OMB No. 1121-0329 Approval Expires 11/30/2020

U.S. Department of JusticeOffice of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



The <u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> (DOJ), <u>Office of Justice Programs</u>, (OJP) <u>Bureau of Justice Assistance</u> (BJA) is seeking applications for funding under the Improving Justice and Mental Health Collaboration: Training and Technical Assistance to Grantees and the Field Program. This program furthers the Department's priorities by providing training and technical assistance to law enforcement and other criminal justice agencies and their partner mental health and substance abuse authorities to reduce crime and recidivism associated with people with mental illnesses.

Improving Justice and Mental Health Collaboration: Training and Technical Assistance to Grantees and the Field FY 2019 Competitive Grant Announcement

Applications Due: June 11, 2019

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are nonprofit organizations (including tribal organizations) and for-profit (commercial and tribal) organizations, faith-based and community organizations, and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education).

All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

BJA welcomes applications under which two or more entities would carry out the federal award; however, only one entity may be the applicant. Any others must be proposed as subrecipients (subgrantees). The applicant must be the entity that would have primary responsibility for carrying out the award, including administering the funding and managing the entire program. Under this solicitation, only one application by any particular applicant entity will be considered. An entity may, however, be proposed as a subrecipient (subgrantee) in more than one application.

Competitive applicants will include partnership with a police organization or association that has the capacity to consult and engage communities on issues of improved justice system and public health responses to people with mental illness (MI) or co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse (CMISA).

¹ For additional information on subawards, see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>.

BJA may elect to fund applications submitted under this FY 2019 solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

Deadline

Applicants must register with Grants.gov at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html prior to submitting an application. All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 11, 2019.

To be considered timely, an application must be submitted by the application deadline using Grants.gov, and the applicant must have received a validation message from Grants.gov that indicates successful and timely submission. OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP encourages all applicants to read this <u>Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov</u>.

For additional information, see <u>How To Apply</u> in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726, 606–545–5035, at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html, or at support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under "Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues" in the How To Apply section.

For assistance with any unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond an applicant's control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline, or any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800–851–3420; via TTY at 301–240–6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301–240–5830; or web chat at https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this solicitation: BJA-2019-15123

Release date: April 10, 2019

Contents

A. Program Description	4
Overview	4
Program-specific Information	4
Objectives and Deliverables	5
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices	10
Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities	10
B. Federal Award Information	10
Type of Award	11
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	11
Budget Information	12
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement	12
Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)	12
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	13
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Cos	ts13
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)	13
C. Eligibility Information	14
D. Application and Submission Information	14
What an Application Should Include	14
How To Apply	27
E. Application Review Information	31
Review Criteria	31
Review Process	33
F. Federal Award Administration Information	35
Federal Award Notices	35
Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements	35
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements	36
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	37
H. Other Information	
Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a	ı)37
Provide Feedback to OJP	
Appendix A: Application Checklist	39
Appendix B: Performance Measures Table	41

Improving Justice and Mental Health Collaboration: Training and Technical Assistance to Grantees and the Field FY 2019 Competitive Grant Announcement CFDA # 16.745

A. Program Description

Overview

Through this solicitation, BJA seeks training and technical assistance (TTA) providers to support the Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program (JMHCP) and the Justice and Mental Health State-Based Capacity Building Program (CBP). The primary goal of JMHCP is to facilitate cross-system collaboration among the criminal justice, mental health, and substance abuse treatment systems to increase access to mental health and other treatment services for individuals with mental illnesses (MI) or co-occurring mental illness or substance abuse (CMISA). The primary goal of CBP is to provide comprehensive resources and services to unfunded JMHCP applicants ("customers") and the field in general.

Statutory Authority: This program is authorized by the Mentally III Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Act of 2004 (MIOTCRA) (Public Law 108-414), the Mentally III Offender Treatment and Crime Reduction Reauthorization and Improvement Act of 2008 (Public Law 110-416) and as amended by the 21st Century Cures Act (Public Law 114-255). Any awards under this solicitation will be made under 34 U.S.C. 10651 and Pub. L. No. 116-6, 133 Stat 13, 114.

Program-specific Information

Through JMHCP, BJA has awarded more than \$121,000,000 to 482 jurisdictions in 49 states and 2 territories to implement cross-system justice and mental health programs. For nearly 125 active grantees, expert TTA is critical to the success of their jurisdictions to plan, implement, and sustain these complex, cross-system programs. Effective TTA is needed to assist these grantees achieve the lasting systemwide policy and practice improvements BJA expects. Although the JMHCP appropriation has increased 150 percent from fiscal year (FY) 2017 to FY 2018, many applications remain unfunded and communities remain in need of assistance. In FY 2018, JMHCP received 109 applications and was able to fund under half, with 47 awards. Therefore, through CBP, BJA partners with a technical assistance provider to engage unfunded applicants with support and guidance.

TTA provided to support grant-funded and unfunded jurisdictions promotes officer and public safety through systemwide improvements such as improved information sharing and coordination of system resources for people who are accessing multiple services, including hospital emergency departments, jails, and mental health crisis services. Expert TTA provides project-specific support for justice and treatment professionals, and facilitates communication, cross-system collaboration, and the delivery of support services to justice professionals and treatment and related service providers.

Objectives and Deliverables

<u>Category 1: Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program Training and Technical</u> Assistance. Competition ID: BJA-2019-15953

Category 1 Objective 1: Provide comprehensive, responsive, individualized TTA to JMHCP Category 1, 2, and 3 grantees to meet their objectives, deliverables, and activities.

Category 1 Objective 1 Deliverables and Activities:

- 1. Provide individualized, efficient, and consistent delivery of TTA to help grantees meet their federally funded program objectives and achieve a sustainable program by the end of their project period.
 - a) Develop individualized TTA work plans for each grantee based on the goals outlined in their applications. Work plans should be presented to the grantee, revised as needed, and agreed to by the grantee. They should include checklists to help grantees execute key tasks (e.g., developing a researcher-practitioner partnership). Note that BJA may require the selected provider to submit for review and approval proposed TTA plans, protocols, or strategies in advance of dissemination and implementation with grantees.
 - b) Assist grantees to complete the JMHCP Planning and Implementation (P&I) Guide,² which focuses on program governance, design, data collection and evaluation, and sustainability, and assess progress in achieving the grant goals. Submit proposed modifications of the P&I Guide to BJA for review and approval prior to distributing to grantees.
 - c) Assign each grantee a TTA coach to assess and identify its TTA needs, including a summary of the findings for the grantee and recommended next steps to improve program performance to be shared with BJA. Each coach should have the skills needed to move their assigned grantees toward their goals and the time available to do that. TTA coaches should have consistent, scheduled monthly calls with grantees. Prior to assigning coaches, the awarded applicant will provide a list to BJA of TTA coaches/consultants and their expertise. Provide follow-up information regarding the grantee's implementation of the coach's recommendations.
 - d) Anticipate, quickly identify, and address challenges that arise during TTA delivery. When challenges arise, the TTA provider should engage in strategies like case conferencing to harness the collective knowledge of TTA coaches.
- The TTA provider shall assist grantees in the development of a uniform program evaluation.
 They shall routinely engage grantees on their evaluation activities process, including data collection, providing guidance on the evaluation plan to ensure quality design, relationship with and feedback from evaluators, and evaluation plan progress.
- 3. The TTA provider should proactively build field knowledge and capacity in the subject in which it is awarded. This includes coordinating and supporting a presence at national meetings and conferences via workshops, panel presentations, briefings and the gathering of current national practices involving people with mental illness.
 - a) Coordinate TTA activities with BJA's National Training and Technical Assistance Center in order to ensure coordinated delivery of services among TTA providers and effective use of BJA TTA grant funding.
 - b) Research the use of alternatives to prosecution through pretrial diversion in appropriate cases involving individuals with mental illness

² The Planning and Implementation guides: <u>FY2017 JMHCP Category 1</u>, <u>FY2017 JMHCP Category 2</u>, <u>FY2017 JMHCP Category 3</u>

- 4. Assist grantees in the collection of performance measure data for submission to the Performance Measurement Tool, working in collaboration with the local research partners when relevant. The TTA provider will assist BJA to identify and respond to trends from the performance measure data submissions.
 - a) Based on performance reporting trends and TTA needs assessments, propose to and work with BJA on tailoring the TTA strategy to meet emerging needs and trends.
- 5. There are occasions where an external expert is required. To this end, the TTA provider should use a range of vetted local and national subject experts including practitioners, academics, and federal partners. The TTA provider should identify and maintain a list of subject experts whose expertise and experience best fit the needs of the grantees. With the approval of BJA, assign TTA consultants to assist grantees, and report on and monitor the TTA assistance provided. Expertise shall include the ability to provide specialized training to criminal justice and juvenile justice personnel in appropriate diversion techniques.
- 6. Maintain a listserv of grantees, subgrantees, and key contacts responsible for undertaking grant and related activities, including interested state, local, and tribal contacts, and federal and national partners

Category 1 Objective 2: Provide TTA to assist JMHCP Category 1 Collaborative County Approaches to Reducing the Prevalence of Individuals with Serious Mental Illness in Jails grantees to increase and maintain jail capacity for violent offenders, and develop systemwide coordinated approaches to safely reduce the prevalence of low risk individuals with MI and CMISA in local jails.

