BUREAU OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative Purpose Area 4 Fiscal Year 21 and Prior

PERFORMANCE MEASURES QUESTIONNAIRE

GENERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION

- 1. Is this the <u>last</u> reporting period for which the award will have data to report? For example, all funds have been expended and the award is in the process of closing out in the Justice Grants (JustGrants) System. If you select Yes, you will be directed to answer the questions in the Final Report section. These are one-time-only questions that you will answer prior to report closeout.
 - A. Yes/No (If Yes, answer the Final Report and Goals and Objectives and create a final report.)

GRANT ACTIVITY

- 2. Was there grant activity during the reporting period? There is grant activity when the grantee has obligated, expended, or drawn down grant funds to implement objectives proposed in the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)-approved grant application. If Yes, the program becomes operational and should remain so until the grant closes out.
 - A. Yes/No
 - B. If No, select from the following responses:

Reason(s) for no grant activity during the reporting period	Select all that apply
In procurement	
Project or budget not approved by agency, county, city, or state governing agency	
Seeking subcontractors (request for proposal stage only)	
Waiting to hire project manager, additional staff, or coordinating staff	
Paying for the program using prior federal funds	
Administrative hold (e.g., court case pending)	
Still seeking budget approval	
Waiting for partners or collaborators to complete the application	
Other	
If Other, explain:	·

3.	Fo	r this initiative, have you appointed a dedicated site coordinator?
	A.	Yes/No
	B.	If Yes, enter the name and contact information (phone, email) for the site coordinator
	C.	If No, explain

4. Enter the approximate <u>percentage</u> of funds allocated to each category below. *Percentage allocations should represent the entire life of the award. BJA award refers to the current award you are reporting on. This response will carry over and may be updated as needed.*

	Funding source	Inventory	All associated costs (all laboratory testing materials, lab personnel fees, analysis of DNA costs)	Working group, investigations, prosecutions, victim services
Α	BJA award (this award)			
В	All other			
	Total (all three columns added together will total 100 percent)	<auto calculated=""></auto>	<auto calculated=""></auto>	<auto calculated=""></auto>
С	If Other, list names of other sources:			

BASELINE

These questions gather information about activities related to the sexual assault kit (SAK) inventory that occurred prior to BJA Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) grant funding becoming operational. If this is not the first SAKI award you have received, follow these guidelines to determine whether you need to answer these questions.

- If you have received (an) earlier SAKI award(s) that have been used to address the same SAK inventory as this award, bypass this section by answering "No" to question 5.
- If you have received (an) earlier SAKI award(s), all of which have been used to address a different SAK inventory than this award, answer these questions as they apply to you.
- If this award is used for "lawfully owed offender DNA collection" (i.e., under Purpose Area 3 for fiscal years 2017 and after), bypass this section by answering "No" to question 5.
- If this award is used for a small, rural, or tribal agency receiving SAKI funding, begin reporting based on the cases generated by this agency.
- 5. Was an inventory of unsubmitted SAKs started prior to the grant being awarded? Only select Yes if you started your SAK inventory prior to receiving grants funds.
- 6. Enter the date (month/year) the inventory was started.
- 7. Enter the number of SAKs that had the following results or resulted in the following actions as recorded in your inventory. SAKs may fit into multiple categories and should be counted in all applicable categories.

A.	Number of unsubmitted SAKs identified
В.	Number of previously tested SAKs identified
C.	Number of SAKs determined not to require testing
D.	Number of SAKs that required DNA testing
E.	Number of SAKs sent out for DNA testing

A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the next section)

F.	Number of DNA profiles from forensic analysis entered into the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS)
G.	Number of CODIS hits
Н.	Other
l.	If Other, explain

INVENTORY AND TRACKING

These questions ask about SAKI activities that occurred during the reporting period for <u>Purpose Area 1 and 2 grantees</u>. Applicants are required to track inventoried SAKs throughout the course of the award. Report information on all program SAKs regardless of the source of their funding (e.g., BJA, District Attorney New York, other).

