

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP) [Bureau of Justice Assistance](#) (BJA) is seeking applications for the fiscal year (FY) 2018 Preventing Violence Against Law Enforcement Officers and Ensuring Officer Resilience and Survivability (VALOR) Initiative. This program furthers the Department's mission by enhancing law enforcement safety, wellness, resilience, and survivability; and providing support to efforts, including training and technical assistance programs, strategically targeted to address law enforcement safety and wellness needs.

FY 2018 Preventing Violence Against Law Enforcement Officers and Ensuring Officer Resilience and Survivability (VALOR) Initiative

Applications Due: April 30, 2018

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are not-for-profit and for-profit organizations (including tribal nonprofit and for-profit organizations); state and local governments; federally recognized Indian tribal governments (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior); and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education). Applicants must have extensive experience in providing national training and technical assistance (TTA) as well as extensive experience and knowledge of the officer safety and wellness field. All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

BJA welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed as subrecipients (subgrantees).¹ The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the award, including administering the funding and managing the entire program. Under this solicitation, only one application by any particular applicant entity will be considered. An entity may, however, be proposed as a subrecipient (subgrantee) in more than one application.

BJA may elect to fund applications submitted under this FY 2018 solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

¹ For additional information on subawards, see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#).

Deadline

Applicants must register with Grants.gov at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html> prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 8:00 p.m. eastern time on April 30, 2018.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#).

For additional information, see [How To Apply](#) in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726, 606-545-5035, at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html>, or at support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the [How To Apply](#) section.

For assistance with any unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond an applicant’s control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline, or any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at <https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this solicitation: BJA-2018-13628

Release date: March 15, 2018

Contents

A. Program Description.....	4
Overview	4
Program-specific Information	4
Objectives and Deliverables.....	6
Evidence-based Programs or Practices.....	13
Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities	14
B. Federal Award Information	14
Type of Award.....	14
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	15
Budget Information.....	16
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement.....	16
Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs).....	16
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver.....	16
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	17
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)	17
C. Eligibility Information	17
D. Application and Submission Information	17
What an Application Should Include	17
How To Apply.....	31
E. Application Review Information	35
Review Criteria.....	35
Review Process	37
F. Federal Award Administration Information.....	38
Federal Award Notices.....	38
Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements.....	39
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements.....	40
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	40
H. Other Information	40
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)	40
Provide Feedback to OJP	41
Appendix A: Application Checklist	42

FY 2018 Preventing Violence Against Law Enforcement Officers and Ensuring Officer Resilience and Survivability (VALOR) Initiative

CFDA # 16.738

A. Program Description

Overview

BJA is seeking applications for two new training and technical assistance (TTA) providers, under two distinct solicitation categories, for the FY 2018 Preventing Violence Against Law Enforcement Officers and Ensuring Officer Resilience and Survivability (VALOR) Initiative:

1. Law Enforcement Suicide Prevention Training Program – A national training and technical assistance provider to develop and deliver a TTA program for supervisors and line officers that is focused on education (awareness and recognition) and prevention of law enforcement suicide.
2. Tactical Officer Safety Training Program – A national training and technical assistance provider to develop and deliver a suite of dynamic scenario (hands-on) and evidence-based training addressing timely officer safety issues such as ambushes, felonious assaults, physical assaults, and traffic safety.

The VALOR Initiative has been significant in educating, training, and offering resources to law enforcement professionals on officer safety- and wellness-related issues, techniques, and considerations, so that they can be better prepared to serve the communities that rely on them.

Statutory Authority: Any awards under this solicitation will be made under statutory authority provided by a full-year appropriations act for FY 2018. As of the writing of this solicitation, the Department of Justice is operating under a short-term "Continuing Resolution;" no full-year appropriation for the Department has been enacted for FY 2018.

Program-specific Information

BJA's VALOR Initiative is currently a seven-program initiative that addresses officer safety, wellness, resilience, and survival through multifaceted training, technical assistance, and specialized program offerings. Since 2010, the VALOR Initiative has provided critical education and resources to law enforcement professionals on officer safety and wellness topics that have a direct impact on officers' safety. This FY 2018 solicitation's purpose is to add two additional training programs into the VALOR Initiative, increasing the Initiative from seven to nine programs.

The seven current VALOR Initiative programs are:

1. VALOR Program – A tactical-, skill-, and awareness-building TTA program that aims to improve officer safety, resilience, and wellness.

2. Active Shooter Response Training Program – A tactical TTA program that educates law enforcement on how to more safely respond to an active shooter event.
3. De-Escalation Training Program – Provides evidence-based de-escalation TTA to educate and protect law enforcement officers and improve outcomes and relationships between officers and the communities they serve.
4. Destination Zero Officer Safety and Wellness Recognition Program – This program identifies, recognizes, and increases awareness of officer safety and wellness best practices and programs at a national level.
5. Law Enforcement and Community: Crisis Intervention Training Model Program – This program delivers TTA to further develop and enrich BJA's justice and mental health portfolio specific to law enforcement's response and interaction with individuals with mental illness.
6. Law Enforcement Agency and Officer Resilience Training Program – A TTA program that identifies, develops, implements (delivers training), and analyzes the effectiveness of resiliency concepts and skills taught within a law enforcement agency.
7. Officer Safety and Wellness Pilot Research and Evaluation Model Program – A program that assesses the impact and success of VALOR Initiative TTA within three law enforcement agencies.

The issue of law enforcement officers' safety remains a significant concern and a primary focus of the Department of Justice. According to the *Preliminary 2017 Law Enforcement Officer Fatalities Report*, released by the National Law Enforcement Officers Memorial Fund on December 28, 2017, as of that date, there were 128 law enforcement line of duty deaths. Of those deaths, 47 accounted for traffic-related deaths – the leading cause of law enforcement deaths. Firearms-related fatalities were the second leading cause of death with 44 officers shot in 2017.

BJA recognizes that the important work our nation's law enforcement do every day requires a level of constant awareness of the possible risks of (as well as exposure to) volatile, physically dangerous, and sometimes tragic and emotional situations. This environment can take a toll on these professionals and if left unaddressed, could lead to emotional turmoil, behavioral concerns, familial disruptions and possibly suicide by these officers. Law enforcement officers need to be as prepared as possible with the skills, knowledge, and tools that will help them not only better address the emotional/mental aspects of police work, but also the strategic and tactical aspects of the profession in order to be safer, healthier, and serve their communities and agencies to the best of their abilities.

The VALOR Initiative continually evolves to ensure that it addresses current law enforcement profession concerns and issues, and integrates the latest research and practices to address all aspects of officer safety and wellness that have a direct impact on job safety and performance. These varied, emerging issues can have a direct effect on an officer's ability to prevent or survive the rigorous challenges and threats she or he may face.

To address the concerns for improved emotional wellbeing and tactical safety training, the FY 2018 VALOR Initiative solicitation is for the following two new major national training programs:

1. Law Enforcement Suicide Prevention Training Program
2. Tactical Officer Safety Training Program

These FY 2018 VALOR Initiative award recipients will be required to collaborate with the seven existing VALOR Initiative providers/programs, as well as with BJA.

Additionally, awardees may be required to work with BJA's National Training and Technical Assistance Center (NTTAC). NTTAC provides TTA to state, local, and tribal justice agencies and requests TTA delivery from existing BJA awardees and a cadre of other relevant experts.

To learn more about the VALOR Initiative visit www.bja.gov/programs/valor.html.

Objectives and Deliverables

Under this solicitation, BJA seeks to improve law enforcement safety, wellness, and resilience practices and strategies that have a direct impact on officers' safety; assist in improving and building stronger community relationships; and assist in building safer communities. This is achieved by focusing on TTA and other programs for sworn law enforcement professionals and their agencies.