Category 1 Objective 2 Deliverables and Activities:

- 1. Develop a plan to create peer-to-peer state and county learning sites, through Category 1 grantee cohorts, that can serve as model sites, to include facilitated calls, webinars, and site visits.
- 2. Assist in the development of a plan to conduct timely screening and assessments for MI and CMISA and for risk of recidivism.
- 3. Provide agency guidance to establish baseline measures of: the number of people with MI and CMISA booked into jail, their average length of stay, percentage of people connected to treatment, and their recidivism rates.
- 4. Using the "<u>Six Questions</u>" planning tool, assist grantees to conduct a comprehensive process analysis and inventory of services to determine existing policies, practices, programs, and treatments. Identify service capacity and gaps as well as evidence-based programs and practices.
- 5. Help Category 1 grantees to prioritize policy, practice, and funding improvements and estimate the impact of new strategies.
- 6. Assist grantees in the establishment of a process for tracking the impact of the plan on four key outcomes: (1) the number of people with mental illnesses booked into jail; (2) their length of stay in jail; (3) connections to treatment; (4) and recidivism.
- 7. Assist grantees to design a data-integration/data-matching system between jails and community service providers to better understand patterns of people considered "high utilizers" of multiple systems such as health care, housing, and use of emergency medical services (EMS) to improve and increase coordination, response, and community capacity.

Category 1 Objective 3: Provide targeted TTA to assist JMHCP Category 2 Strategic Planning for Police and Mental Health Collaboration grantees to free up law enforcement time to focus on responding to violent crime and to improve officer and citizen safety during calls for service involving people with MI and CMISA.

Category 1 Objective 3 Deliverables and Activities:

- 1. Develop and implement a specialized TTA strategy for Category 2 law enforcement grantees, using the Police Mental Health Collaboration (<u>PMHC</u>) <u>Framework</u>³ for police leadership and their mental health system delivery partners.
- 2. Guide grantees in establishing an interagency workgroup that includes law enforcement, behavioral health, and all other major stakeholders (e.g., 911 and dispatch, hospitals, courts, corrections, and housing).
- 3. Assist the project coordinator to review data on performance and adherence to policies and procedures, day-to-day operations according to the PMHC mission, and ways to coordinate outreach and engagement with other partners.
- 4. Review and provide feedback on (and help revise as needed) existing protocols to respond to people who have MI and CMISA, including interagency agreements, screening, guidance for mental health calls for service, and information sharing.
- 5. Review and provide feedback on (and revise as needed) existing officer, call-taker, and mental health provider training to improve encounters with people with MI and CMISA.
- 6. Assess existing behavioral care resources (e.g., crisis hotlines, mobile outreach, crisis facilities, outpatient treatment, etc.), help grantees identify gaps in services, and prioritize behavioral health resources for the PMHC.
- 7. Assess and provide strategies to track mental health-related calls for service and dispositions (e.g., repeat calls for the same individuals, duration of calls for service) and assist in developing additional capacity to analyze and track these calls.
- 8. Assist with the design of data-integration/data-matching systems between law enforcement and community service providers to better understand patterns of people considered "high utilizers" of multiple services such as health care, housing, and use of EMS to improve and increase coordination, response, and community capacity.
- 9. Provide TTA for a team of at least 2 collaborative project partners (1 law enforcement and 1 mental health partner representative) to travel and engage in peer-to-peer learning with 1 of 10 BJA-established Law Enforcement Mental Health Collaboration learning sites as part of the strategic planning process.⁴
- 10. Develop a process for reviewing and improving performance data to promote additional PMHC capacity and long-term sustainability.

Category 1 Objective 4: Provide TTA to assist JMHCP Category 3 Implementation and Expansion grantees to increase public safety and reduce recidivism among high risk people with MI and CMISA.

Category 1 Objective 4 Deliverables and Activities:

Provide subject expertise resources and technical assistance to:

- a) Expand cross-system training for criminal justice, mental health, and substance abuse treatment personnel.
- b) Screen, assess, and gather information-sharing processes to identify individuals with MI or CMISA in order to appropriately inform decision-making and prioritize limited resources.

_

³ The PMHC Framework is a written guide for law enforcement executives that draws upon the most advanced PMHCs in the nation, to articulate core components of a robust PMHC that can improve outcomes in agency-wide collaborative responses and metrics-driven performance management.

⁴ https://csgjusticecenter.org/law-enforcement/projects/mental-health-learning-sites/

- c) Develop and plan for specialized caseloads for people under community supervision with significant mental health needs who are at higher risk of reoffending.
- d) Increase the use of case management and service coordination, including evidence-based treatment models that are tailored to meet the assessed needs of the target population; case management and service coordination housed inside police agencies; or evidence-based or promising mental health treatment practices shown to improve clinical outcomes for people with serious mental illnesses.
- e) Research grantee capacity to develop technical and non-technical strategies for information sharing within and across criminal justice and behavioral health treatment agencies to make eligibility determinations and ensure direct connections to treatment services in the community.

<u>Category 2: Justice and Mental Health State-based Capacity Building Program.</u> <u>Competition ID: BJA-2019-15954</u>

Category 2 Objective 1: To develop and provide information and TTA to the field and to support cross-organizational coordination.

Category 2 Objective 1 Deliverables and Activities:

- 1. The TTA provider must serve as a thought leader and information clearinghouse for relevant research and best practices.
 - a) Create and disseminate knowledge diffusion products (e.g., fact sheets, webinars) through BJA's networks. Coordinate strategy with other TTA providers and federal partners in order to minimize duplication of efforts.
 - b) Disseminate best practices and lessons learned by facilitating a presence at national, state, local, tribal, or other conferences and events related to award activities where officials learn about successful strategies.
- 2. Prioritize and respond to field-initiated requests as well as assist unfunded applicants. Not all ad hoc responses require an extensive response—some may require referral to resources or connection with other agencies—but a limited number will require more thorough exploration and response. In consultation with BJA, TTA providers must design a process to solicit requests for assistance, triage requests that are received and respond to them efficiently and effectively, and produce regular written reports to summarize the support provided.
- 3. Engage in cross-organizational coordination.
 - a) Be both aware of and in touch with other key, overlapping initiatives and other BJA TTA providers in jurisdictions.
 - b) Assist in coordinating existing federal partnerships and strategies related to justice and mental health collaboration. This includes working in conjunction with BJA and other federal partners such as the Interdepartmental Serious Mental Illness Coordinating Committee (ISMICC) to develop and implement responses to priority areas, including identifying policies within their departments that hinder or facilitate local collaboration for preliminarily qualified offenders. This coordination shall result in recommendations to improve interdepartmental collaboration regarding the provision of services to qualified offenders.
 - c) Convene regular meetings (e.g., biannual stakeholder meeting) to allow for ongoing dialogue among relevant partners including, at a minimum, federal partners, public/private partnerships, and interest groups (e.g. peer support community).
- 4. Administer, enhance, and keep updated a TTA program website. Coordinate content with BJA policy to update and enhance BJA website content. Ensure that visitors can quickly

- access the information they seek. Ensure that up-to-date information about service offerings and issues affecting grantees and the field is readily available.
- 5. Maintain and staff a toll-free telephone number and general email address through which interested parties can request and obtain information in a timely fashion.

Category 2 Objective 2: To increase coordination, tools and resources that build state and local capacity to improve system responses to, and outcomes for, people with mental illnesses in the justice system.

Category 2 Objective 2 Deliverables and Activities:

1. Stakeholder Engagement and Coordinated System Response

- a) Engage professional associations with a shared focus to coordinate TTA, and reach a broader audience of stakeholders.
- b) Identify and assist states in policy development and implementation that facilitates and improves local and county justice mental health (MH) initiatives.
- c) Coordinate and make TTA available to jurisdictions needing responsive field guidance that may require system or stakeholder engagement/coordination and provide basic triage, assessment, and guidance to provide a plan and process for assistance.

