include assessing the problem, implementing strategies, monitoring implementation, and evaluating the impact of the program. In this context, technical assistance can be onsite or office-based, using a cadre of experienced individuals and working in a peer-to-peer context. Technical assistance may also be provided through webinars and teleconferences. In each instance, technical assistance should be tailored to meet the needs of the PSN task force requesting the assistance.

The selected Category 1 TTA provider will also be responsible for assisting with the coordination of the activities of the other PSN TTA providers (Categories 2 and 3), in addition to

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PSN strongly encourages a partnership to engage a research entity, where local researchers may work with PSN task forces and engage in ongoing problem-solving research. The research partners may assist the task forces with focusing resources on the most serious people, places, and contexts of violent crime. The research partners can also bring evidence-based strategies and practices to the task forces' discussions of violence reduction strategies. Additionally, the research partners may provide continual assessment and feedback to the PSN task force, and evaluate the impact on the program.

responding to requests from BJA. In other words, the selected TTA provider will serve as the primary TTA provider to the PSN Program.

The objectives specific to the Category 1 TTA provider include assisting the PSN task forces to:

- Develop strategic plans.
- Use data to help focus resources to prevent and deter violent crime.
- Identify and implement effective violent crime reduction strategies, to support the development of attainable violent crime reduction objectives.
- Conduct social network analysis.
- Help to develop and implement effective violence reduction strategies.
- Construct chronic offender lists.
- If applicable, ensure there is a local tracking mechanism to track felonious use and possession of firearms cases and outcomes at the federal and state levels.
- Ensure that crime analysts and research partners, if applicable, have the analytical skills necessary to perform hot spot and social network analysis to help the task force target investigations.
- Collect and analyze data, and evaluate local violent crime reduction strategies to determine appropriate strategies and measure their impact.

### Category 1 deliverables should include:

- Development and administration of an assessment tool that helps each PSN task force assess its capacity for effective implementation and to identify concrete action steps to increase its capacity to reduce violent crime.
- Delivery of an annual training for new PSN grantees to help them develop a strategic plan for their violent crime reduction initiative.
- Scan of the field to identify emerging trends, promising practices, and model programs and distribute this information to the field. This will include support for law enforcement agencies rural areas.
- Provision of tools and technical assistance in translating relevant research into practice, in particular, in support of law enfocement agencies in rural areas.
- Development of reports, publications, and other outreach vehicles to relay research and information about effective strategies to PSN task forces.

The TTA provider also will be required to participate in BJA's GrantStat for specified grantees. Through GrantStat, BJA management and staff examine the performance of the grant programs funded by BJA by tracking grantee or program performance along several key indicators. GrantStat calls for the collection and analysis of performance data and other relevant grant-level information that enables BJA, as well as our partners, to be held accountable for the grantees' and programs' performance, as measured against the program's objectives.

### Category 2: Law Enforcement and Prosecution-focused Strategies

For Category 2, applications are being solicited to provide TTA nationwide to state and local law enforcement, prosecutors, and communities to reduce violent crime, improve officer safety, and enhance jurisdictions' illegal firearms interdiction and prosecution efforts.

The objectives include assisting the PSN task forces, where applicable, to:

- Address youth intervention and adult crime reduction issues in a range of formats.
- Scan the field to identify new trends and issues relating to reducing violent crime, including but not limited to, felonious possession and use of firearms and criminal gang violence.
- Assist district attorneys, working with the United States Attorneys, to develop gun case review and crime prosecution strategies.
- Develop and coordinate GunStat meetings.
- Understand and be able to employ strategies to respond to armed persons.
- Use National Integrated Ballistic Information Network (NIBIN)<sup>4</sup> information as an investigative tool.
- Help to develop a community engagement program with involvement from law enforcement officers and prosecutors.
- Coordinate charging and prosecution decisions in targeted cases.
- Support the development of attainable violent crime reduction goals.
- Ensure that strategies are coordinated with the FBI and ATF.
- Ensure that strategies are coordinated with crime gun intelligence centers<sup>5</sup> (where appropriate).
- Ensure that prosecutors and law enforcement have the skills necessary to interpret and use information from hot spot and social network analysis to target investigations.

### Category 2 deliverables should include:

- Responding to TTA requests from PSN task forces that relate to violent crime and violence prevention strategies. In particular, providing support to rural law enforcement agencies serving on the PSN task forces.
- Provision of TTA services using a range of methods including online training, networking, technical assistance, and peer-to-peer learning.
- Development and delivery of cutting-edge instructional materials to state and local law enforcement, prosecution, criminal justice stakeholders, and communities throughout the United States about violent crime. Topics captured in these materials and training sessions should include, but are not limited to:
  - Techniques for handling felonious possession and use of firearms cases, from initial detection and recovery, through the investigation and processing of both the criminal defendant and the firearms evidence, to successful prosecution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.atf.gov/firearms/national-integrated-ballistic-information-network-nibin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://crimegunintelcenters.org/

- Techniques used for Firearms Tracing and Investigative Tools for Firearms Identification as well as National Integrated Ballistics Information Network (NIBIN) and e-Trace.
- Techniques/strategies used for criminal gang suspect interview, interrogation, and debriefing.
- Techniques for identifying/recognizing vehicles with hidden compartments used to transport illegal drugs and contraband.
- Advanced techniques to identify/recognize armed suspects and their characteristics to improve officer safety, as well as develop training materials that build mutual trust between law enforcement and the community.
- Identifying promising prevention programs nationwide.
- Development and provision of TTA to prosecutors in the following areas:
  - Enhancing prosecutions of violent crime.
  - o Using social media in investigations of violent crime.
  - Creating arrest alert systems, a tool to track priority offenders involved in or cases for felonious possession and use of firearms and/or criminal gang violence.
  - Using research partners and crime analysts, if applicable, to better inform prosecutors.
  - o Enhancing intelligence-driven prosecutorial decision making.
  - Assisting district attorneys to develop reviews of felonious possession and use of firearms cases and crime prosecution strategies, including, but not limited to, referrals for federal prosecution of illegal federal firearms licensees and felonious possession and use.
  - Coordinating charging and prosecution decisions in targeted cases.

### Category 3: Victim-centered Focused Strategies

For Category 3, BJA is partnering with OJP's Office for Victims of Crime (OVC) to solicit applications to provide leadership, resources, and assistance in enhancing attitudes, policies, and practices to promote justice and healing for violent crime victims.