Note: Deliverables listed under the categories may state that applicants must or should collaborate with awardees under other categories within this solicitation or existing VALOR awardees. Applicants are not expected to coordinate and collaborate during the application phase of this solicitation. Collaboration and coordination of those deliverables are required only after awards are made.

CATEGORY 1: LAW ENFORCEMENT SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING PROGRAM. COMPETITION ID: BJA-2018-14020.

BJA expects to make one award under Category 1 for up to \$800,000. The period of performance is 24 months, to begin on October 1, 2018.

The purpose of this category is to develop and deliver a national TTA program focused on education and increasing awareness and recognition of law enforcement suicide with the aim of preventing officer suicide. In 2013, the Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Office, U.S Department of Justice, held a national symposium with the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) to discuss police suicide. The symposium report, *Breaking the Silence on Law Enforcement Suicides: IACP National Symposium on Law Enforcement Officer Suicide and Mental Health*, acknowledges that law enforcement agencies hold officer safety as a top concern and provide tactical training to their officers, but mental and emotional wellness, training and services do not receive the same level of attention by police agencies and their administration. A 2013 report titled *Police suicide: prevalence, risk, and protective factors*² found that the interaction of multiple factors had a cumulative effect on the increase in suicide ideation among law enforcement. The study found a total of five different factors that were associated with the risk of suicide ideation:

- Organizational stress
- Critical incident trauma
- The nature of shift work
- Relationship issues
- Alcohol use and abuse

² Chae, M. H. and D. J. Boyle (2013). "Police suicide: prevalence, risk, and protective factors." *Policing: An International Journal* 36(1): 91-118.

The findings indicate agencies that implement protective and educational programs may reduce the risk of suicide ideation among their officers.³

The training(s) under this grant announcement are for state, local, and tribal line officers and for executives and middle management, and should be comprised of in-person and online trainings. It is expected that this program will also incorporate virtual or distance learning to reinforce in-person training. The trainings should be evidence-based in research on suicide prevention and recognition, and should include, but are not limited to, topics and individual trainings ranging from: emotional/behavioral warning signs; emotional wellness; overall stress reduction (including the impacts of trauma experienced in the profession, as well as other day-to-day stressors); professional and personal/family life stressors, family education on issues related to law enforcement stressors and suicide, physical wellness as it directly relates to emotional wellness and stress; unhealthy coping mechanisms (alcohol and drug use), executive and middle management considerations; and agency policies and procedures. Applicants are encouraged to propose other topics directly related to officer suicide prevention and recognition based on evidence, their expertise and knowledge of needs from the field, including identified gaps in training topics. Along with a menu of in-person and online trainings, the TTA provider is expected to develop and disseminate various resources (documents, online training, videos).

Each training should accommodate as many participants as appropriate for the type of training and should represent various law enforcement agencies and ranks, unless the training is specific to particular ranks or audiences. Additionally, the TTA provider is expected to cover all meeting and travel costs associated with curriculum development and enhancement, to include subject experts and instructors. Each training should require only one to two instructors to deliver the training onsite and should be flexible and detailed enough to allow any qualified and experienced trainer to properly deliver the training. Applicants must describe, in detail, the overall design of the training program and proposed training topics. Upon award, any additional resources—from concept to development and publication—must be closely coordinated with and reviewed by BJA. All subject experts and trainers will need to be approved by BJA prior to their participation, once awarded.

This TTA program must include close involvement and coordination with subject experts from the mental health field who have knowledge and demonstrated experience in mental health issues within first responder communities. Applicants should identify the proposed subject experts and trainers that will be involved in the program within the application.

Applicants are required to provide, **at a minimum, all** of the following deliverables:

1. Develop and deliver a 4-hour and an 8-hour in-person training for state, local, and tribal law enforcement addressing a variety of topics, such as those listed above, with the objective of raising awareness about law enforcement suicide and providing information on programs, techniques, and steps that may be utilized to provide earlier detection of mental health concerns and suicide prevention. The trainings should address the above mentioned five prominent factors identified in the 2013 study (see page 6). The target audience for this training should be line officers, although the training should not be restricted to only them.

³ Ibid.

2. Develop and deliver a 4-hour and an 8-hour in-person training for law enforcement executives and middle management that addresses supervisory considerations, raises awareness, and addresses the issue of officer suicide prevention. The training should also address agency policies, programs, and procedures to allow this target audience to thoughtfully consider and re-evaluate its agency's current policies, programs, and procedures. It should address the above mentioned five prominent factors identified in the 2013 study (see page 6).
3. Develop and deliver a 2-day train-the-trainer course, based on the line officer training (see Deliverable 1 above), that will allow experienced and qualified law enforcement instructors the ability to sustain this training within their agencies. This course should include a presentation or curriculum that the instructors can utilize, as well as instructor notes and other training material.
4. Provide technical assistance to agencies wishing to implement or in the process of implementing an in-house law enforcement suicide awareness and prevention training or program.
5. Develop and publish virtual or distance learning as a tool to reinforce in-person trainings for law enforcement.
6. Develop and provide training materials, manuals, related resources, and direct reinforcement resources for use within the TTA program. These should be developed to allow any qualified law enforcement instructor the ability to use the materials in connection with a training.
7. Develop and maintain a training request database.
8. Should the award recipient develop a website or webpage for the TTA program, it will ensure the website or webpage is updated and managed. The award recipient will ensure that the website or webpage meets all necessary parameters to allow it to be migrated over to BJA or another entity, per BJA's instructions, should this become necessary. Additionally, the provider will be required to provide webpage content for use on NTTAC's website. See www.bjatrainng.org/justice-topics/overview for existing program page examples on NTTAC's site.
9. Market the TTA program trainings and resources to state, local, and tribal law enforcement through a variety of mechanisms.
10. Conduct training evaluations for all trainings, immediately following the training and 90 days after the training, to gauge training impact. Analysis and major findings from the evaluations should be conducted and discussed with BJA and should inform the program of any needed training modifications.
11. Provide monthly written updates to BJA on the following information. (This is in addition to the required performance measures on page 20). At a minimum, information must include:
 - a. Number of trainings held
 - b. Number of law enforcement officers trained

- c. Number, type, and names of law enforcement agencies represented in the training
 - d. Number of future trainings scheduled and locations
 - e. Number of pending requests for trainings
 - f. Web hits on the program's website
 - g. Quotes and testimonials from class evaluations and 90-day surveys
 - h. List of completed trainings with date, location, type of training, and number of attendees
 - i. List of agencies requesting training and the type of training requested
 - j. Written analysis of geographic locations that should be targeted for possible training, with justification or explanation
12. Provide any additional ad hoc tasks or deliverables requested or deemed necessary by BJA to address topics related to officer wellness, safety, and suicide. The award recipient may set aside a conservative funding amount to be used for these activities or may be required to coordinate with BJA and receive approval to re-allocate funding and/or deliverables.

The TTA provider will be required to work closely with BJA and request approval of all activities prior to developing and/or enhancing trainings; developing publications, materials, guides, etc.; and finalizing TTA locations. Location selection must include analysis and a justification for why that site was selected (this may include such factors as geographic distribution of training, distribution of training in various sized departments, etc.). The TTA provider will be required to participate in scheduled conference calls (at a minimum twice monthly) with BJA to coordinate all activities being performed under the program.