2. Police Response to Homelessness and Service System Delivery Partnership

- a) Assist law enforcement agencies and community partners to convene and review policies and procedures regarding community responses to homelessness.
- b) Facilitate use of innovative technology and data- sharing strategies to share information between first responders and community service providers.
- c) Assist law enforcement (LE) and their community service partners to develop a homeless outreach team; align policy and procedures with emerging best practices in response.
- d) Strengthen LE-MH partnerships using the <u>PMHC Framework</u> and Policy Guide where appropriate. Assist jurisdictions with the Framework's four key outcome measures and provide a special focus on responding to homelessness and increasing connections to community-based services.

3. Development and Provision of Products, Tools, and Resources for the field

- a) Refine and grow, self-help tools that guide local efforts to reduce the number and prevalence of people with MI in jails.
- b) Develop and grow written products and tools, based on a body of evidence for what works at a state level, to support county collaborations as they strive to reduce the prevalence of people with MI in jails. Provide assistance on connections to treatment and community-based service delivery systems and related state health policy.
- c) Provide guidance, through written products and TTA, regarding a collaborative coordinator's role and activities. Explore, and report on, model counties.
- d) Assist grantees in their use of criminogenic and violence risk assessments to identify and prioritize treatment and case management services for individuals identified as high utilizers of multiple community and public service delivery systems.
- e) Assist grantees with program evaluation. Inform program administrators which policies, processes, and approaches are most effective for their service population.
- f) Expand knowledge of what works regarding programs that have the highest likelihood for success in lowering recidivism and improving public health outcomes.
- g) Provide subject expertise to grantees regarding their provision of services for female offenders consistent with the statutory requirement to focus on this population.

The objectives and deliverables are directly related to the performance measures that demonstrate the results of the work completed, as set out in Section D. Application and

<u>Submission Information</u>, under "Program Narrative." See also The National Registry of Evidence-Based Programs and Practices. Note that programs listed in CrimeSolutions.gov does not mean a strategy is "recommended" or that it has been demonstrated to achieve positive results in all circumstances. Applicants must document that the selected practice is appropriate for the specific target population and purposes of their projects.

Evidence-based Programs or Practices

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based.

The OJP CrimeSolutions.gov website at https://www.crimesolutions.gov is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

Information Regarding Potential Evaluation of Programs and Activities

The Department of Justice has prioritized the use of evidence-based programming and deems it critical to continue to build and expand the evidence informing criminal and juvenile justice programs to reach the highest level of rigor possible. Therefore, applicants should note that the Office of Justice Programs may conduct or support an evaluation of the programs and activities funded under this solicitation. Recipients and sub-recipients will be expected to cooperate with program-related assessments or evaluation efforts, including through the collection and provision of information or data requested by OJP (or its designee) for the assessment or evaluation of any activities and/or outcomes of those activities funded under this solicitation. The information or data requested may be in addition to any other financial or performance data already required under this program.

B. Federal Award Information

BJA expects to make up to two awards with an estimated total amount awarded of up to \$4,340,000. BJA will make two awards, one award in Category 1 and one award in Category 2, each with a twelve month period of performance. Specific award amounts for each category are identified below.

CATEGORY 1: JUSTICE AND MENTAL HEALTH COLLABORATION PROGRAM TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE: up to \$2,480,000. Project period: 12 months. Competition ID: BJA-2019-15953

CATEGORY 2: JUSTICE AND MENTAL HEALTH STATE-BASED CAPACITY
BUILDIING PROGRAM: up to \$1,860,000. Project period: 12 months. Competition
ID: BJA-2019-15954

BJA may, in certain cases, provide additional funding in future years to awards made under this solicitation, through continuation awards. In making decisions regarding continuation awards, OJP will consider, among other factors, the availability of appropriations, when the program or project was last competed, OJP's strategic priorities, and OJP's assessment of both the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award

BJA expects to make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a type of award that provides for OJP to have substantial involvement in carrying out award activities. See Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements, under Section F. Federal Award Administration Information, for a brief discussion of what may constitute substantial federal involvement.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities⁵) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements⁶ as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that [the recipient (and any subrecipient)] is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- b) Comply with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal awards.
- c) Evaluate and monitor [the recipient's (and any subrecipient's)] compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.
- d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.

⁵ For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase "pass-through entity" includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward ("subgrant") to a subrecipient (subgrantee) to carry out part of the funded award or program. Additional information on proposed subawards is listed under What an Application Should Include, Section 4c of this solicitation.

⁶ The "Part 200 Uniform Requirements" means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or [the recipient (or any subrecipient)] considers sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

To help ensure that applicants understand the applicable administrative requirements and cost principles, OJP encourages prospective applicants to enroll, at no charge, in the DOJ Grants Financial Management Online Training, available at https://onlinegfmt.training.ojp.gov. (This training is required for all OJP award recipients.)

Also, applicants should be aware that OJP collects information from applicants on their financial management and systems of internal controls (among other information) which is used to make award decisions. Under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>, applicants may access and review a questionnaire—the <u>OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire</u>—that OJP requires **all** applicants (other than an individual applying in his/her personal capacity) to download, complete, and submit as part of the application.

Budget Information

Applicants must explain their inability to fund the technical assistance program adequately without federal assistance; specify how the federal support will be used to supplement and not supplant public and private sources of funding that would otherwise be available, including billing third-party resources for services already covered; and outline plans for obtaining the necessary support to continue the proposed collaboration program following federal support.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm.

Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs)

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the federal award.

OJP does **not** typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. All such costs incurred prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of the applicant. (Generally, no applicant should incur project costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs.) Should there be extenuating circumstances that make it appropriate for OJP to consider approving pre-agreement costs, the applicant may contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this solicitation for the requirements concerning written requests for approval. If approved in advance by OJP, award funds may be used for pre-agreement costs, consistent with the recipient's approved budget and applicable cost principles. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm for more information.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, a recipient may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. The 2019 salary table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management website at https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salary-tables/19Tables/exec/html/ES.aspx. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Non-federal funds used for any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds, where match requirements apply.) If only a portion of an employee's time is charged to an OJP award, the maximum allowable compensation is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, this limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant that requests a waiver should include a detailed justification in the Budget Narrative of its application. An applicant that does not submit a waiver request and justification with its application should anticipate that OJP will require the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should address, in the context of the work the individual would do under the award, the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of a service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the proposed program or project, and a statement that explains whether and how the individual's salary under the award would be commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work he/she would do under the award.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages every applicant that proposes to use award funds for any conference, meeting-, or training-related activity (or similar event) to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP and DOJ policy and guidance on approval, planning, and reporting of such events, available at

https://www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients, as well as some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, which include a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services, where appropriate.

⁷ OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed in Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" in the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm.

C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see <u>Section B. Federal Award</u> Information.

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

This section describes in detail what an application should include. An applicant should anticipate that if it fails to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of its application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of award conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds until the recipient satisfies the conditions and OJP makes the funds available.

Moreover, an applicant should anticipate that an application that OJP determines is nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that OJP determines does not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review, nor receive further consideration. For this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative, Timeline/Project Plan, Position Descriptions/Resumes, Letters of Support/Memoranda of Understanding, and Examples of Work Product.

<u>NOTE</u>: OJP has combined the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. See "Budget Information and Associated Documentation" below for more information about the Budget Detail Worksheet and where it can be accessed.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "Budget Detail Worksheet," "Timelines," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

Please review the "Note on File Names and File Types" under <u>How To Apply</u> to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of preapplications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants

Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the
fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity,
select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

To avoid processing delays, an applicant must include an accurate legal name on its SF-424. On the SF-424, current OJP award recipients, when completing the field for "Legal Name" (box 8a), should use the same legal name that appears on the prior year award document (which is also the legal name stored in OJP's financial system.) Also, current recipients should enter the Employer Identification Number (EIN) in box 8b exactly as it appears on the prior year award document. An applicant with a current, active award(s) must ensure that its GMS profile is current. If the profile is not current, the applicant should submit a Grant Adjustment Notice updating the information on its GMS profile prior to applying under this solicitation.

A new applicant entity should enter its official legal name in box 8a, its address in box 8d, its EIN in box 8b, and its Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in box 8c of the SF-424. A new applicant entity should attach official legal documents to its application (e.g., articles of incorporation, 501(c)(3) status documentation, organizational letterhead, etc.) to confirm the legal name, address, and EIN entered into the SF-424. OJP will use the System for Award Management (SAM) to confirm the legal name and DUNS number entered in the SF-424; therefore, an applicant should ensure that the information entered in the SF-424 matches its current registration in SAM. See the How To Apply section for more information on SAM and DUNS numbers.