8. Enter the number of SAKs that fit in each category below for the reporting period. The totals from all previous reporting periods will be displayed in the Cumulative column automatically. If you find an error with a cumulative number, review and correct past data reports.

	Number inventoried	Cumulative (since the start of the award)	During the reporting period
Α	Number of SAKs counted during the reporting period (i.e., number of SAKs inventoried during the reporting period.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
В	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as <u>unsubmitted</u> SAKs?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
С	Of those reported SAKs inventoried, how many were identified as previously tested SAKs?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
D	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined not to require DNA testing?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
E	Of the unsubmitted SAKs inventoried, how many were determined to <u>need</u> DNA testing?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
F	Of the unsubmitted SAKs determined to need DNA testing, how many were <u>sent out</u> for DNA testing?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
G	How many kits have been tested to <u>completion</u> (i.e., a final laboratory report has been submitted)?	<autocalc></autocalc>	

9.	During the reporting period, how many unsubmitted SAKs were determined <u>not</u> to need
	testing for each of the following reasons? Include the totals for each category. Count each SAK
	once under the category that best fits. Note that BJA recognizes the importance and value of testing
	all unsubmitted kits, as feasible.

Α.	Not able to retrieve evidence
В.	Evidence contained in SAK would not impact investigation or prosecution
C.	Statute of limitations
D.	Other
E.	Anonymous/Non-reported SAK
F.	If Other, explain

10. During the reporting period, were DNA profiles from forensic analysis uploaded into CODIS
from SAKs submitted for testing? All eligible profiles must be uploaded to CODIS per the
solicitation.

A.	Yes/No (If No, skip to question 14)
B.	If Yes, how many?

11. Of the total number of DNA profiles uploaded into CODIS during the reporting period (identified in question 10B), how many of the following confirmed hits were recorded? If no hits were confirmed, enter zero (0). If a sample has hits to multiple cases, enter the number of cases that were hit.

	Type of hit	Number of hits during the reporting period
Α	CODIS hits (For the next two questions, make sure the numbers do not exceed the total number of CODIS hits)	
В	Forensic hits: the new profile matches DNA from an unknown forensic sample collected at a crime scene (e.g., the match is to a sample in the forensic index)	
С	Offender/Arrestee hits: the new profile matches the DNA of an offender of the arrestee profile already in CODIS (e.g., the match is to a sample in the offender index)	

12. During the reporting period, enter the number of cases where CODIS hit(s) identified a different offender than the person who was originally named or prosecuted in the case. A suspect may be in the case file via a name with no DNA profile obtained. A previously untested kit may yield a new hit on a person who was not named in the original case file. Enter zero (0) if no cases have emerged.

A.	Number of cases that identified a different offender than the person who was originally named or prosecuted
В.	Number of exonerations that have occurred from CODIS hits naming a new
	suspect(s) on a previous case

13. Of the total number of CODIS hits (identified in question 11A), how many hits fit in the following categories? The totals from all previous reporting periods will be automatically displayed in the Cumulative column. If you find an error with a cumulative number, review and correct past data reports.

	Number of hits	Cumulative (since the start of the award)	During the reporting period
Α	Hits in other state(s): the new profile matches the DNA of an unknown or known perpetrator in another state. Include federal hits as a state for counting purposes	<autocalc></autocalc>	
В	Number of states where hits have occurred: enter the total number of other states where hits have occurred. Do <u>not</u> count the same state twice. Include the state in which the associated offense occurred for federal hits for counting purposes	<autocalc></autocalc>	

14. Of the number of <u>offender/arrestee</u> hits during the reporting period identified in question 11C, how many fit each of the following categories?