Category 1 applicants must keep in mind and address the following requirements:

1. The TTA provider must have the capacity and resources to provide this TTA at a national level. Additionally, the provider should, within the application, factor in an approximate 120-day approval time period for training delivery; the approval request should be submitted 120 days prior to the proposed training delivery date.
2. All subject experts, trainers, developed training, and resources will be subject to BJA review, and possible peer review (for training and resources), prior to being approved.
3. All deliverables, as appropriate, must meet with the Americans with Disabilities Act regulations.
4. The TTA provider will be responsible for coordinating and supporting the training initiative, to include supplying the appropriate subject experts for each training delivery. The provider must have the ability to arrange travel for the selected and approved trainer(s), conduct registration activities before and during the training, secure training locations, provide onsite set-up and logistical direction, etc.
5. Subject experts and trainers must be identified within the application, and their résumés must be included in the application submission.
6. Inclusion of a completed training data chart demonstrating key data points such as number of proposed deliveries, estimated cost per delivery, proposed number of

attendees, etc. See “What an Application Should Include” on page 17 for additional information.

7. The TTA provider should partner with appropriate and law enforcement-relevant organizations and/or individuals who are uniquely situated to support the development, enhancement, and direct delivery of this TTA program.
8. Collaboration and coordination with all VALOR Initiative awardees and programs will be required. Additionally, the TTA provider must allocate funding for participation in quarterly, in-person VALOR Initiative program provider meetings.

CATEGORY 2: TACTICAL OFFICER SAFETY TRAINING PROGRAM. COMPETITION ID: BJA-2018-14021.

BJA expects to make one award under Category 2 for up to \$1,000,000. The period of performance is 24 months, to begin on October 1, 2018.

The purpose of this category is to develop and deliver a national tactical officer safety training program to state, local, and tribal law enforcement. This program will provide a suite of dynamic scenario (hands-on) training to address current and evolving officer safety issues, such as ambushes, felonious assaults, physical assaults, and traffic safety concerns.

According to the National Law Enforcement Officers Memorial Fund's *Preliminary 2017 Law Enforcement Officer Fatalities Report*, of the 128 law enforcement deaths in 2017, 44 were firearms related, and 8 involved an ambush-style attack on the unsuspecting officers. Based on the latest reports (2016 and 2015) from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted (LEOKA) data, there appeared to be a continuing increase in assaults between 2015 and 2016. There were 57,180 assaults in 2016 versus 50,212 in 2015. Of those assaults, 16,535 resulted in officer injuries in 2016 compared to 14,281 in 2015. LEOKA states, in its 2016 report, that there were 17 officers killed in ambush situations; this is an increase from 2015 where 4 officers were killed in ambush situations.

Under this category, the national tactical officer safety training delivery should be active; in-person, scenario-based trainings focusing on physical and verbal strategies, responses, and movements that may be used when law enforcement face specific dangerous situations and should be paired with de-escalation scenarios so a range of options are presented. The training topics and scenarios should address the threats and concerns currently being faced by law enforcement, including the topics listed above. Additionally, the program may include virtual or distance learning trainings and resources to provide follow-up or reinforcement of the in-person training. Applicants are strongly encouraged to propose other or additional topics based on their expertise and knowledge of needs from the field, including identified gaps in training topics and most up-to-date tactical strategies.

The training provider must work closely with law enforcement tactical experts in the development of the trainings and should identify these experts within the application. **Each training should instruct on the latest tactical strategies and maneuvers, based on research and evidence of best practices and results, to increase officer safety during response to certain dangerous and volatile situations.** Through these evidence-based trainings, law enforcement awareness of these issues should be raised, and the issue of complacency should be addressed in a balanced, thoughtful, and innovative manner.

The trainings should accommodate as many participants as appropriate for this type of training and should represent various law enforcement agencies and ranks, unless the training is specific to particular ranks or audiences. Additionally, the TTA provider will cover all meeting and travel costs associated with curriculum development and enhancement, including costs for subject experts and instructors.

Each training should require only the staff needed to deliver the trainings (instructors and a coordinator)—volunteer role players should be acquired from each hosting agency and should receive advanced instruction on their roles within the training. Applicants must describe, in detail, the overall design of the training program, including the needed equipment and training location requirements, as well as proposed training topics. Upon award, additional resources, from concept to development and publication, must be closely coordinated with and reviewed by BJA. All subject experts and trainers will need to be approved by BJA prior to their participation, once awarded. Applicants should identify the proposed subject experts and trainers that will be involved in the program within the application.

Applicants are required to provide, **at a minimum, all** of the following deliverables:

1. Develop and deliver 1-day and 2-day in-person trainings for state, local, and tribal law enforcement addressing a variety of dangerous scenarios and circumstances such as, but not limited to, ambushes, felonious assaults, physical assaults, and traffic safety—circumstances that are of current concern to law enforcement—with the objective of providing physical and verbal tactical skills that law enforcement can use to more safely and effectively respond to those situations. The tactical strategies taught must be based on research that has shown these tactics to be safer and more effective. Applicants should identify, in the application, other scenarios and safety topics to be addressed.
2. Develop and deliver a 2- or 3-day train-the-trainer course based on the 1- and 2-day in-person trainings (see Deliverable 1 above) that will give experienced law enforcement tactical instructors the ability to sustain this tactical training within their agencies. This course should include a detailed presentation or curriculum that the instructors can utilize, including instructional videos, as well as instructor notes and other training material.
3. Develop a liability release agreement for all training participants.
4. Develop robust and detailed safety protocols for securing the safety of the training participants and making the training location safe.
5. Develop an executive and training academy staff briefing that demonstrates and explains the techniques to be taught and the evidence behind use of those particular strategies.
6. Develop and publish virtual or distance learning as a tool to reinforce the in-person trainings. This should be housed on a secured portal that can be accessed only by those officers who have attended the in-person training and other vetted law enforcement individuals.
7. Develop and provide training materials, manuals, related resources, and direct reinforcement resources for use within the training program.

8. Develop and maintain a training request database.
9. Should the provider develop a website or webpage for the TTA program, it will ensure the website or webpage is updated and managed. The provider will ensure that the website or webpage meets all necessary parameters to allow it to be migrated over to BJA or another entity, per BJA's instructions, should this become necessary. Additionally, the provider will be required to provide webpage content for use on NTTAC's website. See www.bjatrainning.org/justice-topics/overview for existing program page examples on NTTAC's site.
10. Market the training program and resources to state, local, and tribal law enforcement through a variety of mechanisms.
11. Conduct training evaluations for all trainings immediately following the training and 90 days after the training to gauge training impact. Analysis and major findings from the evaluations should be conducted and discussed with BJA and should inform the program of any needed training modifications.
12. Provide monthly written updates to BJA on the following information. (This is in addition to the required performance measures on page 20). At a minimum, the information should include:
 - a. Number of trainings held
 - b. Number of law enforcement officers trained
 - c. Number, type, and names of law enforcement agencies represented in the training
 - d. Number of future trainings scheduled and locations
 - e. Number of pending requests for trainings
 - f. Web hits on the program's website
 - g. Quotes and testimonials from class evaluations and 90-day surveys
 - h. List of completed trainings with date, location, type of training, and number of attendees
 - i. List of agencies requesting training and the type of training requested
 - j. Written analysis of geographic locations that should be targeted for possible training, with justification or explanation
13. Provide any additional ad hoc tasks or deliverables requested or deemed necessary by BJA to address topics related to officer safety and tactics. The awardee may set aside a conservative funding amount to be used for these activities or may be required to coordinate with BJA to receive approval to re-allocate funding or deliverables.

The training provider will be required to work closely with BJA and request approval of all activities prior to developing and/or enhancing trainings; developing publications, materials, guides, etc.; and finalizing training locations. Location selection must include analysis and a justification for why that site was selected (analysis of need). The training provider will be required to participate in scheduled conference calls (at least twice monthly), with BJA to coordinate all activities being performed under the program.