Intergovernmental Review: This solicitation ("funding opportunity") **is not** subject to <u>Executive Order 12372</u>. (In completing the SF-424, an applicant is to answer question 19 by selecting the response that the "Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.")

2. Project Abstract

Applications should include a high quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be:

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with "Project Abstract" as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (such as Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

In addition, the abstract must:

- Clearly identify the funding category.
- Include the names of the lead applicant, the partner applicant, and subrecipients (police organization/associations, states, mental health organizations)
- Include a brief description of how the applicant plans to address the problem and the amount of federal funding requested.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the program narrative.

3. Program Narrative

The program narrative must respond to the solicitation and the Review Criteria (a—e) in the order given. The program narrative must be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with 1-inch margins, and must not exceed **20** pages. Please number pages "1 of 20," "2 of 20," etc. If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative⁸:

- a. Description of the Issue
- b. Project Design and Implementation
- c. Capabilities and Competencies
- d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures

OJP will require each successful applicant to submit regular performance data that demonstrate the results of the work carried out under the award (see "General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements" in Section F. Federal Award Administration Information). The performance data directly relate to the objectives and deliverables identified under "Objectives and Deliverables" in Section A. Program Description.

Applicants should visit OJP's performance measurement page at www.ojp.gov/performance for an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP. Performance measures for this solicitation are listed in Appendix.br/>B: Performance Measures Table.

The application should demonstrate the applicant's understanding of the performance data reporting requirements for this grant program and detail how the applicant will gather the required data should it receive funding.

Please note that applicants are **not** required to submit performance data with the application. Performance measures information is included as an alert that successful applicants will be required to submit performance data as part of the reporting requirements under an award.

Post-award recipients will be required to submit performance measure data semiannually through BJA's online Training and Technical Assistance Reporting Portal. More information on reporting requirements can be found at: https://www.bjatraining.org/working-with-nttac/providers.

e. Plan for Measuring Program Success to Inform Plan for Sustainment

Note on Project Evaluations

An applicant that proposes to use award funds through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements, likely do not constitute "research." Each applicant should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project it proposes would either intentionally or unintentionally

16

⁸ For information on subawards (including the details on proposed subawards that should be included in the application), see "Budget and Associated Documentation" under <u>Section D. Application and Submission Information</u>.

collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ definition of research that appears at 28 C.F.R. Part 46 ("Protection of Human Subjects").

"Research," for purposes of human subjects protection for OJP-funded programs, is defined as "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge." 28 C.F.R. 46.102(d).

For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research for purposes of human subjects protection, applicants should consult the decision tree in the "Research and the protection of human subjects" section of the "Requirements related to Research" webpage of the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards," available through the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm.. Every prospective applicant whose application may propose a research or statistical component also should review the "Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements" section on that webpage.

4. Budget and Associated Documentation

The Budget Detail Worksheet and the Budget Narrative are now combined in a single document collectively referred to as the Budget Detail Worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet is a user-friendly, fillable, Microsoft Excel-based document designed to calculate totals. Additionally, the Excel workbook contains worksheets for multiple budget years that can be completed as necessary. All applicants should use the Excel version when completing the proposed budget in an application, except in cases where the applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties. If an applicant does not have access to Microsoft Excel or experiences technical difficulties with the Excel version, then the applicant should use the 508-compliant accessible Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) version.

Both versions of the Budget Detail Worksheet can be accessed at https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Forms/BudgetDetailWorksheet.htm.

a. Budget Detail Worksheet

The Budget Detail Worksheet should provide the detailed computation for each budget line item, listing the total cost of each and showing how it was calculated by the applicant. For example, costs for personnel should show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time devoted to the project for each employee paid with grant funds. The Budget Detail Worksheet should present a complete itemization of all proposed costs.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the DOJ Grants Financial Guide at https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm.

b. Budget Narrative

The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe **every** category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

An applicant should demonstrate in its budget narrative how it will maximize cost effectiveness of award expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of the project. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be

used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The Budget Narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond clearly with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated **all** costs, and how those costs are necessary to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes, but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should describe costs by year.

c. Information on Proposed Subawards (if any) and on Proposed Procurement Contracts (if any)

Applicants for OJP awards typically may propose to make *subawards*. Applicants also may propose to enter into procurement *contracts* under the award.

Whether an action—for federal grants administrative purposes—is a subaward or procurement contract is a critical distinction as significantly different rules apply to subawards and procurement contracts. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a subaward of an OJP award, specific rules apply—many of which are set by federal statutes and DOJ regulations; others by award conditions. These rules place particular responsibilities on an OJP recipient for any subawards the OJP recipient may make. The rules determine much of what the written subaward agreement itself must require or provide. The rules also determine much of what an OJP recipient must do both before and after it makes a subaward. If a recipient enters into an agreement that is a procurement contract under an OJP award, a substantially different set of federal rules applies.

OJP has developed the following guidance documents to help clarify the differences between subawards and procurement contracts under an OJP award and outline the compliance and reporting requirements for each. This information can be accessed online at https://ojp.gov/training/training.htm.

- <u>Subawards under OJP Awards and Procurement Contracts under Awards: A Toolkit for OJP Recipients.</u>
- Checklist to Determine Subrecipient or Contractor Classification.
- Sole Source Justification Fact Sheet and Sole Source Review Checklist.

In general, the central question is the relationship between what the third-party will do under its agreement with the recipient and what the recipient has committed (to OJP) to do under its award to further a public purpose (e.g., services the recipient will provide, products it will develop or modify, research or evaluation it will conduct). If a third party will provide some of the services the recipient has committed (to OJP) to provide, will develop or modify all or part of a product the recipient has committed (to OJP) to develop or modify, or will conduct part of the research or evaluation the recipient has committed (to OJP) to conduct, OJP will consider the agreement with the third party a subaward for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements.

This will be true **even if** the recipient, for internal or other non-federal purposes, labels or treats its agreement as a procurement, a contract, or a procurement contract. Neither the title nor the structure of an agreement determines whether the agreement—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is a *subaward* or is instead a procurement *contract* under an award. The substance of the relationship should be given

greater consideration than the form of agreement between the recipient and the outside entity.

1. Information on proposed subawards

A recipient of an OJP award may not make subawards ("subgrants") unless the recipient has specific federal authorization to do so. Unless an applicable statute or DOJ regulation specifically authorizes (or requires) subawards, a recipient must have authorization from OJP before it may make a subaward.

A particular subaward may be authorized by OJP because the recipient included a sufficiently detailed description and justification of the proposed subaward in the Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative as approved by OJP. If, however, a particular subaward is not authorized by federal statute or regulation, and is not approved by OJP, the recipient will be required, post-award, to request and obtain written authorization from OJP before it may make the subaward.

If an applicant proposes to make one or more subawards to carry out the federal award and program, the applicant should: (1) identify (if known) the proposed subrecipient(s), (2) describe in detail what each subrecipient will do to carry out the federal award and federal program, and (3) provide a justification for the subaward(s), with details on pertinent matters such as special qualifications and areas of expertise. Pertinent information on subawards should appear not only in the Program Narrative, but also in the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative.

2. Information on proposed procurement contracts (with specific justification for proposed noncompetitive contracts over \$250,000°)

Unlike a recipient contemplating a subaward, a recipient of an OJP award generally does not need specific prior federal authorization to enter into an agreement that—for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements—is considered a procurement contract, **provided that** (1) the recipient uses its own documented procurement procedures and (2) those procedures conform to applicable federal law, including the Procurement Standards of the (DOJ) Part 200 Uniform Requirements (as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.317 - 200.326). The Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative should identify proposed procurement contracts. (As discussed above, subawards must be identified and described separately from procurement contracts.)

The Procurement Standards in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, however, reflect a general expectation that agreements that (for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements) constitute procurement "contracts" under awards will be entered into on the basis of full and open competition. All noncompetitive (sole source) procurement contracts must meet the OJP requirements outlined at https://ojp.gov/training/subawards-procurement.htm. If a proposed procurement contract would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold—currently, \$250,000—a recipient of an OJP award may not proceed without competition unless and until the recipient

19

⁹ Consistent with the provisions of Office of Management and Budget memorandum, OMB M-18-18, dated June 20, 2018, and entitled, "Implementing Statutory Changes to the Micro-Purchase and the Simplified Acquisition Thresholds for Financial Assistance," DOJ will allow recipients (and any subrecipients) of awards made under this solicitation to use a simplified acquisition threshold of \$250,000 and a micro-purchase threshold of \$10,000, for federal grants administrative purposes.

receives specific advance authorization from OJP to use a non-competitive approach for the procurement. An applicant that (at the time of its application) intends—without competition—to enter into a procurement contract that would exceed \$250,000 should include a detailed justification that explains to OJP why, in the particular circumstances, it is appropriate to proceed without competition.