	Type of hit	Number of hits during the reporting period
Α	Cold hits: when the DNA hits to an offender who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case	
В	Warm hits: when the DNA hits to a listed suspect/person of interest in the case	
С	Serial sex offender hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known offenders/ arrestees, how many were for a serial sex offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender in separate events)?	
D	Nonsexual offender hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known offenders/arrestees, how many were to offenders charged/convicted of nonsexual offenses (e.g., robbery, assault, homicide)?	

15.	During the	reporting period,	what factors	contributed to	successfully	submitting	SAKs or
	improving	your submission	of SAKs? Sel	ect all that apply	y.		

1	steving year eastmeeten of extre. School an inal apply.
A.	N/A. Have not completed inventory
В.	Effective in-house records management system
C.	Proper protocols in place
D.	Coordination with departmental leadership
E.	Sufficient number of staff available
F.	Sufficient number of laboratory staff available
G.	Effective in-house training
Н.	Access to money and/or other resources
I.	Diligent community-based victim services
J.	Understanding and responding to victim trauma
K.	Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques
L.	Understanding the importance of property handling and testing SAKs
M.	Other
N.	If Other, explain
	ring the reporting period, what factors does your jurisdiction see as contributing to submitted SAKs? Select all that apply.
A.	Employees questioning the validity of victims' reports/allegations
B.	Employees would benefit from more training
C.	New and improved protocols needed
D.	Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response
E.	Need for additional/increased ties with community-based victim services
F.	Need for a better evidence-tracking system
G.	Chronic instability in departmental leadership
H.	Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits
I.	Other
J.	If Other, explain

17.		re any cases forwarded for investigation related to the SAKs tested during the reporting iod? <i>Include any cases opened/reopened because of the results of testing SAKs.</i>
		Yes/No (If No, skip next question) If Yes, how many cases were forwarded for investigation?
18.		ring the reporting period, enter the number of victims located, contacted, or agreeing to ticipate (associated with previously unsubmitted SAKs) as a result of the SAKI.
	B. C.	Number of victims located (have found where victim resides) Of those located, how many victims were contacted? Number of victims determined to be deceased Of those contacted, how many agreed to actively participate in a new investigation resulting from the SAKI?
19.	Hov	w many SAKI cases were forwarded for prosecution during the reporting period?
20.		ring the reporting period, how many cases were not forwarded from investigation for secution? Enter zero (0) if all cases were forwarded.
	A.	Explain. Enter "N/A" if all cases were forwarded.
21.		at case elements did the working group consider when prioritizing cases during the orting period? Select all that apply.
	A.	N/A. Have not broached
		N/A. Not prioritizing (e.g., forklift approach)
	C.	Age of victim
	D.	Victim/Victim's family cooperation
		Public safety concerns
		Statute of limitations
		DNA of known offender
		New/Other evidence and/or witnesses have come to light (not SAK-related)
		Other
	J.	If Other, explain

22. Enter the number of cases that fit each category below for the reporting period. The totals from all previous reporting periods will be displayed in the Cumulative column automatically. If you find an error with a cumulative total, contact the Performance Measurement Tool Helpdesk to make an edit.

	Number of hits	Number of hits Cumulative (since the start of the award)	
Α	How many cases were charged?	<autocalc></autocalc>	
В	How many cases had the charges dismissed? For example, the prosecuting agency did not proceed to disposition.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
С	How many cases resulted in a plea bargain? For example, the prosecution and defense reached an agreement where the defendant pleads guilty to a lesser charge or received a reduced sentence.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
D	How many cases ended with a conviction following a trial? For example, a finding of guilt of a crime in a court of law by judge or jury.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
E	How many cases ended with an acquittal? For example, a finding of not guilty of a crime in a court of law by judge or jury.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
F	How many cases ended in mistrial? For example, a trial that is not valid because of an error or because the jury is unable to decide a verdict.	<autocalc></autocalc>	