Category 2 applicants must keep in mind and address the following requirements:

1. The training provider must have the capacity and resources to provide this training at a national level. Additionally, the provider should, within the application, factor in an approximate 120-day approval time period for training delivery; the approval request should be submitted 120 days prior to the proposed training delivery date.
2. All subject experts, trainers, developed training, and resources will be subject to BJA review, and peer review (for training and resources), prior to being approved.
3. All deliverables, as appropriate, must meet with the Americans with Disabilities Act regulations.
4. The training provider will be responsible for coordinating and supporting the training initiative, to include supplying the appropriate subject experts for each training delivery. The provider must have the ability to arrange travel for the selected and approved trainer(s), conduct registration activities before and during the training, secure training locations, ensure safety protocols of the training location and the training participants, provide onsite set-up and logistical direction, etc.
5. Subject experts and trainers must be identified within the application, and their résumés must be included in the application submission.
6. Inclusion of a completed training data chart demonstrating key data points such as number of proposed deliveries, estimated cost per delivery, proposed number of attendees, etc. See “What an Application Should Include” on page 17 for additional information.
7. The training provider should partner with appropriate and law enforcement-relevant organizations and/or individuals who are uniquely situated to support the development, enhancement, and direct delivery of this training program.
8. Collaboration and coordination with all VALOR Initiative awardees and programs will be required. Additionally, the TTA provider must allocate funding for participation in quarterly in-person VALOR Initiative program provider meetings.

The Objectives and Deliverables are directly related to the performance measures that demonstrate the results of the work completed, as discussed in [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#), under Program Narrative.

Evidence-based Programs or Practices

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a

change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based.

The OJP CrimeSolutions.gov website at <https://www.crimesolutions.gov> is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

The Department of Justice has prioritized the use of evidence-based programming and deems it critical to continue to build and expand the evidence informing criminal and juvenile justice programs to reach the highest level of rigor possible. Therefore, applicants should note that the Office of Justice Programs may conduct or support an evaluation of the programs and activities funded under this solicitation. Recipients and sub-recipients will be expected to cooperate with program-related assessments or evaluation efforts, including through the collection and provision of information or data requested by OJP (or its designee) for the assessment or evaluation of any activities and/or outcomes of those activities funded under this solicitation. The information or data requested may be in addition to any other financial or performance data already required under this program.

B. Federal Award Information

BJA expects to make up to two awards (one per category) of up to \$800,000 for Category 1 and up to \$1,000,000 for Category 2, with an estimated total amount awarded of \$1,800,000. BJA expects to make awards for a 24-month period of performance, to begin on October 1, 2018.

BJA may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this solicitation, through continuation awards. In making decisions regarding continuation awards, OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, when the program or project was last competed, OJP's strategic priorities, and OJP's assessment of both the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award

BJA expects to make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See [Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements](#), under [Section F. Federal Award Administration Information](#), for a brief discussion of what may constitute substantial federal involvement.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities⁴) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements⁵ as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that [the recipient (and any subrecipient)] is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework”, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- (b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.
- (c) Evaluate and monitor [the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s)] compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or [the recipient (or any subrecipient)] considers sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

To help ensure that applicants understand the applicable administrative requirements and cost principles, OJP encourages prospective applicants to enroll, at no charge, in the DOJ Grants Financial Management Online Training, available at <https://ojpfgm.webfirst.com/>. (This training is required for all OJP award recipients.)

Also, applicants should be aware that OJP collects information from applicants on their financial management and systems of internal controls (among other information) which is used to make award decisions. Under [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#), applicants may access and review a questionnaire—the [OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire](#)—that OJP requires **all** applicants (other than an individual applying in his/her personal capacity) to download, complete, and submit as part of the application.

⁴ For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase “pass-through entity” includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward (“subgrant”) to a subrecipient (subgrantee) to carry out part of the funded award or program. Additional information on proposed subawards is listed under [What an Application Should Include](#), Section 4c of this solicitation.

⁵ The “Part 200 Uniform Requirements” means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

Budget Information

Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

For additional information on cost sharing and match, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.3b.htm>.

Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does **not** typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant. (Generally, no applicant should incur program costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs.) Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient's approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm> for more information.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, a recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.⁶ The 2018 salary table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management website at <https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/18Tables/exec/html/ES.aspx>. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Non-federal funds used for any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds, where match requirements apply.) If only a portion of an employee's time is charged to an OJP award, the maximum allowable compensation is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, this limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant that requests a waiver should include a detailed justification in the Budget Narrative of its application. An applicant that does not submit a waiver request and justification with its application should anticipate that OJP will require the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

⁶ OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed in Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

The justification should address, in the context of the work the individual would do under the award, the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of a service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the proposed program or project, and a statement that explains whether and how the individual's salary under the award would be commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work he/she would do under the award.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning, and reporting of such events, available at

<https://www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm>. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect program timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients, as well as some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, which include a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services, where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under "[Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards](#)" in the OJP Funding Resource Center at <https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>.

C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](#).

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

This section describes in detail what an application should include. An applicant should anticipate that if it fails to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of its application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of award conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds until the recipient satisfies the conditions and OJP makes the funds available.

Moreover, an applicant should anticipate that an application that OJP determines is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that OJP determines does not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review, nor receive further consideration. For this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative, Timeline, Training Delivery Data Chart, and Résumés/Curriculum Vitae of key personnel and subject experts.

NOTE: OJP has combined the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. See “Budget Information and Associated Documentation” below for more information about the Budget Detail Worksheet and where it can be accessed.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Résumés”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

Please review the “Note on File Names and File Types” under [How To Apply](#) to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting “type of applicant,” if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select “For-Profit Organization” or “Small Business” (as applicable).

To avoid processing delays, an applicant must include an accurate legal name on its SF-424. On the SF-424, current OJP award recipients, when completing the field for “Legal Name” (box 8a), should use the same legal name that appears on the prior year award document (which is also the legal name stored in OJP’s financial system.) Also, these recipients should enter the Employer Identification Number (EIN) in box 8b exactly as it appears on the prior year award document. An applicant with a current, active award(s) must ensure that its GMS profile is current. If the profile is not current, the applicant should submit a Grant Adjustment Notice updating the information on its GMS profile prior to applying under this solicitation.

A new applicant entity should enter its official legal name in box 8a, its address in box 8d, its EIN in box 8b, and its Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in box 8c of the SF-424. A new applicant entity should attach official legal documents to its application (e.g., articles of incorporation, 501(c)(3) status documentation, organizational letterhead, etc.) to confirm the legal name, address, and EIN entered into the SF-424. OJP will use the System for Award Management (SAM) to confirm the legal name and DUNS number entered in the SF-424; therefore, an applicant should ensure that the information entered in the SF-424 matches its current registration in SAM. See the [How To Apply](#) section for more information on SAM and DUNS numbers.

Intergovernmental Review: This solicitation (“funding opportunity”) **is not** subject to [Executive Order 12372](#). (In completing the SF-424, an applicant is to answer question 19 by selecting the response that the “Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.”)

2. Program Abstract

Applications should include a high quality program abstract that summarizes the proposed program in 400 words or less. Program abstracts should be:

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Program Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (such as Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

As a separate attachment, the program abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the program narrative.

3. Program Narrative

The program narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman preferred); have no less than 1-inch margins; and should not exceed 12 pages. Pages should be numbered.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative⁷:

- a. Statement of the Problem
- b. Program Design and Implementation
- c. Capabilities and Competencies
- d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation’s Performance Measures

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit regular performance data that demonstrate the results of the work carried out under the award (see “[General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements](#)” in [Section F. Federal Award Administration Information](#)). The performance data directly relate to the objectives and deliverables identified under "Objectives and Deliverables" in [Section A. Program Description](#).