If the applicant receives an award, sole source procurements that do not exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently \$250,000) must have written justification for the noncompetitive procurement action maintained in the procurement file. If a procurement file does not have the documentation that meets the criteria outlined in 2 C.F.R. 200, the procurement expenditures may not be allowable. Sole source procurement over the \$250,000 Simplified Acquisition Threshold must have prior approval from OJP using a Sole Source Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN). Written documentation justifying the noncompetitive procurement must be submitted with the GAN and maintained in the procurement file.

d. Pre-Agreement Costs

For information on pre-agreement costs, see <u>Section B. Federal Award Information</u>.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs may be charged to an award only if:

- (a) The recipient has a current (unexpired), federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The recipient is eligible to use, and elects to use, the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

An applicant with a current (unexpired) federally approved indirect cost rate is to attach a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement to the application. An applicant that does not have a current federally approved rate may request one through its cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant entity, or, if the applicant's accounting system permits, applicants may propose to allocate costs in the direct cost categories.

For assistance with identifying the appropriate cognizant federal agency for indirect costs, please contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Customer Service Center at 1–800–458–0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at https://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf.

Certain OJP recipients have the option of electing to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate. An applicant that is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate that wishes to use the "de minimis" rate should attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both—(1) the applicant's eligibility to use the "de minimis" rate, and (2) its election to do so. If an eligible applicant elects the "de minimis" rate, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. The "de minimis" rate may no longer be used once an approved federally negotiated indirect cost rate is in place. (No entity that ever has had a federally approved negotiated indirect cost rate is eligible to use the "de minimis" rate.) For the "de minimis" rate requirements (including information on eligibility to elect to use the rate), see the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

A tribe, tribal organization, or third party that proposes to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in its application a resolution, letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that demonstrates (as a legal matter) that the applicant has the requisite authorization from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for an award on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the award. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

An applicant unable to submit an application that includes a fully executed (i.e., signed) copy of legal appropriate documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe's governance structure, should, at a minimum, submit an unsigned, draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except for cases in which, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, OJP will make use of and access to award funds contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

7. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high risk status)

Every OJP applicant (other than an individual applying in his or her personal capacity) is required to download, complete, and submit the OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (Questionnaire) at https://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf as part of its application. The Questionnaire helps OJP assess the financial management and internal control systems, and the associated potential risks of an applicant as part of the pre-award risk assessment process.

The Questionnaire should only be completed by financial staff most familiar with the applicant's systems, policies, and procedures in order to ensure that the correct responses are recorded and submitted to OJP. The responses on the Questionnaire directly impact the pre-award risk assessment and should accurately reflect the applicant's financial management and internal control system at the time of the application. The pre-award risk assessment is only one of multiple factors and criteria used in determining funding. However, a pre-award risk assessment that indicates that an applicant poses a higher risk to OJP may affect the funding decision and/or result in additional reporting requirements, monitoring, special conditions, withholding of award funds, or other additional award requirements.

Among other things, the form requires each applicant to disclose whether it currently is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal awarding agency, the applicant must provide the following information:

- The federal awarding agency that currently designates the applicant high risk
- The date the applicant was designated high risk

- The high risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address)
- The reasons for the high risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency

OJP seeks this information to help ensure appropriate federal oversight of OJP awards. An applicant that is considered "high risk" by another federal awarding agency is not automatically disqualified from receiving an OJP award. OJP may, however, consider the information in award decisions, and may impose additional OJP oversight of any award under this solicitation (including through the conditions that accompany the award document).

8. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Each applicant must complete and submit this information. An applicant that expends any funds for lobbying activities is to provide all of the information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) posted at https://oip.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/Disclosure.pdf. An applicant that does not expend any funds for lobbying activities is to enter "N/A" in the text boxes for item 10 ("a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant" and "b. Individuals Performing Services").

9. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Each applicant is to disclose whether it has (or is proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements that (1) include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed in the application under this solicitation, <u>and</u> (2) would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted to OJP as part of the application under this solicitation. The applicant is to disclose applications made directly to federal awarding agencies, and also applications for subawards of federal funds (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward ("subgrant") federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Each applicant that has one or more pending applications as described above is to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency
- The solicitation name/project name
- The point of contact information at the applicable federal or state funding agency

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Project Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Federal or State Funding Agency
DOJ/Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office)	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
Health and Human Services/Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration	Drug-Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202/000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

Each applicant should include the table as a separate attachment to its application. The file should be named "Disclosure of Pending Applications." The applicant's Legal Name on the application must match the entity named on the disclosure of pending applications statement.

Any applicant that does not have any pending applications as described above is to submit, as a separate attachment, a statement to this effect: "[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have (and is not proposed as a subrecipient under) any pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or cooperative agreements (or for subawards under federal grants or cooperative agreements) that request funding to support the same project being proposed in this application to OJP and that would cover any identical cost items outlined in the budget submitted as part of this application."

10. Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grantees¹⁰

An applicant that is designated as a DOJ High Risk Grantee is to submit, as a separate attachment to its application, information that OJP will use, among other pertinent information, to determine whether it will consider or select the application for an award under this solicitation. The file should be named "DOJ High Risk Grantee Applicant Disclosure and Justification." (See, also, Application Review Information, for a brief discussion of how such information may considered in the application review process.)

OJP constantly seeks to optimize its investments in criminal- and juvenile justice-focused programs and activities, increase program effectiveness, and maximize the return – and program impact – from limited programmatic resources. Therefore, OJP may remove from consideration or not select for award a "DOJ High Risk Grantee" applicant that is determined to pose a substantial risk of program implementation failure. In making such determinations, OJP will consider one or more of the following factors: the applicant's lack of sufficient progress in addressing required corrective actions necessary for removal of the DOJ High Risk Grantee designation; the nature and severity of the issues leading to or accompanying the applicant's DOJ High Risk Grantee designation; or the applicant's expected ability to manage grant funds and achieve grant goals and objectives.

23

¹⁰ A "DOJ High Risk Grantee" is a recipient that has received a DOJ High-Risk designation based on a documented history of unsatisfactory performance, financial instability, management system or other internal control deficiencies, or noncompliance with award terms and conditions on prior awards, or that is otherwise not responsible.

In this attachment, the applicant is to provide any additional information or justification – especially with regard to corrective actions yet to be implemented (as of the application date) – that may help demonstrate how the applicant has addressed or otherwise mitigated such uncorrected matters, such that any negative impact on the proposed program and its implementation would be immaterial or would be significantly reduced or eliminated. (To the extent that the applicant believes that any of the information provided pursuant to this disclosure may be confidential in nature, the applicant should specifically identify it.)

11. Additional Required Attachments

a. Timeline/Project Plan

Include a comprehensive timeline that identifies milestones, numerically listed deliverables, and who is responsible for each activity (provide title and agency).

b. Position Descriptions and Résumés

Include position descriptions and résumés for key project personnel. (See pages 5, 8)

c. Letters of Support/Memoranda of Understanding

Successful applicants must establish a team (or use a pre-existing team) of leaders and decision makers from multiple agencies to engage in the project, and must submit documentation in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding, resolution, Memorandum of Agreement, or an equivalent document clearly documenting the express commitment of all the team members that they commit to full participation in good faith.

d. Examples of Work Product

Attach three examples of relevant products the organization has produced that exemplify high quality products that communicate effectively with stakeholders (e.g., website, fact sheet, research brief, link to archived webinar, TA final report with recommendations, infographics, etc.).

e. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If an application proposes research (including research and development) and/or evaluation, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence and integrity, including appropriate safeguards, before it may receive award funds. The applicant must demonstrate independence and integrity regarding both this proposed research and/or evaluation, and any current or prior related projects.

Each application should include an attachment that addresses **both** i. and ii. below:

- i. For purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including one of the following two items:
 - a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its application to identify any actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients), and that the applicant has identified no such conflicts of interest—whether personal or financial or organizational (including on the part of the applicant entity or on the part of staff, investigators, or subrecipients)—that could affect the independence or

integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, and reporting of the research.