F	For example, a trial that is not valid because of an error or because the jury is unable to decide a verdict.	<autocalc></autocalc>	
	During the reporting period, were there cases where page to have insufficient evidence)?	rosecution was denie	ed (e.g., case was
A	A. Yes/No (If No, skip next question)		
Е	3. If Yes, how many times during the reporting period did	this occur?	
24. [During the reporting period, select the reasons prosec	ution was denied. Se	lect all that apply.
A	AVictims declined to participate		
Е	B Investigations resulted in insufficient evidence		
	Cases involved expired statute of limitations		
	D Report was determined to be unfounded by inve	stigator or prosecutor	
E	E Resource constraints		
F	F Offender is already serving a long sentence for a	another crime	
(G Other		
H	H. If Other, explain		
25. H	Have you publicly shared any progress or success sto	ories during this repor	ting period?
A	A. Yes/No (If No, skip to next section)		
Е	3. If Yes, provide the link to your progress or success stor	у	

26. Are there any other ways you are sharing information about this effort with the public?

WORKING GROUP AND PARTNERSHIPS

State/Tribal leadership (e.g., governor's office)

Local leadership (e.g., mayor's office)

Federal law enforcement agencies

State law enforcement agencies

These questions ask about BJA-funded multidisciplinary working group activities that occurred during the reporting period for <u>Purpose Area 1 grantees</u>. The working group should be composed of law enforcement officers, forensic medical personnel, forensic laboratory personnel, prosecutors, and victim advocates.

27. Do you have an established, regularly convening	g multidi	sciplina	ıry <u>work</u>	ing gro	up?	
A. Yes/No (If No, skip to question 31)B. If No, explain why not						
28. How often did your multidisciplinary working gro the option that best applies.	<u>up</u> meet	during	the rep	orting p	eriod?	Select
A We did not meet during the reporting period B Daily C Weekly D Monthly E Quarterly F Other G. If Other, explain		ipating i	in the S	AKI dur	ing the	
reporting period.	o partio	ipating i		, ii ti dai	mg aro	
	partners	er of <u>acti</u> during ing perio	the	partne	ber of <u>r</u> rs durin ting pe	g the
Number participating in the working group						
Number of victim advocacy partners						
30. How would you rate the following working group partners based on the statement, "This partner is actively involved in the program." Rate your working group partners on a scale of 1–5, as indicated below. If you have multiple partners in a category, rate them as a whole. If a partner fits in more than one category, rate it in the one category that fits best. Do not rate yourself.						
Working group partners		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
This partner is actively involved in the program	N/A	1	2	3	4	5

OCTOBER 2020

Working group partners		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
This partner is actively involved in the program	N/A	1	2	3	4	5
Local law enforcement agencies (including detectives/investigators)						
Victim services						
Pretrial service organizations						
U.S. Attorney's Office						
Prosecution						
Public defender/indigent defense						
Courts						
Community corrections (probation/parole)						
Corrections						
Health care providers						
Mental health care providers						
Substance abuse treatment providers						
Child protective services						
Community-based service providers (e.g., housing, employment)						
Community groups (e.g., neighborhood watch, community center)						
Faith-based organizations						
Subject matter experts						
Foundations/Philanthropic organizations						
Researcher, evaluator, or statistical analysis center						
Training and technical assistance provider(s)						
Tribal criminal justice agencies						
Forensic laboratories						
Sexual assault nurse examiners/forensic nurses						
Other						
If Other, explain:						

- 31. During the reporting period, did your working group identify any other entities, groups, organizations, or programs (e.g., private sector entities such as evidence-tracking providers) that were <u>not</u> on the working group?
 - A. Yes/No (If No, skip next question)

B.	If Yes,	describe	who this	partner	is and	the	nature	of this	partnership

32. Select which working group partner is doing which role in the initiative. Select all that apply.

	Partner role	N/A	Law enforcement	Lab personnel	Investigator	Prosecution	Victim advocates	Other
Α	Inventorying SAKs							
В	Submitting SAKs for forensic testing							
С	Collecting information from SAKs							
D	Entering status of SAKs into tracking system							
Е	Following up on CODIS hits (i.e., informing working group members)							
F	Recommending victim services							
G	Helping victims understand the court process							
Н	Coordinating meetings and appointments with victims							
I	Keeping victim(s) informed about their case							

POLICIES, PROCEDURES, AND PROTOCOLS

These questions ask about policies, procedures, and protocols created and/or implemented as part of the SAKI program for <u>Purpose Area 1 grantees</u>.