Applicants should visit OJP’s performance measurement page at www.ojp.gov/performance to view the specific reporting requirements for this grant program.

The application should demonstrate the applicant’s understanding of the performance data reporting requirements for this grant program and detail how the applicant will gather the required data should it receive funding.

⁷ For information on subawards (including the details on proposed subawards that should be included in the application), see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#).

Please note that applicants are **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Performance measures information is included as an alert that successful applicants will be required to submit performance data as part of the reporting requirements under an award. Below are the performance measures for this solicitation:

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
Objective 1: Increase the knowledge and capacity of local, state, and tribal criminal justice practitioners to increase officer safety, wellness, resilience and survivability through training.	Number of trainings conducted	Number of trainings (by type): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In-person • Web-based • CD/DVD • Peer-to-peer • Workshop
	Number of participants who attend the training	Number of individuals who: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attend the training (in-person) or started the training (web-based) • Completed the training • Completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training • Completed an evaluation and rated the training as satisfactory or better • Completed the post-test with an improved score over their pre-test
	Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training	
	Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better	
	Percentage of participants trained and subsequently demonstrated performance improvement	
	Number of curricula developed	Number of training curricula: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Developed • Pilot tested • Revised after being pilot tested
	Number of curricula that were pilot tested	
Percentage of curricula that were revised after pilot testing		

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
Objective 2: Increase the ability and capacity of criminal justice practitioners to solve problems and improve policies/practices related to officer safety, wellness, resilience and survivability through short-term and comprehensive technical assistance.	Percentage of requesting agencies who rated services as satisfactory or better	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of onsite visits completed • Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies after onsite visits • Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of services • Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better (in terms of timeliness and quality) • Number of follow-ups with requesting agencies completed 6 months after onsite visit • Number of agencies that were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit
	Percentage of requesting agencies that were planning to implement one or more recommendations	
	Percentage of requesting agencies of other onsite services that rated the services provided as satisfactory or better	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of other onsite services provided • Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of other onsite services • Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better
Objective 3: Increase information provided to BJA and the criminal justice community.	Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held • Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who completed an evaluation • Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who rated the advisory/focus group as satisfactory or better
	Percentage of advisory/focus groups evaluated as satisfactory or better	
	Number of publications developed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of publications/resources developed • Number of publications/resources disseminated
	Number of publications disseminated	

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
	Percent of websites developed and maintained	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of websites developed • Number of websites maintained
	Percent of increase in the number of visits to websites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of visits to websites during the current reporting period • Number of visits to websites during the previous reporting period
	Percentage of information requests responded to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of information requests • Number of information requests responded to

Note on Program Evaluations

An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct program evaluations should be aware that certain program evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute “research” for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, program evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute “research.” Each applicant should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular program it proposes would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ definition of research that appears at 28 C.F.R. Part 46 (“Protection of Human Subjects”).

“Research,” for purposes of human subjects protection for OJP-funded programs, is defined as “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.” 28 C.F.R. 46.102(d).

For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research for purposes of human subjects protection, applicants should consult the decision tree in the “Research and the protection of human subjects” section of the “[Requirements related to Research](#)” webpage of the “[Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards](#),” available through the OJP Funding Resource Center at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/index.htm>. Every prospective applicant whose application may propose a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that webpage.

4. Budget and Associated Documentation

The Budget Detail Worksheet and the Budget Narrative are now combined in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet is a user-friendly, fillable, Microsoft Excel-based document designed to calculate totals. Additionally, the Excel workbook contains worksheets for multiple budget years that can be completed as necessary. **All applicants should use the Excel version when completing the proposed budget in an application, except in cases where the**

applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties. If an applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties with the Excel version, then the applicant should use the 508-compliant accessible Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) version.

Both versions of the Budget Detail Worksheet can be accessed at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Forms/BudgetDetailWorksheet.htm>.

a. Budget Detail Worksheet

The Budget Detail Worksheet should provide the detailed computation for each budget line item, listing the total cost of each and showing how it was calculated by the applicant. For example, costs for personnel should show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time devoted to the project for each employee paid with grant funds. The Budget Detail Worksheet should present a complete itemization of all proposed costs.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at <https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>.

b. Budget Narrative

The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe **every** category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for program activities).

An applicant should demonstrate in its budget narrative how it will maximize cost effectiveness of award expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the program. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The Budget Narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond clearly with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how those costs are necessary to the completion of the proposed program. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes, but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should describe costs by year.

c. Information on Proposed Subawards (if any), as well as on Proposed Procurement Contracts (if any)

Applicants for OJP awards typically may propose to make *subawards*. Applicants also may propose to enter into procurement *contracts* under the award.

Whether an action—for federal grants administrative purposes—is a subaward or procurement contract is a critical distinction as significantly different rules apply to subawards and procurement contracts. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a subaward of an OJP award, specific rules apply—many of which are set by federal statutes and DOJ regulations; others by award conditions. These rules place particular responsibilities on an OJP recipient for any subawards the OJP recipient may make. The rules determine much of what the written subaward agreement itself must require or provide. The rules also determine much of what an OJP recipient must do both before

and after it makes a subaward. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a procurement contract under an OJP award, a substantially different set of federal rules applies.

OJP has developed the following guidance documents to help clarify the differences between subawards and procurement contracts under an OJP award and outline the compliance and reporting requirements for each. This information can be accessed online at <https://ojp.gov/training/training.htm>.

- [Subawards under OJP Awards and Procurement Contracts under Awards: A Toolkit for OJP Recipients.](#)
- [Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification.](#)
- [Sole Source Justification Fact Sheet and Sole Source Review Checklist.](#)

In general, the central question is the relationship between what the third-party will do under its agreement with the recipient and what the recipient has committed (to OJP) to do under its award to further a public purpose (e.g., services the recipient will provide, products it will develop or modify, research or evaluation it will conduct). If a third party will provide some of the services the recipient has committed (to OJP) to provide, will develop or modify all or part of a product the recipient has committed (to OJP) to develop or modify, or will conduct part of the research or evaluation the recipient has committed (to OJP) to conduct, OJP will consider the agreement with the third party a *subaward* for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements.

This will be true **even if** the recipient, for internal or other non-federal purposes, labels or treats its agreement as a procurement, a contract, or a procurement contract. Neither the title nor the structure of an agreement determines whether the agreement—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is a *subaward* or is instead a procurement *contract* under an award. The substance of the relationship should be given greater consideration than the form of agreement between the recipient and the outside entity.

1. Information on proposed subawards

A recipient of an OJP award may not make subawards ("subgrants") unless the recipient has specific federal authorization to do so. Unless an applicable statute or DOJ regulation specifically authorizes (or requires) subawards, a recipient must have authorization from OJP before it may make a subaward.

A particular subaward may be authorized by OJP because the recipient included a sufficiently-detailed description and justification of the proposed subaward in the Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative as approved by OJP. If, however, a particular subaward is not authorized by federal statute or regulation, and is not approved by OJP, the recipient will be required, post-award, to request and obtain written authorization from OJP before it may make the subaward.

If an applicant proposes to make one or more subawards to carry out the federal award and program, the applicant should: (1) identify (if known) the proposed subrecipient(s), (2) describe in detail what each subrecipient will do to carry out the federal award and federal program, and (3) provide a justification for the subaward(s), with details on pertinent matters such as special qualifications and

areas of expertise. Pertinent information on subawards should appear not only in the Program Narrative, but also in the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.

2. Information on proposed procurement contracts (with specific justification for proposed noncompetitive contracts over \$150,000)

Unlike a recipient contemplating a subaward, a recipient of an OJP award generally does not need specific prior federal authorization to enter into an agreement that—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is considered a procurement contract, **provided that** (1) the recipient uses its own documented procurement procedures and (2) those procedures conform to applicable federal law, including the Procurement Standards of the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements (as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 - 200.326). The Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative should identify proposed procurement contracts. (As discussed above, subawards must be identified and described separately from procurement contracts.)