OR

- b. A specific description of actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified—including through review of pertinent information on the principal investigator, any co-principal investigators, and any subrecipients—that could affect the independence or integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research. These conflicts may be personal (e.g., on the part of investigators or other staff), financial, or organizational (related to the applicant or any subrecipient entity). Some examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations are those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse's work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization would not be given an award to evaluate a project, if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), because the organization in such an instance might appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.
- ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation, each applicant is to address possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
 - a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. The applicant also is to include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and prevent (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OR

b. If the applicant has identified actual or potential apparent conflicts of interest (personal, financial, or organizational) that could affect the independence and integrity of the research, including the design, conduct, or reporting of the research, the applicant is to provide a specific and robust mitigation plan to address each of those conflicts. At a minimum, the applicant is expected to

explain the specific processes and procedures that the applicant has in place, or will put in place, to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) any such conflicts of interest pertinent to the funded project during the period of performance. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard may include organizational codes of ethics/conduct and policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

OJP will assess research and evaluation independence and integrity based on considerations such as the adequacy of the applicant's efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the applicant entity (and any subrecipients) in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant's existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

f. Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation

An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may be required to make certain disclosures relating to the processes it uses to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees.

Under certain circumstances, a nonprofit organization that provides unreasonably high compensation to certain persons may subject both the organization's managers and those who receive the compensation to additional federal taxes. A rebuttable presumption of the reasonableness of a nonprofit organization's compensation arrangements, however, may be available if the nonprofit organization satisfied certain rules set out in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations with regard to its compensation decisions.

Each applicant nonprofit organization must state at the time of its application (question 9c in the "OJP Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire" located at

http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/FinancialCapability.pdf and mentioned earlier) whether or not the applicant entity believes (or asserts) that it currently satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to establishing or invoking a rebuttable presumption of reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals and entities).

A nonprofit organization that states in the questionnaire that it believes (or asserts) that it has satisfied the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 must then disclose, in an attachment to its application (to be titled "Disclosure of Process Related to Executive Compensation"), the process used by the applicant nonprofit organization to determine the compensation of its officers, directors, trustees, and key employees (together, "covered persons").

At a minimum, the disclosure must describe in pertinent detail: (1) the composition of the body that reviews and approves compensation arrangements for covered persons; (2) the methods and practices used by the applicant nonprofit organization to ensure that no individual with a conflict of interest participates as a member of the body that reviews and approves a compensation arrangement for a covered person; (3) the appropriate data as to comparability of compensation that is obtained in advance and relied upon by the body that reviews and approves compensation

arrangements for covered persons; and (4) the written or electronic records that the applicant organization maintains as concurrent documentation of the decisions with respect to compensation of covered persons made by the body that reviews and approves such compensation arrangements, including records of deliberations and of the basis for decisions.

For purposes of the required disclosure, the following terms and phrases have the meanings set out by the IRS for use in connection with 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6: officers, directors, trustees, key employees, compensation, conflict of interest, appropriate data as to comparability, adequate documentation, and concurrent documentation.

Applicant nonprofit organizations should note that following receipt of an appropriate request, OJP may be authorized or required by law to make information submitted to satisfy this requirement available for public inspection. Also, a recipient may be required to make a prompt supplemental disclosure after the award in certain circumstances (e.g., changes in the way the organization determines compensation).

How To Apply

Applicants must register in and submit applications through <u>Grants.gov</u>, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800–518–4726 or 606–545–5035, which operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except on federal holidays.

Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur**, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation of registration and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, in order to allow time for the applicant to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/manage-subscriptions.html. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Browser Information: Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

Note on Attachments: Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: "mandatory" and "optional." OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Attachments are also labeled to describe the file being attached (e.g., Project Narrative, Budget Narrative, Other). Please ensure that all required documents are attached in the correct Grants.gov category and are labeled correctly. Do not embed "mandatory" attachments within another file.

An applicant must use the **Add Attachment** button to attach a file to its application. Do not click the paperclip icon to attach files. This action will not attach the files to the application. After

adding an attachment, select the **View Attachment** button to confirm you attached the correct file. To remove the file, select the **Delete Attachment** button.

An application can be checked for errors via the **Check Application** button on the **Forms** tab of the **Manage Workspace** page. The button is active if the set of forms in the workspace matches those required in the application package. If you receive a **Cross-Form Errors** message after clicking the **Check Application** button, refer to the Cross-Form Errors help article for more detailed information about this validation error

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov <u>only</u> permits the use of <u>certain specific</u> characters in the file names of attachments. Valid file names may include <u>only</u> the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov rejects any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains <u>any</u> characters not shown in the table below. Grants.gov forwards successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

Characters		
Upper case (A – Z)		
Lower case (a – z)		
Underscore ()		
Hyphen (-)		
Space		
Period (.)		

Special Characters		
Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Ampersand (&)*	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe (')
At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)

^{*}When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the "&" format.

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: ".com," ".bat," ".exe," ".vbs," ".cfg," ".dat," ".db," ".dbf," ".dll," ".ini," ".log," ".ora," ".sys," and ".zip." GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

Unique Entity Identifier (DUNS Number) and System for Award Management (SAM) Every applicant entity must comply with all applicable SAM and unique entity identifier (currently, a DUNS number) requirements. SAM is the repository for certain standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit identification number provided by the commercial company Dun and Bradstreet. More detailed information about SAM and the DUNS number is in the numbered sections below.

If an applicant entity has not fully complied with the applicable SAM and unique identifier requirements by the time OJP makes award decisions, OJP may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making the award to a different applicant.

Registration and Submission Steps

1. Acquire a unique entity identifier (currently, a DUNS number). The Office of Management and Budget requires every applicant for a federal award (other than an

individual) to include a "unique entity identifier" in each application, including an application for a supplemental award. Currently, a DUNS number is the required unique entity identifier.

This unique entity identifier is used for tracking purposes, and to validate address and point of contact information for applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. It will be used throughout the life cycle of an OJP award. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at https://www.dnb.com/. A DUNS number is usually received within 2 business days.

2. Acquire or maintain registration with SAM. Any applicant for an OJP award creating a new entity registration (or updating or renewing a registration) in SAM.gov must submit an original, signed notarized letter appointing the authorized Entity Administrator within thirty (30) days of the registration activation. Notarized letters must be submitted via U.S. Postal Service Mail. Read the Alert at sam.gov/SAM/ to learn more about what is required in the notarized letter, and read the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at www.gsa.gov/samupdate to learn more about this process change. All applicants for OJP awards (other than individuals) must maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants will need the authorizing official of the organization and an Employer Identification Number (EIN). Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at sam.gov/SAM/.

An application cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take as long as 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password. Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. An applicant entity's "unique entity identifier" (DUNS number) must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations and other entities, go to https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html.
- 4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for this solicitation is 16.745, titled "Criminal and Juvenile Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program," and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2019-15123.
- 6. Select the correct Competition ID. Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended purpose area of the application. Category 1: Justice and Mental Health

Collaboration Training and Technical Assistance BJA-2019-15953, Category 2: Justice and Mental Health State Based Capacity Building Program BJA-2019-15954.

- 7. Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package from Grants.gov. Select "Apply for Grants" under the "Applicants" column. Enter your email address to be notified of any changes to the opportunity package before the closing date. Click the Workspace icon to use Grants.gov Workspace.
- 8. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. To preview the application prior to (or after) submitting, go to the View Application tab in Workspace. For additional information, review the View Application Tab help article and Attachments Tab help article. Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application. The second will state whether the application has been validated and successfully submitted, or whether it has been rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received, and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting an application well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. Important: OJP urges each applicant to submit its application at least 72 hours prior to the application due date, to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applications must be successfully submitted through Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 11, 2019.

Go to https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html for further details on DUNS numbers, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

Note: Application Versions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJP will review <u>only</u> the most recent system-validated version submitted.

Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

An applicant that experiences unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) at https://www.fsd.gov/fsd-gov/home.do to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the contact identified in the Contact Information section on the title page within 24 hours after the application deadline to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. The applicant's email must describe the technical difficulties, and must include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s).

Note: OJP does not automatically approve requests to submit a late application. After OJP reviews the applicant's request, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to verify the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the untimely application submission was due to the applicant's failure to follow all required procedures, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit its application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, such as issues with firewalls or browser incompatibility

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm.

E. Application Review Information

Review Criteria

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

Description of the Issue (15 percent)

- Describe the need for TTA as well as the challenges that grantees and field-initiated requestors face in planning, implementing, or expanding comprehensive efforts in the subject matter that is the focus of their applications.
- Provide a clear and concise statement that shows a thorough understanding of why the
 delivery and expansion of TTA to support JMHCP grantees and the broader field is
 important. Also concisely describe the scope of the applicant's potential resource
 contributions to the field.