The objectives include assisting the PSN task forces to:

- Enhance the understanding and skills of local and state police, prosecutors, and victim specialists to assist victims of violent crime.
- Enhance capacity to provide support and resources that will help empower survivors of violent crime.
- Enhance understanding of the best practices for criminal justice professionals to assist victims of violent crime and minimize retraumatization, including understanding of the impact of trauma on victim responses to crime.
- Help mitigate retaliatory violence and victim/witness intimidation.
- Provide support for PSN task forces and National Public Safety Partnership (PSP) teams.

Category 3 deliverables should include:

- Provision of assessment, training, and support to help PSN and PSP sites better address
  and potentially mitigate the effects of vicarious trauma (relating to victim services,
  emergency medical services, fire services, law enforcement, and other allied professionals
  working within areas that have sustained violent crime and/or criminal gangs).
- Conducting victim-focused gaps and needs assessments for PSN and PSP sites, and help implement assessment recommendations.
- Development and provision of training to help mitigate retaliatory violence and victim/witness intimidation.
- Provision of web-based materials to assist victim advocates and service providers working with victims of violent crime.
- Identification of emerging trends, promising practices, and model programs that are being
  used to assist victims of violent crime; also development of a communication mechanism to
  brief and update PSN and PSP sites on the effective strategies.
- Development of web-based materials to assist law enforcement, prosecutors, victim advocates, and service providers working with victims of violent crime.
- Provision of TTA for criminal justice professionals, including court personnel, who assist and/or may encounter violent crime victims.
- Participation in violence reduction meetings and webinars.

BJA TTA providers are required to coordinate all TTA activities with BJA's National Training and Technical Assistance Center (NTTAC). The successful applicant will be required to comply with NTTAC protocols in order to ensure coordinated delivery of services and effective use of BJA TTA grant funding. BJA reserves the right to reasonably modify these protocols at any time at its discretion.

Applicants should, within their applications, factor in an approximate 120-day pre-approval time period for training delivery; the approval request will need to be submitted at least 120 days prior to the proposed training delivery date.

The Objectives and Deliverables are directly related to the performance measures that demonstrate the results of the work completed, as discussed in <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>, under Program Narrative.

### **Evidence-based Programs or Practices**

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://www.bjatraining.org/

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based.

The OJP CrimeSolutions.gov website at <a href="https://www.crimesolutions.gov">https://www.crimesolutions.gov</a> is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

### Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

The Department of Justice has prioritized the use of evidence-based programming and deems it critical to continue to build and expand the evidence informing criminal and juvenile justice programs to reach the highest level of rigor possible. Therefore, applicants should note that the OJP may conduct or support an evaluation of the programs and activities funded under this solicitation. Recipients and subrecipients will be expected to cooperate with program-related assessments or evaluation efforts, including through the collection and provision of information or data requested by OJP (or its designee) for the assessment or evaluation of any activities and/or outcomes of those activities funded under this solicitation. The information or data requested may be in addition to any other financial or performance data already required under this program.

### B. Federal Award Information

BJA expects to make up to three awards of up to \$1,000,000 each with an estimated total amount awarded of up to \$3,000,000. Applicants must clearly indicate on the front page of the Program Abstract and Program Narrative under which category or categories they are applying.

Category 1: Strategic Problem Solving and Site Coordination. 1 award, up to \$1,000,000. Competition ID: BJA-2018-13969

Category 2: Law Enforcement and Prosecution Focused Strategies. 1 award, up to \$1,000,000. Competition ID: BJA-2018-13970

Category 3: Victim-centered Focused Strategies. 1 award, up to: \$1,000,000. Competition ID: BJA-2018-14658

For categories 1–3, each award will be for a 36-month program period, beginning on October 1, 2018.

BJA may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this solicitation, through continuation awards. In making decisions regarding continuation awards, OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, when the program or project was last competed, OJP's strategic priorities, and OJP's assessment of both the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

### Type of Award

BJA expects to make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See <a href="Administrative">Administrative</a>, <a href="National Policy">National Policy</a>, and <a href="Other Legal Requirements">Other Legal Requirements</a>, under <a href="Section F. Federal Award Administration Information">Section F. Federal Award Administration Information</a>, for a brief discussion of what may constitute substantial federal involvement.

### Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities<sup>7</sup>) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements<sup>8</sup> as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that [the recipient (and any subrecipient)] is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- (b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.
- (c) Evaluate and monitor [the recipient's (and any subrecipient's)] compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or [the recipient (or any subrecipient)] considers sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

To help ensure that applicants understand the applicable administrative requirements and cost principles, OJP encourages prospective applicants to enroll, at no charge, in the DOJ Grants Financial Management Online Training, available at <a href="https://ojpfgm.webfirst.com/">https://ojpfgm.webfirst.com/</a>. (This training is required for all OJP award recipients.)

<sup>7</sup> For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase "pass-through entity" includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward ("subgrant") to a subrecipient (subgrantee) to carry out part of the funded award or program. Additional information on proposed subawards is listed under What an Application Should Include, Section 4c of this solicitation. 
<sup>8</sup> The "Part 200 Uniform Requirements" means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

Also, applicants should be aware that OJP collects information from applicants on their financial management and systems of internal controls (among other information) which is used to make award decisions. Under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>, applicants may access and review a questionnaire—the <u>OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire</u>—that OJP requires <u>all</u> applicants (other than an individual applying in his/her personal capacity) to download, complete, and submit as part of the application.

### **Budget Information**

### **Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement**

This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

For additional information on cost sharing and match, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <a href="https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.3b.htm">https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.3b.htm</a>.

### **Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)**

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does **not** typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant. (Generally, no applicant should incur program costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs.) Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient's approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <a href="https://ojp.gov/financialquide/DOJ/index.htm">https://ojp.gov/financialquide/DOJ/index.htm</a> for more information.

### Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, a recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. The 2018 salary table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management website at <a href="https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/18Tables/exec/html/ES.aspx">https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/18Tables/exec/html/ES.aspx</a>. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Non-federal funds used for any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds, where match requirements apply.) If only a portion of an employee's time is charged to an OJP award, the maximum allowable compensation is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed in Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, this limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant that requests a waiver should include a detailed justification in the Budget Narrative of its application. An applicant that does not submit a waiver request and justification with its application should anticipate that OJP will require the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should address, in the context of the work the individual would do under the award, the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of a service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the proposed program or project, and a statement that explains whether and how the individual's salary under the award would be commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work he/she would do under the award.

### Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference, meeting-, or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning, and reporting of such events, available at

https://www.oip.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect program timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients, as well as some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, which include a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

### **Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)**

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services, where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" in the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm.