The Procurement Standards in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, however, reflect a general expectation that agreements that (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) constitute procurement “contracts” under awards will be entered into on the basis of full and open competition. All noncompetitive (sole source) procurement contracts must meet the OJP requirements outlined at <https://ojp.gov/training/subawards-procurement.htm>. If a proposed procurement contract would exceed the simplified acquisition threshold—currently, \$150,000—a recipient of an OJP award may not proceed without competition unless and until the recipient receives specific advance authorization from OJP to use a non-competitive approach for the procurement. An applicant that (at the time of its application) intends—without competition—to enter into a procurement contract that would exceed \$150,000 should include a detailed justification that explains to OJP why, in the particular circumstances, it is appropriate to proceed without competition.

If the applicant receives an award, sole source procurements that do not exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$150,000) must have written justification for the noncompetitive procurement action maintained in the procurement file. If a procurement file does not have the documentation that meets the criteria outlined in 2 C.F.R. 200, the procurement expenditures may not be allowable. Sole source procurement over the \$150,000 Simplified Acquisition Threshold must have prior approval from OJP using a Sole Source Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN). Written documentation justifying the noncompetitive procurement must be submitted with the GAN and maintained in the procurement file.

d. Pre-Agreement Costs

For information on pre-agreement costs, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](#).

5. Timeline

Each applicant must provide a timeline for major milestones and deliverables.

6. Training Delivery Data Chart

Each applicant must provide the below completed chart with the listed data points as an appendix to the application. (See page 13.)

Proposed Training Course Title	Total Number of Proposed Deliveries	Number of Proposed Instructors per Delivery	Number of Proposed Training Attendees	Estimated Total Cost per Training Delivery

7. Résumés/Curriculum Vitae of Key Personnel and Subject Experts

Résumés for identified key personnel and subject experts must be included as an appendix.

8. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs may be charged to an award only if:

- (a) The recipient has a current (unexpired), federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The recipient is eligible to use, and elects to use, the “de minimis” indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

An applicant with a current (unexpired) federally approved indirect cost rate is to attach a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement to the application. An applicant that does not have a current federally approved rate may request one through its cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant entity, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, applicants may propose to allocate costs in the direct cost categories.

For assistance with identifying the appropriate cognizant federal agency for indirect costs, please contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Customer Service Center at 1–800–458–0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at <https://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf>.

Certain OJP recipients have the option of electing to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate. An applicant that is eligible to use the “de minimis” rate that wishes to use the "de minimis" rate should attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both—(1) the applicant’s eligibility to use the “de minimis” rate, and (2) its election to do so. If an eligible applicant elects the “de minimis” rate, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. The "de minimis" rate may no longer be used once an approved federally negotiated indirect cost rate is in place. (No entity that ever has had a federally approved negotiated indirect cost rate is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate.) For additional eligibility requirements, please see Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=se2.1.200_1414&rgn=div8.

9. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high risk status)

Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in his or her personal capacity) is required to download, complete, and submit the OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (Questionnaire) at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf> as part of its application.

The Questionnaire helps OJP assess the financial management and internal control systems, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process.

The Questionnaire should only be completed by financial staff most familiar with the applicant's systems, policies, and procedures in order to ensure that the correct responses are recorded and submitted to OJP. The responses on the Questionnaire directly impact the pre-award risk assessment and should accurately reflect the applicant's financial management and internal control system at the time of the application. The pre-award risk assessment is only one of multiple factors and criteria used in determining funding. However, a pre-award risk assessment that indicates that an applicant poses a higher risk to OJP may affect the funding decision and/or result in additional reporting requirements, monitoring, special conditions, withholding of award funds, or other additional award requirements.

Among other things, the form requires each applicant to disclose whether it currently is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal awarding agency, the applicant must provide the following information:

- The federal awarding agency that currently designates the applicant high risk
- The date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address)
- The reasons for the high risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency

OJP seeks this information to help ensure appropriate federal oversight of OJP awards. An applicant that is considered "high risk" by another federal awarding agency is not automatically disqualified from receiving an OJP award. OJP may, however, consider the information in award decisions, and may impose additional OJP oversight of any award under this solicitation (including through the conditions that accompany the award document).

10. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Each applicant must complete and submit this information. An applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities is to provide all of the information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) posted at <https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/Disclosure.pdf>. An applicant that does not expend any funds for lobbying activities is to enter "N/A" in the text boxes for item 10 ("a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant" and "b. Individuals Performing Services").

11. Additional Attachments

a. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same program being proposed in the application under this solicitation, **and** (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined

in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. The applicant is to disclose applications made directly to federal awarding agencies, and also applications for subawards of federal funds (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward (“subgrant”) federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Each applicant that has one or more pending applications as described above is to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency
- The solicitation name/program name
- The point of contact information at the applicable federal or state funding agency

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Program Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Federal or State Funding Agency
DOJ/Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS)	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
Health and Human Services/Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	Drug-Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202/000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

Each applicant should include the table as a separate attachment to its application. The file should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.” The applicant’s Legal Name on the application must match the entity named on the disclosure of pending applications statement.

Any applicant that does not have any pending applications as described above is to submit, as a separate attachment, a statement to this effect: “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have (and is not proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements (or for subawards under federal grants or cooperative agreements) that request funding to support the same program being proposed in this application to OJP and that would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted as part of this application.”

b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The

applicant must demonstrate independence and integrity regarding both this proposed research and/or evaluation, and any current or prior related programs.

Each application should include an attachment that addresses **both** i. and ii. below:

- i. For purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including one of the following two items:
 - a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its application to identify any actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients), and that the applicant has identified no such conflicts of interest—whether personal or financial or organizational (including on the part of the applicant entity or on the part of staff, investigators, or subrecipients)—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, and reporting of the research.

OR

- b. A specific description of actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified—including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research. These conflicts may be personal (e.g., on the part of investigators or other staff), financial, or organizational (related to the applicant or any subrecipient entity). Some examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations are those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse's work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization would not be given an award to evaluate a program, if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific program or a location implementing the program (whether funded by OJP or other sources), because the organization in such an instance might appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation program are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.
- ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to address possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
 - a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. The applicant also is to include an explanation of the

specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and prevent (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded program during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OR

- b. If the applicant has identified actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) that could affect the independence and integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research, the applicant is to provide a specific and robust mitigation plan to address each of those conflicts. At a minimum, the applicant is expected to explain the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded program during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OJP will assess research and evaluation independence and integrity based on considerations such as the adequacy of the applicant's efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the applicant entity (and any subrecipients) in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant's existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

c. **Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation**

An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may be required to make certain disclosures relating to the processes it uses to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees.

Under certain circumstances, a nonprofit organization that provides unreasonably high compensation to certain persons may subject both the organization's managers and those who receive the compensation to additional federal taxes. A rebuttable presumption of the reasonableness of a nonprofit organization's compensation arrangements, however, may be available if the nonprofit organization satisfied certain rules set out in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations with regard to its compensation decisions.

Each applicant nonprofit organization must state at the time of its application (question 9c in the "OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire" located at <http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf> and mentioned earlier) whether or not the applicant entity believes (or asserts) that it currently satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to establishing or invoking a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals and entities).

A nonprofit organization that states in the questionnaire that it believes (or asserts) that it has satisfied the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 must then disclose, in an attachment to its application (to be titled "Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation"), the process used by the applicant nonprofit organization to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees (together, "covered persons").