Project Design and Implementation (40 percent)

- Describe how the applicant will identify, assess, and deliver the proposed assistance.
 Detail how effective training and technical assistance will be delivered as outlined in
 Category 1, Objectives 1-4 and Category 2, Objectives 1-2, in the "Programspecific Information section listed on pages 4-9. Address and describe the specific
 activities for providing TTA and outreach to jurisdictions and local field initiated
 requestors.
- Detail how the applicant will implement the deliverables and activities listed in the Program-specific Information section. Describe the objectives and identify strategies for designing and implementing each program deliverable and activity as described.
- Provide specific information on any materials to be developed and/or technical assistance to be provided as they relate to the applicable category.
- Provide a complete description of all the requested deliverables and of the methods for their delivery (e.g., adult learning principles, development sources, distance learning, process for information assessment, and revision), including coordination of deliverable timelines for training approval.

- Articulate the applicant's "vision" for the role of the TTA for grantees and non-grantees.
- Demonstrate a well thought-out plan for transferring knowledge, best practices, and assistance to the overall law enforcement and mental health fields, including a description of how the applicant envisions working on behalf of BJA and other partners in providing these services.
- Provide a time and task plan (as an attachment) for completing the deliverables and identify the percentage of time to be dedicated by the individuals responsible for those tasks.

Capabilities and Competencies (30 percent)

- Provide a detailed description of the capacity of the organization and the key personnel who will deliver the required services and perform the key tasks outlined in the time and task plan related to: criminal justice and mental health systems; police sciences; behavioral health; public health policy; and state, county, and local government organizational structure. Describe other technical skills necessary to provide assistance as described under each category (see pages 5-9). Information regarding the personnel assigned to these tasks and whether their résumés and role descriptions are included (see page 24) will contribute to the assignment of points relative to this criterion.
- Clearly articulate the organization's history of involvement with implementation and technical assistance coordination of a national scope. Illustrate the ability to manage complex training/technical assistance/national center programs and projects effectively.
- Describe the management structure, staffing, and in-house or contracted capacity to complete each of the objectives and deliverables.
- Attach three examples of relevant products the organization has produced that exemplify high quality products that communicate effectively with stakeholders (e.g., website, fact sheet, research brief, link to archived webinar, TA final report with recommendations, infographics, etc.).
- Include résumés/curriculum vitae of key project staff demonstrating justice, police/law enforcement and behavioral health, mental health and other service delivery-related experience that is specific to the scope of the proposed objectives proposed. (See page 24.)
- Describe, in detail, staff competency in having experience and work history as law
 enforcement, having worked within a law enforcement organization, or having a level of
 experience working with police organizations such as a national police association or
 state, county, or local police and how the applicant plans to include a significant police
 presence within the applicant agency that mirrors the target audience within jurisdictions.
- Including letters of support/Memoranda of Understanding where partnerships to implement the deliverables are part of the project design will contribute to scoring under this criterion (see page 24).

Plan for Collecting the Data required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures (5 percent)

 Describe the process the applicant will use to measure project performance. This should include measures for adhering to project timelines, meeting deliverable schedules, obtaining input from customers, and seeking feedback from stakeholders. Identify what data information will be collected, who will be responsible for collecting and reporting the data, who is responsible for performance measurement, how the data will be stored, how any personally identifiable information (PII) will be protected, and how the information will be used to guide the program.

Budget (10 percent)

- Submit a budget that is complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).
- Budget narratives should demonstrate generally how the applicant will maximize cost
 effectiveness of grant expenditures. They should explain how costs are intended to meet
 the objectives of the program and show all calculations. Budget narratives should
 demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the objectives of
 the project.¹¹
- Applicants must set aside an adequate amount of funding to implement a data collection plan.

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for making awards. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether an application meets basic minimum requirements and should proceed to further consideration, OJP screens applications for compliance with those requirements. Although specific requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP programs:

- The application must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- The application must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- The application must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- The application must include all items designated as "critical elements."

For a list of the critical elements for this solicitation, see "What an Application Should Include" under Section D. Application and Submission Information.

Peer review panels will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications on technical merit using the solicitation's review criteria. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. Peer reviewers' ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although reviewer views are considered carefully. Other important considerations for BJA include geographic diversity, strategic priorities, and available funding, as well as the extent to which the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

¹¹ Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

accurately explain project costs that are reasonable, necessary, and otherwise allowable under federal law and applicable federal cost principles.

Pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, before award decisions are made, OJP also reviews information related to the degree of risk posed by the applicant. Among other things to help assess whether an applicant that has one or more prior federal awards has a satisfactory record with respect to performance, integrity, and business ethics, OJP checks whether the applicant is listed in SAM as excluded from receiving a federal award.

In addition, if OJP expects that any award under the solicitation will exceed \$250,000 over the life of the award, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the non-public segment of the integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, (FAPIIS)).

Important note on FAPIIS: An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

The evaluation of risks goes beyond information in SAM, however. OJP itself has in place a framework for evaluating risks posed by applicants for competitive awards. OJP takes into account information pertinent to matters such as:

- 1. Applicant financial stability and fiscal integrity
- Quality of the applicant's management systems, and the applicant's ability to meet prescribed management standards, including those outlined in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide
- 3. Applicant's history of performance under OJP and other DOJ awards (including compliance with reporting requirements and award conditions), as well as awards from other federal agencies
- 4. Reports and findings from audits of the applicant, including audits under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements
- 5. Applicant's ability to comply with statutory and regulatory requirements, and to effectively implement other award requirements.

Note on applicants with a "high risk" designation: Risks associated with DOJ High Risk Grantees, or applicants designated as "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, are taken into account during the review process, and each applicant with such "high risk" designations will be considered for funding on a case-by-case basis, depending on the nature and severity of the issues that led to the DOJ High Risk Grantee (or non-DOJ high risk) designation, status of progress in addressing corrective actions, and expected ability to manage grant funds and achieve grant goals and objectives. A "high risk" designated applicant is to submit disclosure and justification documentation consistent with the requirements specified, above, under "What an Application Should Include" in Section C. Application and Submission Information.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may take into account not only peer review ratings and BJA recommendations, but also other factors as indicated in this section.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices

Award notifications will be made by September 30, 2019. OJP sends award notifications by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and steps to take in GMS to start the award acceptance process. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date.

For each successful applicant, an individual with the necessary authority to bind the applicant will be required to log in; execute a set of legal certifications and a set of legal assurances; designate a financial point of contact; thoroughly review the award, including all award conditions; and sign and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires a physical signature on the award document by the authorized representative. The fully-executed award document must then be scanned and submitted to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, as well as all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

Applicants should consult the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards," available in the OJP Funding Resource Center at https://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm. In addition, applicants should examine the following two legal documents, as each successful applicant must execute both documents before it may receive any award funds. (An applicant is not required to submit these documents as part of an application.)

- <u>Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility</u>
 Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
- Certified Standard Assurances

The webpages accessible through the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" are intended to give applicants for OJP awards a general overview of important statutes, regulations, and award conditions that apply to many (or in some cases, all) OJP grants and cooperative agreements awarded in FY 2019. Individual OJP awards typically also will include additional award conditions. Those additional conditions may relate to the particular statute, program, or solicitation under which the award is made; to the substance of the funded application; to the recipient's performance under other federal awards; to the recipient's legal status (e.g., as a for-profit entity); or to other pertinent considerations.

Awards under this solicitation will include a condition (the specific terms of which will govern the award) related to verification of employment eligibility. The condition will, generally speaking,

require the recipient (and any subrecipient) that accepts the award to verify the employment eligibility of any individual hired under the award, consonant with 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(a)(1).

Awards under this solicitation will include a condition (the specific terms of which will govern the award) related to competition requirements set forth at 2 C.F.R. § 200.319. The condition will, generally speaking, prohibit recipients (and any subrecipients) from procuring goods and services with award funds by means of any competition that disadvantages or excludes vendors on the basis of their having (or their having had) a prior or existing contractual relationship with the federal government.

OJP will include as appropriate an award condition (the specific terms of which will govern the award) requiring recipients of OJP grant funding that will support projects that involve or serve minors under the age of 18 to develop and implement written screening procedures (consistent with pertinent federal, state, and local law) for individuals who will interact in a staff or volunteer capacity with minors involved in the grant-funded programs.