# C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see <u>Section B. Federal Award</u> Information.

### D. Application and Submission Information

### What an Application Should Include

This section describes in detail what an application should include. An applicant should anticipate that if it fails to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of its application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of award conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds until the recipient satisfies the conditions and OJP makes the funds available.

Moreover, an applicant should anticipate that an application that OJP determines is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that OJP determines does not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review, nor receive further consideration. For this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Abstract, Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative, Résumés of Key Staff, and Timeline and Task Plan.

<u>NOTE</u>: OJP has combined the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. See "Budget Information and Associated Documentation" below for more information about the Budget Detail Worksheet and where it can be accessed.

Applicants must clearly indicate on the front page of the Program Abstract and Program Narrative under which category or categories they are applying.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "Budget Detail Worksheet," "Timelines," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

Please review the "Note on File Names and File Types" under <u>How To Apply</u> to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

### 1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of preapplications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

To avoid processing delays, an applicant must include an accurate legal name on its SF-424. On the SF-424, current OJP award recipients, when completing the field for "Legal Name" (box 8a), should use the same legal name that appears on the prior year award document (which is also the legal name stored in OJP's financial system.) Also, these recipients should enter the Employer Identification Number (EIN) in box 8b exactly as it appears on the prior year award document. An applicant with a current, active award(s) must ensure that its GMS profile is current. If the profile is not current, the applicant should submit a Grant Adjustment Notice updating the information on its GMS profile prior to applying under this solicitation.

A new applicant entity should enter its official legal name in box 8a, its address in box 8d, its EIN in box 8b, and its Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in box 8c of the SF-424. A new applicant entity should attach official legal documents to its application (e.g., articles of incorporation, 501(c)(3) status documentation, organizational letterhead, etc.) to confirm the legal name, address, and EIN entered into the SF-424. OJP will use the System for Award Management (SAM) to confirm the legal name and DUNS number entered in the SF-424; therefore, an applicant should ensure that the information entered in the SF-424 matches its current registration in SAM. See the How to Apply section for more information on SAM and DUNS numbers.

Intergovernmental Review: This solicitation ("funding opportunity") is subject to Executive Order 12372. An applicant may find the names and addresses of State Single Points of Contact (SPOCs) at the following website: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Intergovernmental\_-Review-\_SPOC\_01\_2018\_OFFM.pdf. If the State appears on the SPOC list, the applicant must contact the State SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the State's process under E.O. 12372. In completing the SF-424, an applicant whose State appears on the SPOC list is to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 once the applicant has complied with its State E.O. 12372 process. (An applicant whose State does not appear on the SPOC list should answer question 19 by selecting the response that the: "Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.")

### 2. Program Abstract

Applications should include a high quality program abstract that summarizes the proposed program in 400 words or less. The Program Abstract should:

- Identify what category the applicant is applying for.
- Be written for a general public audience.
- Be submitted as a separate attachment with "Program Abstract" as part of its file name.
- Be single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (such as Times New Roman) with 1inch margins.
- Include information on:
  - o Proposed program period
  - Key partners

As a separate attachment, the Program Abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the Program Narrative.

### 3. Program Narrative

The Program Narrative must respond to the solicitation (see program-specific information on pages 4–11) and the Review Criteria (pages 32–33). The Program Narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 20 pages. Number pages "1 of 20," "2 of 20," etc.

If the Program Narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the Program Narrative 10:

- a. Statement of the problem
- b. Program Design and Implementation
- c. Capabilities and Competencies
- d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit regular performance data that demonstrate the results of the work carried out under the award (see "General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements" in Section F. Federal Award Administration Information). The performance data directly relate to the objectives and deliverables identified under "Objectives and Deliverables" in Section A. Program Description.

Applicants should visit OJP's performance measurement page at <a href="https://www.ojp.gov/performance">www.ojp.gov/performance</a> for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP. Performance measures for this solicitation are listed in <a href="https://www.appendix.a

The application should demonstrate the applicant's understanding of the performance data reporting requirements for this grant program and detail how the applicant will gather the required data should it receive funding.

Please note that applicants are **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Performance measures information is included as an alert that successful applicants will be required to submit performance data as part of the reporting requirements under an award.

Award recipients will be required to provide the relevant data by submitting quarterly performance metrics through BJA's online Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) located at bjapmt.ojp.gov. Applicants should examine the complete list of performance indicators at <a href="https://bjapmt.ojp.gov/help/PSNMeasures.pdf">https://bjapmt.ojp.gov/help/PSNMeasures.pdf</a>.

### **Note on Project Evaluations**

An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute "research." Each applicant should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project it proposes would either intentionally or unintentionally

<sup>10</sup> For information on subawards (including the details on proposed subawards that should be included in the application), see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>.

collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ definition of research that appears at 28 C.F.R. Part 46 ("Protection of Human Subjects").

"Research," for purposes of human subjects protection for OJP-funded programs, is defined as "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge." 28 C.F.R. 46.102(d).

For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research for purposes of human subjects protection, applicants should consult the decision tree in the "Research and the protection of human subjects" section of the "Requirements related to Research" webpage of the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards," available through the OJP Funding Resource Center at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm</a>. Every prospective applicant whose application may propose a research or statistical component also should review the "Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements" section on that webpage.

### 4. Budget and Associated Documentation

The Budget Detail Worksheet and the Budget Narrative are now combined in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet is a user-friendly, fillable, Microsoft Excel-based document designed to calculate totals. Additionally, the Excel workbook contains worksheets for multiple budget years that can be completed as necessary. All applicants should use the Excel version when completing the proposed budget in an application, except in cases where the applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties. If an applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties with the Excel version, then the applicant should use the 508-compliant accessible Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) version.

Both versions of the Budget Detail Worksheet can be accessed at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Forms/BudgetDetailWorksheet.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Forms/BudgetDetailWorksheet.htm</a>.

### a. Budget Detail Worksheet

The Budget Detail Worksheet should provide the detailed computation for each budget line item, listing the total cost of each and showing how it was calculated by the applicant. For example, costs for personnel should show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time devoted to the project for each employee paid with grant funds. The Budget Detail Worksheet should present a complete itemization of all proposed costs.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <a href="https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm">https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm</a>.

### b. Budget Narrative

The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe <u>every</u> category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for program activities).

An applicant should demonstrate in its budget narrative how it will maximize cost effectiveness of award expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the program. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be

used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The Budget Narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond clearly with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated <u>all</u> costs, and how those costs are necessary to the completion of the proposed program. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes, but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should describe costs by year.