At a minimum, the disclosure must describe in pertinent detail: (1) the composition of the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; (2) the methods and practices used by the applicant nonprofit organization to ensure that no individual with a conflict of interest participates as a member of the body that reviews and approves a compensation arrangement for a covered person; (3) the appropriate data as to comparability of compensation that is obtained in advance and relied upon by the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; and (4) the written or electronic records that the applicant organization maintains as concurrent documentation of the decisions with respect to compensation of covered persons made by the body that reviews and approves such compensation arrangements, including records of deliberations and of the basis for decisions.

For purposes of the required disclosure, the following terms and phrases have the meanings set out by the IRS for use in connection with 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6: officers, directors, trustees, key employees, compensation, conflict of interest, appropriate data as to comparability, adequate documentation, and concurrent documentation.

Applicant nonprofit organizations should note that following receipt of an appropriate request, OJP may be authorized or required by law to make information submitted to satisfy this requirement available for public inspection. Also, a recipient may be required to make a prompt supplemental disclosure after the award in certain circumstances (e.g., changes in the way the organization determines compensation).

How To Apply

Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html>. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606-545-5035**, which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

Important Grants.gov update. Grants.gov has updated its application tool. The legacy PDF application package has been phased out and was retired on December 31, 2017. Grants.gov Workspace is now the standard application method for applying for grants. OJP applicants should familiarize themselves with the Workspace option now. For complete information and instructions on using Workspace (and other changes), go to the Workspace Overview page at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.html>.

Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks** for first-time registrants to receive confirmation of registration and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications at

least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/manage-subscriptions.html>. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Browser Information: Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

Note on Attachments: Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: “mandatory” and “optional.” OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Attachments are also labeled to describe the file being attached (e.g., Program Narrative, Budget Narrative, Other, etc.) Please ensure that all required documents are attached in the correct Grants.gov category and are labeled correctly. Do not embed “mandatory” attachments within another file.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in the file names of attachments. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov rejects any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below. Grants.gov forwards successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

Characters
Upper case (A – Z)
Lower case (a – z)
Underscore (_)
Hyphen (-)
Space
Period (.)

Special Characters		
Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Ampersand (&)*	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe (')
At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)

***When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the “&” format.**

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

Unique Entity Identifier (DUNS Number) and System for Award Management (SAM)

Every applicant entity must comply with all applicable System for Award Management (SAM) and unique entity identifier (currently, a Data Universal Numbering System [DUNS] number) requirements. SAM is the repository for certain standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit identification number provided by the commercial company Dun and Bradstreet. More detailed information about SAM and the DUNS number is in the numbered sections below.

If an applicant entity has not fully complied with the applicable SAM and unique identifier requirements by the time OJP makes award decisions, OJP may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making the award to a different applicant.

Registration and Submission Steps

1. **Acquire a unique entity identifier (currently, a DUNS number).** In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires every applicant for a federal award (other than an individual) to include a "unique entity identifier" in each application, including an application for a supplemental award. Currently, a DUNS number is the required unique entity identifier.

This unique entity identifier is used for tracking purposes, and to validate address and point of contact information for applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. It will be used throughout the life cycle of an OJP award. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at <https://www.dnb.com/>. A DUNS number is usually received within 1-2 business days.

2. **Acquire or maintain registration with SAM.** All applicants for OJP awards (other than individuals) must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants will need the authorizing official of the organization and an Employer Identification Number (EIN). An applicant must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Each applicant must **update or renew its SAM registration at least annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete (2 more weeks to acquire an EIN).

An application cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take as long as 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.SAM.gov.

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. An applicant entity's "unique entity identifier" (DUNS number) must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations and other entities, go to <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html>. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/registration.html>.
4. **Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
5. **Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of

Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for this solicitation is 16.738, titled “Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program.”

- 6. Select the correct Competition ID.** Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended Category of the application:

Category 1: Law Enforcement Suicide Prevention Training Program, Competition ID: BJA-2018-14020

Category 2: Tactical Officer Safety Training Program s BJA-2018-14021.

- 7. Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package from Grants.gov.** Select “Apply for Grants” under the “Applicants” column. Enter your email address to be notified of any changes to the opportunity package before the closing date. Click the Workspace icon to use Grants.gov Workspace.
- 8. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application. The second will state whether the application has been validated and successfully submitted, or whether it has been rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received, and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting an application well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges each applicant to submit its application **at least 72 hours prior** to the application due date, to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applications must be successfully submitted through Grants.gov by 8:00 p.m. eastern time on April 30, 2018.

Go to <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html> for further details on DUNS numbers, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

Note: Application Versions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJP will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted.

Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html> or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) at <https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/home.do> to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the contact identified in the Contact Information section on the title page **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. The applicant's email must describe the technical difficulties, and must include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s).

Note: OJP does not automatically approve requests to submit a late application. After OJP reviews the applicant's request, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to verify the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the untimely application submission was due to the applicant's failure to follow all required procedures, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit its application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, such as issues with firewalls or browser incompatibility

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP Funding Resource Center at <https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>.

E. Application Review Information

Review Criteria

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

1. **Statement of the Problem (10 percent)**
Specify the category for which the application is submitted. Describe and demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the nature of and need for the program under that category.
2. **Program Design and Implementation (35 percent)**
Describe how the program will address the category-specific description listed under the "Program-Specific Information" section. Clearly provide its design and proposed implementation that will result in the category-specific deliverables. The applicant must tie program activities/deliverables to objectives in the program design. In addition, the required program timeline (See # 5 under [What an Application Should Include](#).) should clearly identify each program activity (all category-specific deliverables must be included), expected completion date, and responsible person or organization. Applicants may choose to include other items or deliverables in addition to the ones listed in this announcement and should provide detailed information on those items as well.

Additionally, applicants must include a completed training delivery data chart that contains the requested onsite training delivery data points as an appendix. For additional information on the chart see #6 under "[What an Application Should Include](#)" on page 26.

3. Capabilities and Competencies (35 percent)
Fully describe the applicant's capabilities to implement the program and the competencies of the staff assigned to the program. Résumés for key personnel (to include subject experts) must be included in the application package. (See #7 under ["What an Application Should Include."](#)) The applicant must also provide evidence of extensive experience in successfully providing the following:

Category 1: Law Enforcement Suicide Prevention Training Program

Category 1 applicants must demonstrate a history of successfully providing complex, national-level law enforcement training and technical assistance. The applicant must also demonstrate the ability to develop and deliver—with input from appropriate mental health and law enforcement mental health subject experts—a training curriculum on the concerns, issues, and considerations (awareness, education, prevention, policy/agency considerations, etc.) of law enforcement suicide. The applicant must demonstrate experience in—and the availability of sufficient resources to provide—the successful delivery of training and technical assistance throughout the country to a variety of law enforcement professionals, leaders, and organizations or agencies.

In addition, the applicant must demonstrate knowledge and experience in research and analysis, publications and multimedia material development, collaborative partnerships, piloting developed training, and experience in recruitment and maintenance of subject experts and/or instructors.

Category 2: Tactical Officer Safety Training Program

Category 2 applicants must demonstrate a history of successfully providing complex, national-level law enforcement training. The applicant must also demonstrate the ability to develop and deliver—with input from tactical subject experts—a dynamic scenario-based tactical training curriculum on the latest strategies and tactics to more safely respond to incidents that are of growing concern to law enforcement. The training must be based on research and analysis of tactical movements and responses that have shown an increased level of safety and success for law enforcement. The applicant must also clearly demonstrate knowledge and capabilities in ensuring rigid safety protocols for training participants and training locations. The applicant must demonstrate experience in—and the availability of sufficient resources to provide—the successful delivery of training throughout the country to a variety of law enforcement professionals, leaders, and organizations or agencies.