33. For each of the following policies/procedures, indicate if it has been established, is under development, or has not yet been established/developed.

	General policies	N/A	Yes	In draft form/ under	ON N
Α	SAK evidence collection, storage, inventory, testing, and tracking				
В	Type of information collected from SAKs and personnel responsible for collection of information				
С	Victim engagement notification, information sharing, and support services				
D	The management of the multidisciplinary working group, to include case management, establishment of memoranda of understanding,				

	General policies	N/A	Yes	In draft form/ under	o N
	information-sharing methods, and active engagement of community-based victim advocacy resources				
E	Identification of cases that require expedited testing protocols and investigation (e.g., based on statute of limitations issues, the imminent release of an identified suspect from incarceration, an active serial offender)				
F	Outsourcing of SAK testing and subsequent laboratory review and certification required, where applicable				
G	The reopening of previously closed cases as a result of new evidence obtained through the SAK testing process				
Н	Training requirements specific to the SAKI project (e.g., victim- centered, cross-disciplinary approaches; the probative value of forensic evidence typically contained in SAKs; investigation methods; prosecution best practices)				
I	Publicly sharing information regarding progress of SAKI in your jurisdiction, including the type of information that is listed on a departmental website (e.g., total number of unsubmitted kits, number of SAKs submitted for testing to date, number of CODIS hits to date, number of cases prosecuted and outcomes)				
J	How CODIS hits are followed up on by the working group				
K	Other				
L	If Other, explain:				

34. What information are you collecting from SAKs? Select all that apply.

A.	Victim sex, age, race
B.	Suspect sex, age, race
C.	How long ago the assault occurred (in years)
D.	Victim/Suspect relationship
E.	If assault involved multiple perpetrators
F.	If alcohol and/or drugs were involved
G.	If a weapon was used
H.	Time between assault and medical forensic exam
I.	Other
J.	If Other explain

35. Indicate the development status for the following resources for victims and victim-service providers as of the last day of the reporting period.

Resource developed	N/A	Completed	Currently drafting	Plan on developing
FAQ brochures				
Packet of community resources				
Flyers				
Training materials				
Other				
If Other, explain:				

36. During the reporting period, did you share resources developed for victims with any of the following partners and/or other groups?

Resources shared with:	N/A	Yes	No
Victims			
Victim advocacy groups			
Law enforcement			
Sexual assault forensic officers			
Forensic laboratories			
Investigative officers			
Prosecutors			
Other			
If Other, explain:			

Δ	Vac/Ni	_

B. If Yes, when was it implemented (month/year)?	
--	--

C. If No, explain _____

38. How often did your program conduct the following activities during the reporting period?

Program activities	N/A	Do not know	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly
Conducted analysis to better understand the problems with current SAK collection and storage					
Tracked activity, progress, or performance using a database or spreadsheet					
Administered victim/community satisfaction survey(s)					
Performed public outreach (e.g., contacted potential victims, focused media outreach)					
Participated in community engagement activities (e.g., roundtables, community advisory boards)					
Other					
If Other, explain:					
39. Are you or a partner conducting an evaluation of the SAKI program? A. Yes/No (If No, skip next question) B. If Yes, provide the following contact information for the person conduct Name Email address Phone number		he ev	/alua	tion	

TRAINING

These questions ask about training conducted or attended as part of the SAKI program for <u>Purpose Area 1 grantees</u>.