# c. Information on Proposed Subawards (if any), as well as on Proposed Procurement Contracts (if any)

Applicants for OJP awards typically may propose to make *subawards*. Applicants also may propose to enter into procurement *contracts* under the award.

Whether an action—for federal grants administrative purposes—is a subaward or procurement contract is a critical distinction as significantly different rules apply to subawards and procurement contracts. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a subaward of an OJP award, specific rules apply—many of which are set by federal statutes and DOJ regulations; others by award conditions. These rules place particular responsibilities on an OJP recipient for any subawards the OJP recipient may make. The rules determine much of what the written subaward agreement itself must require or provide. The rules also determine much of what an OJP recipient must do both before and after it makes a subaward. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a procurement contract under an OJP award, a substantially different set of federal rules applies.

OJP has developed the following guidance documents to help clarify the differences between subawards and procurement contracts under an OJP award and outline the compliance and reporting requirements for each. This information can be accessed online at <a href="https://ojp.gov/training/training.htm">https://ojp.gov/training/training.htm</a>.

- <u>Subawards under OJP Awards and Procurement Contracts under Awards: A Toolkit for OJP Recipients.</u>
- Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification.
- Sole Source Justification Fact Sheet and Sole Source Review Checklist.

In general, the central question is the relationship between what the third-party will do under its agreement with the recipient and what the recipient has committed (to OJP) to do under its award to further a public purpose (e.g., services the recipient will provide, products it will develop or modify, research or evaluation it will conduct). If a third party will provide some of the services the recipient has committed (to OJP) to provide, will develop or modify all or part of a product the recipient has committed (to OJP) to develop or modify, or will conduct part of the research or evaluation the recipient has committed (to OJP) to conduct, OJP will consider the agreement with the third party a subaward for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements.

This will be true **even if** the recipient, for internal or other non-federal purposes, labels or treats its agreement as a procurement, a contract, or a procurement contract. Neither the title nor the structure of an agreement determines whether the agreement—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is a *subaward* or is instead a procurement *contract* under an award. The substance of the relationship should be given

greater consideration than the form of agreement between the recipient and the outside entity.

### 1. Information on proposed subawards

A recipient of an OJP award may not make subawards ("subgrants") unless the recipient has specific federal authorization to do so. Unless an applicable statute or DOJ regulation specifically authorizes (or requires) subawards, a recipient must have authorization from OJP before it may make a subaward.

A particular subaward may be authorized by OJP because the recipient included a sufficiently detailed description and justification of the proposed subaward in the Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative as approved by OJP. If, however, a particular subaward is not authorized by federal statute or regulation, and is not approved by OJP, the recipient will be required, post-award, to request and obtain written authorization from OJP before it may make the subaward.

If an applicant proposes to make one or more subawards to carry out the federal award and program, the applicant should: (1) identify (if known) the proposed subrecipient(s), (2) describe in detail what each subrecipient will do to carry out the federal award and federal program, and (3) provide a justification for the subaward(s), with details on pertinent matters such as special qualifications and areas of expertise. Pertinent information on subawards should appear not only in the Program Narrative, but also in the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.

# 2. Information on proposed procurement contracts (with specific justification for proposed noncompetitive contracts over \$150,000)

Unlike a recipient contemplating a subaward, a recipient of an OJP award generally does not need specific prior federal authorization to enter into an agreement that—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is considered a procurement contract, **provided that** (1) the recipient uses its own documented procurement procedures and (2) those procedures conform to applicable federal law, including the Procurement Standards of the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements (as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 - 200.326). The Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative should identify proposed procurement contracts. (As discussed above, subawards must be identified and described separately from procurement contracts.)

The Procurement Standards in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, however, reflect a general expectation that agreements that (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) constitute procurement "contracts" under awards will be entered into on the basis of full and open competition. All noncompetitive (sole source) procurement contracts must meet the OJP requirements outlined at <a href="https://ojp.gov/training/subawards-procurement.htm">https://ojp.gov/training/subawards-procurement.htm</a>. If a proposed procurement contract would exceed the simplified acquisition threshold—currently, \$150,000—a recipient of an OJP award may not proceed without competition unless and until the recipient receives specific advance authorization from OJP to use a non-competitive approach for the procurement. An applicant that (at the time of its application) intends—without competition—to enter into a procurement contract that would exceed \$150,000 should include a detailed justification that explains to OJP why, in the particular circumstances, it is appropriate to proceed without competition.

If the applicant receives an award, sole source procurements that do not exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$150,000) must have written justification for the noncompetitive procurement action maintained in the procurement file. If a procurement file does not have the documentation that meets the criteria outlined in 2 C.F.R. 200, the procurement expenditures may not be allowable. Sole source procurement over the \$150,000 Simplified Acquisition Threshold must have prior approval from OJP using a Sole Source Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN). Written documentation justifying the noncompetitive procurement must be submitted with the GAN and maintained in the procurement file.

### d. Pre-Agreement Costs

For information on pre-agreement costs, see <u>Section B. Federal Award Information</u>.

### 5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs may be charged to an award only if:

- (a) The recipient has a current (unexpired), federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The recipient is eligible to use, and elects to use, the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

An applicant with a current (unexpired) federally approved indirect cost rate is to attach a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement to the application. An applicant that does not have a current federally approved rate may request one through its cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant entity, or, if the applicant's accounting system permits, applicants may propose to allocate costs in the direct cost categories.

For assistance with identifying the appropriate cognizant federal agency for indirect costs, please contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Customer Service Center at 1–800–458–0786 or at <a href="mailto:ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov">ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov</a>. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at <a href="https://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf">https://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf</a>.

Certain OJP recipients have the option of electing to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate. An applicant that is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate that wishes to use the "de minimis" rate should attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both—(1) the applicant's eligibility to use the "de minimis" rate, and (2) its election to do so. If an eligible applicant elects the "de minimis" rate, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. The "de minimis" rate may no longer be used once an approved federally negotiated indirect cost rate is in place. (No entity that ever has had a federally approved negotiated indirect cost rate is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate.) For the "de minimis" rate requirements (including information on eligibility to elect to use the rate), see the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

### 6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

A tribe, tribal organization, or third party that proposes to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in its application a resolution, letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that demonstrates (as a legal matter) that the applicant has the requisite authorization from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed program on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes

applies for an award on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the award. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

An applicant unable to submit an application that includes a fully-executed (i.e., signed) copy of legal appropriate documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe's governance structure, should, at a minimum, submit an unsigned, draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except for cases in which, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, OJP will make use of and access to award funds contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

# 7. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high risk status)

Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in his or her personal capacity) is required to download, complete, and submit the OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (Questionnaire) at

https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf as part of its application. The Questionnaire helps OJP assess the financial management and internal control systems, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process.