As stated above, BJA expects that it will make any award under this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreements include a condition in the award document that sets out the nature of the "substantial federal involvement" in carrying out the award and program. Generally stated, under OJP cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient. OJP, however, may have substantial involvement in matters such as substantive coordination of technical efforts and site selection, as well as review and approval of project work plans, research designs, data collection instruments, and major project-generated materials. In addition, OJP often indicates in the award terms and conditions that it may redirect the project if necessary.

In addition to an award condition that sets out the nature of the anticipated "substantial federal involvement" in the award, cooperative agreements awarded by OJP include an award condition that requires specific reporting in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposia, training activities, or similar events funded under the award.

General Information about Post-federal Award Reporting Requirements

In addition to the deliverables described in <u>Section A. Program Description</u>, any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data.

Required reports. Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

Awards that exceed \$500,000 will include an additional condition that, under specific circumstances, will require the recipient to report (to FAPIIS) information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either the OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Additional information on this reporting requirement appears in the text of the award condition posted on the OJP webpage at https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm.

<u>Data on performance measures.</u> In addition to required reports, each award recipient also must provide data that measure the results of the work done under the award. To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist DOJ in fulfilling its responsibilities under the

Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103–62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide performance data as part of regular progress reporting. Successful applicants will be required to access OJP's performance measurement page at https://www.ojp.gov/performancefor an overview of performance measurement activities at OJP. Performance measures for this program are also listed as Appendix B.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For OJP contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

H. Other Information

Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a)

All applications submitted to OJP (including all attachments to applications) are subject to the federal Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and to the Privacy Act. By law, DOJ may withhold information that is responsive to a request pursuant to FOIA if DOJ determines that the responsive information either is protected under the Privacy Act or falls within the scope of one of nine statutory exemptions under FOIA. DOJ cannot agree in advance of a request pursuant to FOIA not to release some or all portions of an application.

In its review of records that are responsive to a FOIA request, OJP will withhold information in those records that plainly falls within the scope of the Privacy Act or one of the statutory exemptions under FOIA. (Some examples include certain types of information in budgets, and names and contact information for project staff other than certain key personnel.) In appropriate circumstances, OJP will request the views of the applicant/recipient that submitted a responsive document.

For example, if OJP receives a request pursuant to FOIA for an application submitted by a nonprofit or for-profit organization or an institution of higher education, or for an application that involves research, OJP typically will contact the applicant/recipient that submitted the application and ask it to identify—quite precisely—any particular information in the application that the applicant/recipient believes falls under a FOIA exemption, the specific exemption it believes applies, and why. After considering the submission by the applicant/recipient, OJP makes an independent assessment regarding withholding information. OJP generally follows a similar process for requests pursuant to FOIA for applications that may contain law-enforcement sensitive information.

Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, OJP encourages applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

IMPORTANT: This email is for feedback and suggestions only. OJP does **not** reply from this mailbox to messages it receives in this mailbox. Any prospective applicant that has specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation **must** use the appropriate

telephone number or email listed on the front of this document to obtain information. These contacts are provided to help ensure that prospective applicants can directly reach an individual who can address specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to oipprsupport@usdoj.gov. (Do not send your résumé to the OJP Solicitation Feedback email account.) **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization or entity can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization/entity has submitted an application.

Appendix A: Application Checklist Improving Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Training and Technical Assistance to Grantees and the Field FY 2019 Competitive Grant Announcement

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:	
Acquire a DUNS Number	(see page 28)
Acquire or renew registration with SAM	(see page 29)
To Register with Grants.gov.	
Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password	(see page 29)
Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC	(see page 29)
To Find Funding Opportunity:	
Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov	(see page 29)
Select the correct Competition ID	(see page 29)
Access Funding Opportunity and Application Package	(see page 29)
Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional)	(see page 27)
Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov	
Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and rep	porting
available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter	3.10a.htm
	(see page 13)
After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:	
(1) application has been received,	
(2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with erro	ors
	(see page 30)
If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:	
contact NCJRS regarding experiencing technical difficulties	
	(see page 2)
Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:	
Review the "Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJI	P Grants and
Cooperative Agreements - FY 2018 Awards" in the OJP Funding Resource Cent	
Sama Baguirament	
Scope Requirement:	
The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) of Category	1: up to
\$2,480,000. For Category 2: up to \$1,860,000.	

Eligibility Requirement: Eligible applicants are nonprofit organizations (including tribal nonprofit and for-profit organizations), for-profit (commercial) organizations, faith-based and community organizations, and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education). Competitive applicants will include partnership with a police organization or association that has the capacity to consult and engage communities on issues of improved justice system and public health responses to people with mental illness (MI) or co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse (CMISA).

What an Application Should Include:

Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)	(See page 14)
Project Abstract	(see page 15)
*Program Narrative (20 pages	(see page 15)
*Budget Detail Worksheet	(see page 17)
*Budget Narrative	(see page 17)
Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)	(see page 20)
Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)	(see page 21)
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Ques	
<u> </u>	(see page 21)
Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)	(see page 22)
Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications	(see page 22)
Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grant	
page 23)	то (порримения) (сес
F9	
Additional Attachments:	
*Timeline/Project Plan	(see page 24)
*Position Descriptions/Resumes	(see page 24)
Letters of Support/Memoranda of Understanding	(see page 24)
Examples of Work Product	(see page 24)
Examples of Work Freduct	(000 page 21)
Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity	
Nescaron and Evaluation independence and integrity	(see page 24)
Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensa	
Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensa	
Poguest and Justification for Employee Compensation: Weigh	(see page 26)
Request and Justification for Employee Compensation; Waive	`
	(see page 13)

*Note: These elements are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA.

Appendix B: Performance Measures Table

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
Objective 1: Increase the knowledge of criminal justice and mental health practitioners through in-person training, distance learning, phone, and user-friendly webbased training throughout	Number of trainings conducted	Number of trainings (by type): In-person Web-based CD/DVD Peer-to-peer Workshop
the life of the grant.	Number of participants who attended the training Percentage of participants who successfully completed the training Percentage of participants who rated the training as satisfactory or better Percentage of participants trained who subsequently demonstrated performance improvement	Attended the training (in-person) or started the training (webbased) Completed the training Completed an evaluation at the conclusion of the training Completed an evaluation and rated the training as satisfactory or better Completed the post-test with an improved score over their pre-test
	Percentage of scholarship recipients surveyed who reported that the training provided information that could be utilized in their job	Received a scholarship Completed the training Completed a survey at the conclusion of the training Reported the training provided information that

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
		could be utilized in their job
	Number of curricula developed Number of curricula that were pilot tested Percentage of curricula that were revised after pilot testing	Number of training curricula:
Objective 2: Increase peer-to-peer communication and consultation with practitioners in the field to improve justice and mental health collaboration. Increase a criminal justice agency's ability to solve criminal justice and mental health problems and/or modify policies or practices through assessment, tracking, evaluation, and follow up.	Percentage of requesting agencies that rated services as satisfactory or better Percentage of requesting agencies that were planning to implement one or more recommendations	 Number of onsite visits completed Number of reports submitted to requesting agencies after onsite visits Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of services Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better (in terms of timeliness and quality) Number of follow-ups with requesting agencies completed 6 months after onsite visit Number of agencies that were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit
	Percentage of peer visitors who reported that the visit to the other	Number of peer-to- peer visits completed

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
	agency was useful in providing information on policies or practices Percentage of peer visitors who were planning to implement one or more policies or practices 6 months after they were observed at the visited site	 Number of peer visitors who completed an evaluation Number of peer visitors who reported that the visit was useful in providing information on policies or practices Number of follow-ups with the requesting peer visitor completed 6 months after the peer-to-peer visit Number of peer visitors who were planning to implement at least one or more recommendations 6 months after the onsite visit
	Percentage of requesting agencies of other onsite services that rated the services provided as satisfactory or better	 Number of other onsite services provided Number of requesting agencies that completed an evaluation of other onsite services Number of agencies that rated the services as satisfactory or better
Objective 3: Increase information provided to BJA and the criminal justice community through the development of tools and resources, as	Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held	 Number of conferences or advisory/focus groups held Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who completed an
program products and lessons learned from the field.	Percentage of advisory/focus groups evaluated as satisfactory or better	 evaluation Number of conference or advisory/focus group attendees who rated the advisory/focus

Objectives	Performance Measure	Data Grantee Provides
		group as satisfactory or better
	Number of publications developed Number of publications disseminated	 Number of publications/resources developed Number of publications/ resources disseminated
	Percentage of websites developed and maintained Percentage increase in the number of visits to websites	 Number of websites developed Number of websites maintained Number of visits to websites during the current reporting period Number of visits to websites during the previous reporting period
	Percentage of information requests responded to	 Number of information requests Number of information requests responded to