In addition, the applicant must demonstrate knowledge and experience in research and analysis, publications and multimedia material development, collaborative partnerships, piloting developed training, and experience in recruitment and maintenance of subject experts and/or instructors.

4. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures (10 percent)
Applicants are not required to submit performance measures data with their applications. Instead, applicants should discuss in their applications their proposed methods for collecting data for performance measures. Refer to the section ["What an Application Should Include"](#) for additional information. Applicants should explain how the program's effectiveness will be demonstrated.

5. Budget (10 percent)

Applicants must submit a budget that is complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for program activities). Budget narratives should demonstrate generally how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the project.⁸

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

- The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- The application must include all items designated as “critical elements.”
- The applicant must not be identified in SAM as excluded from receiving federal awards.

For a list of the critical elements for this solicitation, see “What an Application Should Include” under [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#).

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation’s review criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully. Other important considerations for BJA include geographic diversity, strategic priorities, and available funding, as well as the extent to which the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain program costs that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by the applicant. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory

⁸ Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$150,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, FAPIIS).

Important note on FAPIIS: An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

The evaluation of risks goes beyond information in SAM, however. OJP itself has in place a framework for evaluating risks posed by applicants for competitive awards. OJP takes into account information pertinent to matters such as:

1. Applicant financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of the applicant's management systems, and the applicant's ability to meet prescribed management standards, including those outlined in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide
3. Applicant's history of performance under OJP and other DOJ awards (including compliance with reporting requirements and award conditions), as well as awards from other federal agencies
4. Reports and findings from audits of the applicant, including audits under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements
5. Applicant's ability to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements, and to effectively implement other award requirements.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not only peer review ratings and BJA recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this section.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices

Award notifications will be made by September 30, 2018. OJP sends award notifications by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and steps to take in GMS to start the award acceptance process. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date.

For each successful applicant, an individual with the necessary authority to bind the applicant will be required to log in; execute a set of legal certifications and a set of legal assurances; designate a financial point of contact; thoroughly review the award, including all award conditions; and sign and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires physical

signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning and submission of the fully executed award document to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded program consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, as well as all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

Applicants should consult the "[Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards](#)," available in the OJP Funding Resource Center at <https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>. In addition, applicants should examine the following two legal documents, as each successful applicant must execute both documents before it may receive any award funds. (An applicant is not required to submit these documents as part of an application.)

- [Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements](#)
- [Certified Standard Assurances](#)

The webpages accessible through the "[Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards](#)" are intended to give applicants for OJP awards a general overview of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP grants and cooperative agreements awarded in FY 2018. Individual OJP awards typically also will include additional award conditions. Those additional conditions may relate to the particular statute, program, or solicitation under which the award is made; to the substance of the funded application; to the recipient's performance under other federal awards; to the recipient's legal status (e.g., as a for-profit entity); or to other pertinent considerations.

As stated above, BJA expects that it will make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreements include a condition in the award document that sets out the nature of the "substantial federal involvement" in carrying out the award and program. Generally stated, under OJP cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded program rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in matters such as substantive coordination of technical efforts and site selection, as well as review and approval of program work plans, research designs, data collection instruments, and major program-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award terms and conditions that it may redirect the program if necessary.

In addition to an award condition that sets out the nature of the anticipated "substantial federal involvement" in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include an award condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities, or similar events funded under the award.

General Information about Post-federal Award Reporting Requirements

In addition to the deliverables described in [Section A. Program Description](#), any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data.

Required reports. Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

Awards that exceed \$500,000 will include an additional condition that, under specific circumstances, will require the recipient to report (to FAPIIS) information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either the OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Additional information on this reporting requirement appears in the text of the award condition posted on the OJP webpage at <https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm>.

Data on performance measures. In addition to required reports, each award recipient also must provide data that measure the results of the work done under the award. To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist DOJ in fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103–62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide performance data as part of regular progress reporting. Successful applicants will be required to access OJP’s performance measurement page at www.ojp.gov/performance to view the specific reporting requirements for this grant program.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For OJP contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

H. Other Information

Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)

All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. By law, DOJ may withhold information that is responsive to a request pursuant to FOIA if DOJ determines that the responsive information either is protected under the Privacy Act or falls within the scope of one of nine statutory exemptions under FOIA. DOJ cannot agree in advance of a request pursuant to FOIA not to release some or all portions of an application.

In its review of records that are responsive to a FOIA request, OJP will withhold information in those records that plainly falls within the scope of the Privacy Act or one of the statutory exemptions under FOIA. (Some examples include certain types of information in budgets, and names and contact information for program staff other than certain key personnel.) In appropriate circumstances, OJP will request the views of the applicant/recipient that submitted a responsive document.

For example, if OJP receives a request pursuant to FOIA for an application submitted by a nonprofit or for-profit organization or an institution of higher education, or for an application that involves research, OJP typically will contact the applicant/recipient that submitted the application and ask it to identify—quite precisely—any particular information in the application that the applicant/recipient believes falls under a FOIA exemption, the specific exemption it believes applies, and why. After considering the submission by the applicant/recipient, OJP makes an independent assessment regarding withholding information. OJP generally follows a similar process for requests pursuant to FOIA for applications that may contain law-enforcement sensitive information.

Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

IMPORTANT: This email is for feedback and suggestions only. OJP does **not** reply from this mailbox to messages it receives in this mailbox. Any prospective applicant that has specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation **must** use the appropriate telephone number or email listed on the front of this document to obtain information. These contacts are provided to help ensure that prospective applicants can directly reach an individual who can address specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to ojppeerreview@l-secb.com. (Do not send your résumé to the OJP Solicitation Feedback email account.) **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization or entity can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization/entity has submitted an application.

Appendix A: Application Checklist

FY 2018 Preventing Violence Against Law Enforcement Officers and Ensuring Officer Resilience and Survivability (VALOR) Initiative

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:

_____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 33)

_____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 33)

To Register with Grants.gov:

_____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 34)

_____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 34)

To Find Funding Opportunity:

_____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 34)

_____ Select the correct Competition ID (see page 34)

Category 1: 14020

Category 2: 14021

_____ Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 34)

_____ Sign up for Grants.gov email [notifications](#) (optional) (see page 32)

_____ Read [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#)

_____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm (see page 17)

After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:

_____ (1) application has been received,

_____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 34)

If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:

_____ contact NCJRS regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 2)

Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:

_____ Review the "[Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards](#)" in the OJP Funding Resource Center at <https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>.

Scope Requirement:

_____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) of:

Category 1: \$800,000

Category 2: \$1,000,000

Eligibility Requirement:

Eligible applicants are not-for-profit and for-profit organizations (including tribal nonprofit and for-profit organizations); state and local governments; federally recognized Indian tribal governments (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior); and institutions of higher

education (including tribal institutions of higher education). Applicants must have extensive experience in providing national training and technical assistance as well as extensive experience and knowledge of the officer safety and wellness field.

What an Application Should Include:

_____	Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)	(see page 18)
_____	Program Abstract	(see page 19)
_____	Program Narrative	(see page 19)
_____	Budget Detail Worksheet	(see page 23)
_____	Budget Narrative	(see page 23)
_____	Timeline	(see page 26)
_____	Training Delivery Data Chart	(see page 26)
_____	Résumés/Curriculum Vitae	(see page 26)
_____	Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)	(see page 26)
_____	Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire	(see page 27)
_____	Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)	(see page 28)
_____	Additional Attachments	
_____	_____ Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications	(see page 28)
_____	_____ Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity	(see page 29)
_____	_____ Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensation	(see page 31)
_____	Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waiver (if applicable)	(see page 16)