The Questionnaire should only be completed by financial staff most familiar with the applicant's systems, policies, and procedures in order to ensure that the correct responses are recorded and submitted to OJP. The responses on the Questionnaire directly impact the pre-award risk assessment and should accurately reflect the applicant's financial management and internal control system at the time of the application. The pre-award risk assessment is only one of multiple factors and criteria used in determining funding. However, a pre-award risk assessment that indicates that an applicant poses a higher risk to OJP may affect the funding decision and/or result in additional reporting requirements, monitoring, special conditions, withholding of award funds, or other additional award requirements.

Among other things, the form requires each applicant to disclose whether it currently is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal awarding agency, the applicant must provide the following information:

- The federal awarding agency that currently designates the applicant high risk
- The date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address)
- The reasons for the high risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency

OJP seeks this information to help ensure appropriate federal oversight of OJP awards. An

applicant that is considered "high risk" by another federal awarding agency is not automatically disqualified from receiving an OJP award. OJP may, however, consider the information in award decisions, and may impose additional OJP oversight of any award under this solicitation (including through the conditions that accompany the award document).

### 8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Each applicant must complete and submit this information. An applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities is to provide all of the information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) posted at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/Disclosure.pdf">https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/Disclosure.pdf</a>. An applicant that does not expend any funds for lobbying activities is to enter "N/A" in the text boxes for item 10 ("a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant" and "b. Individuals Performing Services").

### 9. Additional Attachments

### a. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same program being proposed in the application under this solicitation, and (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. The applicant is to disclose applications made directly to federal awarding agencies, and also applications for subawards of federal funds (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward ("subgrant") federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Each applicant that has one or more pending applications as described above is to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency
- The solicitation name/program name
- The point of contact information at the applicable federal or state funding agency

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Program Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Federal or State Funding Agency
DOJ/Office of	COPS Hiring	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000;
Community Oriented	Program	jane.doe@usdoj.gov
Policing Services		
(COPS)		

Health and Human	Drug-Free	John Doe, 202/000-0000;
Services/Substance	Communities	john.doe@hhs.gov
Abuse and Mental	Mentoring Program/	
Health Services	North County Youth	
Administration	Mentoring Program	

Each applicant should include the table as a separate attachment to its application. The file should be named "Disclosure of Pending Applications." The applicant's Legal Name on the application must match the entity named on the disclosure of pending applications statement.

Any applicant that does not have any pending applications as described above is to submit, as a separate attachment, a statement to this effect: "[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have (and is not proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements (or for subawards under federal grants or cooperative agreements) that request funding to support the same program being proposed in this application to OJP and that would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted as part of this application."

### b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The applicant must demonstrate independence and integrity regarding both this proposed research and/or evaluation, and any current or prior related programs.

Each application should include an attachment that addresses **both** i. and ii. below:

- i. For purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including one of the following two items:
  - a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its application to identify any actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients), and that the applicant has identified no such conflicts of interest—whether personal or financial or organizational (including on the part of the applicant entity or on the part of staff, investigators, or subrecipients)—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, and reporting of the research.

OR

b. A specific description of actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified—including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research. These conflicts

may be personal (e.g., on the part of investigators or other staff), financial, or organizational (related to the applicant or any subrecipient entity). Some examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations are those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse's work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization would not be given an award to evaluate a project, if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), because the organization in such an instance might appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.

- ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to address possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
  - a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. The applicant also is to include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and prevent (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OR

b. If the applicant has identified actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) that could affect the independence and integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research, the applicant is to provide a specific and robust mitigation plan to address each of those conflicts. At a minimum, the applicant is expected to explain the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no quarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OJP will assess research and evaluation independence and integrity based on considerations such as the adequacy of the applicant's efforts to identify factors that

could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the applicant entity (and any subrecipients) in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant's existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

### c. Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation

An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may be required to make certain disclosures relating to the processes it uses to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees.

Under certain circumstances, a nonprofit organization that provides unreasonably high compensation to certain persons may subject both the organization's managers and those who receive the compensation to additional federal taxes. A rebuttable presumption of the reasonableness of a nonprofit organization's compensation arrangements, however, may be available if the nonprofit organization satisfied certain rules set out in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations with regard to its compensation decisions.

Each applicant nonprofit organization must state at the time of its application (question 9c in the "OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire" located at

http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf and mentioned earlier) whether or not the applicant entity believes (or asserts) that it currently satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to establishing or invoking a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals and entities).

A nonprofit organization that states in the questionnaire that it believes (or asserts) that it has satisfied the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 must then disclose, in an attachment to its application (to be titled "Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation"), the process used by the applicant nonprofit organization to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees (together, "covered persons").

At a minimum, the disclosure must describe in pertinent detail: (1) the composition of the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; (2) the methods and practices used by the applicant nonprofit organization to ensure that no individual with a conflict of interest participates as a member of the body that reviews and approves a compensation arrangement for a covered person; (3) the appropriate data as to comparability of compensation that is obtained in advance and relied upon by the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; and (4) the written or electronic records that the applicant organization maintains as concurrent documentation of the decisions with respect to compensation of covered persons made by the body that reviews and approves such compensation arrangements, including records of deliberations and of the basis for decisions.

For purposes of the required disclosure, the following terms and phrases have the meanings set out by the IRS for use in connection with 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6: officers, directors, trustees, key employees, compensation, conflict of interest, appropriate data as to comparability, adequate documentation, and concurrent documentation.

Applicant nonprofit organizations should note that following receipt of an appropriate request, OJP may be authorized or required by law to make information submitted to satisfy this requirement available for public inspection. Also, a recipient may be required to make a prompt supplemental disclosure after the award in certain circumstances (e.g., changes in the way the organization determines compensation).

#### d. Other

- Program Timeline and Task Plan with each objective, activity, expected completion date, and responsible person or organization.
- Job descriptions that outline the roles, responsibilities, and qualifications for all key positions, including the research partner.
- Résumés for staff identified for these positions, if known.
- Letters of support from any subgrantees or subject experts.

### **How To Apply**

Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html</a>. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726 or 606–545–5035, which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

Important Grants.gov update. Grants.gov has updated its application tool. The legacy PDF application package was retired on December 31, 2017. Grants.gov Workspace is now the standard application method for applying for grants. OJP applicants should familiarize themselves with the Workspace option now. For complete information and instructions on using Workspace (and other changes), go to the Workspace Overview page at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.html</a>.

Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur**, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation of registration and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/manage-subscriptions.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/manage-subscriptions.html</a>. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

**Browser Information:** Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

**Note on Attachments:** Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: "mandatory" and "optional." OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Attachments are also labeled to

describe the file being attached (e.g., Program Narrative, Budget Narrative, Other, etc.) Please ensure that all required documents are attached in the correct Grants.gov category and are labeled correctly. Do not embed "mandatory" attachments within another file.

**Note on File Names and File Types:** Grants.gov <u>only</u> permits the use of <u>certain specific</u> characters in the file names of attachments. Valid file names may include <u>only</u> the characters shown in the table. Grants.gov forwards successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

Characters
Upper case (A – Z)
Lower case (a – z)
Underscore ()
Hyphen ( - )
Space
Period (.)

Special Characters		
Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Ampersand (&)*	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe ( ' )
At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)

<sup>\*</sup>When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the "&" format.

**GMS** does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: ".com," ".bat," ".exe," ".vbs," ".cfg," ".dat," ".db," ".dbf," ".dll," ".ini," ".log," ".ora," ".sys," and ".zip." GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

Unique Entity Identifier (DUNS Number) and System for Award Management (SAM) Every applicant entity must comply with all applicable System for Award Management (SAM) and unique entity identifier (currently, a Data Universal Numbering System [DUNS] number) requirements. SAM is the repository for certain standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit identification number provided by the commercial company Dun and Bradstreet. More detailed information about SAM and the DUNS number is in the numbered sections below.

If an applicant entity has not fully complied with the applicable SAM and unique identifier requirements by the time OJP makes award decisions, OJP may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making the award to a different applicant.

### Applying as an Individual

An individual who wishes to apply in his/her personal capacity should search Grants.gov for funding opportunities for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. (An applicant applying as an individual must comply with all applicable Grants.gov individual registration requirements.)

Enter the FON at <a href="https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister">https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister</a> to complete the registration form and create a username and password for Grants.gov. (An applicant applying as an individual should complete all steps below except 1, 2 and 4.)

### **Registration and Submission Steps**

 Acquire a unique entity identifier (currently, a DUNS number). In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires every applicant for a federal award (other than an individual) to include a "unique entity identifier" in each application, including an application for a supplemental award. Currently, a DUNS number is the required unique entity identifier.

This unique entity identifier is used for tracking purposes, and to validate address and point of contact information for applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. It will be used throughout the life cycle of an OJP award. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at <a href="https://www.dnb.com/">https://www.dnb.com/</a>. A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.

2. Acquire or maintain registration with SAM. Any applicant for an OJP award creating a new entity registration in SAM.gov must provide an original, signed notarized letter stating that the applicant is the authorized Entity Administrator before the registration will be activated. To learn more about this process change, read the FAQs at <a href="https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/organization/federal-acquisition-service/office-of-systems-management/integrated-award-environment-iae/sam-update">https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/organization/federal-acquisition-service/office-of-systems-management/integrated-award-environment-iae/sam-update</a>. Information about the notarized letter is posted at <a href="https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/answer.do?sysparm-kbid=d2e67885db0d5f00b3257d321f96194b&sysparm-search=kb-0013183">https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/answer.do?sysparm-kbid=d2e67885db0d5f00b3257d321f96194b&sysparm-search=kb-0013183</a>.

All applicants for OJP awards (other than individuals) must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants will need the authorizing official of the organization and an Employer Identification Number (EIN). An applicant must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Each applicant must **update or renew its SAM registration at least annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete (2 more weeks to acquire an EIN).

An application cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take as long as 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.SAM.gov.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password. Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. An applicant entity's "unique entity identifier" (DUNS number) must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations and other entities, go to <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html</a>. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/registration.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/registration.html</a>.
- 4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance ("CFDA") number for this solicitation is 16.738 titled Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program," and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2018-13621.
- 6. Select the correct Competition ID. Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended purpose area of the application:

Category 1 (Competition ID: BJA-2018-13969): Strategic Problem Solving and Site Coordination

Category 2 (Competition ID: BJA-2018-13970): Law Enforcement and Prosecution-focused Strategies

Category 3 (Competition ID: BJA-2018-14658): Victim-centered Focused Strategies

- 7. Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package from Grants.gov. Select "Apply for Grants" under the "Applicants" column. Enter your email address to be notified of any changes to the opportunity package before the closing date. Click the Workspace icon to use Grants.gov Workspace.
- 8. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application. The second will state whether the application has been validated and successfully submitted, or whether it has been rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received, and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting an application well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. <a href="Important:OJP">Important:OJP</a> urges each applicant to submit its application at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applications must be successfully submitted through Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on July 9, 2018.

Go to <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html</a> for further details on DUNS numbers, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

### **Note: Application Versions**

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJP will review <u>only</u> the most recent system-validated version submitted.

### **Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at <a href="https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html">https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html</a> or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) at <a href="https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/home.do">https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/home.do</a> to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the contact identified in the Contact

Information section on the title page within 24 hours after the application deadline to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. The applicant's email must describe the technical difficulties, and must include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s).

**Note: OJP does not automatically approve requests to submit a late application.** After OJP reviews the applicant's request, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to verify the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the untimely application submission was due to the applicant's failure to follow all required procedures, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit its application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, such as issues with firewalls or browser incompatibility

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP Funding Resource Center at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm</a>.

## **E. Application Review Information**

### **Review Criteria**

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

### 1. Statement of the Problem (15 percent)

**Identify the category under which the applicant is applying.** Provide a thorough understanding of the need to gather and transfer knowledge, best practices, and information to state and local law enforcement, prosecution, and criminal justice professionals, as well as to communities, to support the PSN design features (e.g., leadership, partnerships, targeted and prioritized enforcement, prevention, and accountability) as they pertain to the general purpose of PSN and the category-specific objectives.

### 2. Program Design and Implementation (30 percent)

Detail how the applicant will implement the deliverables listed in the Program-specific Information section. Describe how the applicant will identify, assess, and deliver the proposed assistance. Provide specific information on any materials to be developed and/or technical assistance to be provided as they relate to the applicable category. Provide a complete description of all the requested deliverables and the methods for their delivery (e.g., adult learning principles, development sources, distance learning, process for information assessment, and revision), including coordination of deliverable timelines for training approval.

### 3. Capabilities/Competencies (35 percent)

Fully describe the applicant's capabilities to implement the program within the established timeline and provide timely and effective delivery of the information, material, training, and technical assistance. Provide an overview of the competencies of the staff assigned to develop and deliver all of the information, instruction, and technical assistance as required by the category. Demonstrate the applicant's expertise in collaborating with intergovernmental agencies and coordinating efforts with USAOs and other federal agencies.

Demonstrate experience in addressing violent crime, including but not limited to, felonious possession and use of firearms and criminal gang violence, related criminal prosecutions, corrections strategies, and developing resources for victims of violent crime, as well as the delivery of TTA on a national level. Include any prior experience supporting or delivering PSN TTA.

The applicant must include discussion of the organization's experience with and capacity to provide effective, innovative training and technical assistance to the audiences being served (e.g., law enforcement, district attorneys/prosecutors, probation and parole, victim advocates, crime prevention, researchers, criminal justice professionals, community organizations, etc.).

# 4. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures (10 percent)

Describe the process for measuring project performance. Identify who will collect the data; who is responsible for performance measurement; and how the information will be used to guide and assess the program. The applicant must collect data relevant to the objectives listed in the application and identify how those data will be collected and used for future improvements or strategies. BJA will provide grantees with specific performance measures they can use for semi-annual progress reports.

### 5. Budget and Detailed Budget Narrative (10 percent):

Provide a budget and Budget Narrative that are complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for program activities). Budget Narratives should generally demonstrate how the applicant will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the program. The budget must support the strategies and approaches outlined in the program design and include a narrative to describe the expenditures under each cost area and how it will contribute to the overall program objectives.

The budget should include travel for members of the TTA team to attend a 2 ½ day PSN event. For budget purposes, travel estimates can be based on a Washington, DC event location.

### **Review Process**

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that if, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

- The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- The application must include all items designated as "critical elements."
- The applicant must not be identified in SAM as excluded from receiving federal awards.

For a list of the critical elements for this solicitation, see "What an Application Should Include" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information.</u>

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation's review criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Peer reviewers' ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully. Other important considerations for BJA include geographic diversity, strategic priorities, and available funding, as well as the extent to which the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain *program c*osts that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by the applicant. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$150,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, (FAPIIS)).

**Important note on FAPIIS:** An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

The evaluation of risks goes beyond information in SAM, however. OJP itself has in place a framework for evaluating risks posed by applicants for competitive awards. OJP takes into account information pertinent to matters such as:

1. Applicant financial stability and fiscal integrity

- 2. Quality of the applicant's management systems, and the applicant's ability to meet prescribed management standards, including those outlined in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide
- 3. Applicant's history of performance under OJP and other DOJ awards (including compliance with reporting requirements and award conditions), as well as awards from other federal agencies
- 4. Reports and findings from audits of the applicant, including audits under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements
- 5. Applicant's ability to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements, and to effectively implement other award requirements.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not only peer review ratings and BJA recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this section.

### F. Federal Award Administration Information

#### **Federal Award Notices**

Award notifications will be made by September 30, 2018. OJP sends award notifications by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and steps to take in GMS to start the award acceptance process. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date.

For each successful applicant, an individual with the necessary authority to bind the applicant will be required to log in; execute a set of legal certifications and a set of legal assurances; designate a financial point of contact; thoroughly review the award, including all award conditions; and sign and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning and submission of the fully executed award document to OJP.

### Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded program consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, as well as all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

Applicants should consult the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards," available in the OJP Funding Resource Center at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm</a>. In addition, applicants should examine the following two legal documents, as each successful applicant must execute both documents before it may receive any award funds. (An applicant is not required to submit these documents as part of an application.)

- <u>Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility</u>
   Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
- Certified Standard Assurances

The webpages accessible through the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" are intended to give applicants for OJP awards a general overview of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP grants and cooperative agreements awarded in FY 2018. Individual OJP awards typically also will include additional award conditions. Those additional conditions may relate to the particular statute, program, or solicitation under which the award is made; to the substance of the funded application; to the recipient's performance under other federal awards; to the recipient's legal status (e.g., as a for-profit entity); or to other pertinent considerations.

As stated above, BJA expects that it will make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreements include a condition in the award document that sets out the nature of the "substantial federal involvement" in carrying out the award and program. Generally stated, under OJP cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in matters such as substantive coordination of technical efforts and site selection, as well as review and approval of project work plans, research designs, data collection instruments, and major project-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award terms and conditions that it may redirect the project if necessary.

In addition to an award condition that sets out the nature of the anticipated "substantial federal involvement" in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include an award condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities, or similar events funded under the award.

### **General Information about Post-federal Award Reporting Requirements**

In addition to the deliverables described in <u>Section A. Program Description</u>, any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data.

Required reports. Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

Awards that exceed \$500,000 will include an additional condition that, under specific circumstances, will require the recipient to report (to FAPIIS) information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either the OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Additional information on this reporting requirement appears in the text of the award condition posted on the OJP webpage at <a href="https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm">https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm</a>.

<u>Data on performance measures.</u> In addition to required reports, each award recipient also must provide data that measure the results of the work done under the award. To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist DOJ in fulfilling its responsibilities under the

Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103–62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide performance data as part of regular progress reporting. Successful applicants will be required to access OJP's performance measurement page at <a href="https://www.ojp.gov/performance">www.ojp.gov/performance</a> for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP. Performance measures for this program are listed as <a href="https://www.ojp.gov/performance">Appendix A</a>.

### **G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)**

For OJP contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

### H. Other Information

### Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)

All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. By law, DOJ may withhold information that is responsive to a request pursuant to FOIA if DOJ determines that the responsive information either is protected under the Privacy Act or falls within the scope of one of nine statutory exemptions under FOIA. DOJ cannot agree in advance of a request pursuant to FOIA not to release some or all portions of an application.

In its review of records that are responsive to a FOIA request, OJP will withhold information in those records that plainly falls within the scope of the Privacy Act or one of the statutory exemptions under FOIA. (Some examples include certain types of information in budgets, and names and contact information for project staff other than certain key personnel.) In appropriate circumstances, OJP will request the views of the applicant/recipient that submitted a responsive document.

For example, if OJP receives a request pursuant to FOIA for an application submitted by a nonprofit or for-profit organization or an institution of higher education, or for an application that involves research, OJP typically will contact the applicant/recipient that submitted the application and ask it to identify—quite precisely—any particular information in the application that the applicant/recipient believes falls under a FOIA exemption, the specific exemption it believes applies, and why. After considering the submission by the applicant/recipient, OJP makes an independent assessment regarding withholding information. OJP generally follows a similar process for requests pursuant to FOIA for applications that may contain law-enforcement sensitive information.

### **Provide Feedback to OJP**

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. Provide feedback to <a href="https://oxport.org/linearing-nc/4">OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov</a>.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. OJP does **not** reply from this mailbox to messages it receives in this mailbox. Any prospective applicant that has specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation **must** use the appropriate

telephone number or email listed on the front of this document to obtain information. These contacts are provided to help ensure that prospective applicants can directly reach an individual who can address specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to <a href="mailto:ojppeerreview@l-secb.com">ojppeerreview@l-secb.com</a>. (Do not send your résumé to the OJP Solicitation Feedback email account.) **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization or entity can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization/entity has submitted an application.

# Appendix A: Performance Measures Table

Below are the performance measures for this solicitation:

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
Objective 1: Support the development, implementation, and sustainment of comprehensive, evidence-based, community-oriented strategies to reduce violent crime.	Number of trainings conducted	Number of trainings (by type):  In-person Web-based CD/DVD Peer-to-peer Workshop
	Number of participants who attend the training  Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training  Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better  Percentage of participants trained who subsequently demonstrated performance improvement  Percentage of scholarship recipients surveyed who reported that the training provided information that could be utilized in their job	Number of individuals who:     Attend the training (inperson) or started the training (web-based)     Completed the training     Completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training     Completed an evaluation and rated the training as satisfactory or better     Completed the post-test with an improved score over their pre-test  Number of Individuals who:      Received a scholarship     Completed the training     Completed a survey at the conclusion of the training provided information that
	Number of curricula developed Number of curricula that were pilot tested Percentage of curricula that were revised after pilot testing	could be utilized in their job  Number of training curricula:  Developed Pilot tested Revised after being pilot tested

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
Objective 2: Support the development, implementation, and sustainment of comprehensive, evidence-based, community-oriented strategies to reduce violent crime in targeted neighborhoods through short-term and comprehensive technical assistance.	Percentage of requesting agencies that rated services as satisfactory or better	<ul> <li>Number of onsite visits completed</li> <li>Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies after onsite visits</li> <li>Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of services</li> <li>Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better (in terms of timeliness and quality)</li> <li>Number of follow-ups with</li> </ul>
	Percentage of requesting agencies that were planning to implement one or more recommendations	requesting agencies completed 6 months after onsite visit  Number of agencies that were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit
	Percentage of peer visitors who reported that the visit to the other agency was useful in providing information on policies or practices  Percentage of peer visitors that were planning to implement one or more policies or practices 6 months after they were observed at the visited site	<ul> <li>Number of peer-to-peer visits completed</li> <li>Number of peer visitors who completed an evaluation</li> <li>Number of peer visitors who reported that the visit was useful in providing information on policies or practices</li> <li>Number of follow-ups with the requesting peer visitor completed 6 months after the peer-to-peer visit</li> <li>Number of peer visitors who were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit</li> </ul>
	Percentage of requesting agencies of other onsite services that rated the services provided as satisfactory or better	<ul> <li>Number of other onsite services provided</li> <li>Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of other onsite services</li> </ul>

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
		Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better
Objective 3: Increase information provided to BJA and the criminal justice community.	Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held	<ul> <li>Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held</li> <li>Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who completed an evaluation</li> <li>Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees</li> </ul>
	Percentage of advisory/focus groups evaluated as satisfactory or better	who rated the advisory/focus group as satisfactory or better
	Number of publications developed	Number of publications/resources
	Number of publications disseminated	<ul><li>developed</li><li>Number of publications/ resources disseminated</li></ul>
	Percentage of websites developed and maintained  Percent increase in the number of visits to websites	<ul> <li>Number of websites developed</li> <li>Number of websites maintained</li> <li>Number of visits to websites during the current reporting period;</li> <li>Number of visits to websites during the previous reporting period</li> </ul>
	Percentage of information requests responded to	<ul> <li>Number of information requests</li> <li>Number of information requests responded to</li> </ul>

## Appendix B: Application Checklist **Project Safe Neighborhoods (PSN) National Training and Technical Assistance Program**

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

### What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:	
Acquire a DUNS Number	(see page 30)
Acquire or renew registration with SAM	(see page 30)
To Register with Grants.gov.	, ,
Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password	(see page 30)
Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC	(see page 30)
To Find Funding Opportunity:	, ,
Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov	(see page 31)
Select the correct Competition ID	(see page 31)
Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package	(see page 31)
Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional)	(see page 28)
Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov	( 1 0 )
Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and re	eporting
available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapte	
	(see page 15)
After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:	, ,
(1) application has been received,	
(2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with err	ors
	(see page 31)
If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:	( 1 5 /
contact NCJRS regarding experiencing technical difficulties	
	(see page 2)
	( 1-3- /
Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements	
Review the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to O	JP Grants and

Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" in the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm.

### Scope Requirement:

The federal amount requested is within the allowable limits of up to \$1,000,000 for Category 1; \$1,000,000 for Category 2; and \$1,000,000 for Category 3.

### **Eligibility Requirement:**

Applicants are limited to nonprofit organizations, for-profit organizations (including tribal nonprofit or for-profit organizations), institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education), faith-based organizations, and consortiums with demonstrated experience in addressing and developing strategies to reduce violent crime. This includes, but is not limited to, prevention, enforcement, prosecution, intervention, and reentry strategies. Additionally, only applicants that have experience delivering training and technical assistance on a national level are eligible to apply. All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

# What an Application Should Include:

Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)	(see page 16)
Intergovernmental Review	(see page 17)
Program Abstract	(see page 17)
Program Narrative	(see page 17)
Budget Detail Worksheet	(see page 19)
Budget Narrative	(see page 19)
Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)	(see page 22)
Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)	(see page 22)
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionn	aire
	(see page 23)
<u>Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)</u>	(see page 24)
Additional Attachments	
Program Timeline and Task Plan with each program object completion date, and responsible person or organization.	tive, activity, expected
Job descriptions that outline the roles, responsibilities, and key positions, including the research partner.	qualifications for all
Résumés for staff identified for these positions, if known.	
Letters of support from any subgrantees or subject experts	
Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications	(see page 24)
Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity	(see page 25)
Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensation Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waiver (if	
	(see page 14)