- 40. Was training conducted for the working group members during the reporting period?
 - A. Yes/No (If No, skip to question 43)
- 41. During the reporting period, which of the following topics were covered in training sessions for the working group members? *Select all that apply.*

Α.	Appropriate evidence collection techniques
B.	Value of forensic evidence
C.	Victimization and trauma response training
D.	Community/Public relations
E.	Training to improve investigative or prosecutorial practices
F.	Training to optimize victim notification protocols
G.	Other
H.	If Other, explain

42. Hov	w many working group members were trained during the reporting period?
B. C. D. E. F. G.	Law enforcement supervisors Detectives/Investigators Sexual assault nurse examiners/forensic nurses Forensic lab personnel Prosecutors Community-based victim advocates System-based victim advocates Other
TRAII	NING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CONTACT
	questions ask about BJA-funded training and technical assistance (TTA) provider nce the SAKI program received.
	your program receive any training or technical assistance from a BJA-funded TTA vider during the reporting period?
A.	Yes/No (If No, skip to next section)
	at was the nature of contact with the BJA-funded TTA provider during the reporting iod? Select all that apply.
B. C. D. E. F.	Phone call/email In-person meeting Video conference Site visit Conference Other If Other, explain
repo	average, how often did you have contact with the BJA-funded TTA provider during the orting period? A contact can be either in person or via electronic interface. Select the answer best approximates how often you had contact.
В. С.	Weekly Biweekly Monthly Quarterly
	w satisfied are you with the BJA-funded engagement during this reporting period? Select answer that best approximates your satisfaction with the engagement.
B. C. D.	Very satisfied Satisfied Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied Unsatisfied Very unsatisfied

BUDGET AND EMPLOYMENT

47.	fun	ring the reporting period, how many <u>new</u> positions were created using BJA program ids? Only report a new position once during the reporting period in which it was created/classified. ou did not create any new positions, enter zero (0).
		Full-time positions Part-time positions
48.	are	ring the reporting period, were BJA program funds used to fund overtime? Overtime hours those that nonexempt employees work beyond normal working hours (usually 40 hours during a rk week).
	A.	Yes/No
		ENT CRIMINAL APPREHENSION PROGRAM AND ADVANCED ING
49.	Ap	swer the following questions regarding cases entered into the Violent Criminal prehension Program (ViCAP) database during the reporting period. Enter zero (0) if you we no cases to report for any of the following questions.
	B.	Number of criteria cases entered into ViCAP Number of investigative leads generated from ViCAP entries Number of suspects identified through ViCAP entries
50.	dur	ter the number of cases for which you performed the following types of DNA testing ring the reporting period. Enter zero (0) if you have no cases to report for any of the following estions.
	B. C. D. E. F.	Y-STR testing Testing of secondary evidence Familial DNA searches Forensic genealogy DNA phenotyping/ancestral analysis Other If Other, explain
51.	of a	ter the number of cases for which the following types of DNA testing led to identification a suspect during the reporting period. <i>Enter zero (0) if you have no cases to report for any of following questions.</i>
	B. C. D. E. F.	Y-STR testing Testing of secondary evidence Familial DNA searches Forensic genealogy searches DNA phenotyping/ancestral analysis Other If Other, explain

Grantees utilizing SAKI funds for the use of forensic genealogy must adhere to the U.S. Department of Justice Interim Policy: Forensic Genealogical DNA Analysis and Searching (https://www.justice.gov/olp/page/file/1204386/download).

52.	Were grant funds used to conduct forensic genetic genealogical DNA analysis (FGG) and/or forensic genetic genealogical DNA analysis and searching (FGGS) during the reporting period?
	A. Yes/No (If No, skip to the next section)
53.	During the reporting period, how many investigations began utilizing grant funds for FGG/FGGS?
54.	During the reporting period, what amount of federal funding was used to conduct FGG/FGGS?
55.	During the reporting period, how many new forensic samples and reference samples were subjected to FGG?
	A. Forensic samples B. Reference samples
56.	Enter the number of each type of crime investigated starting this reporting period. <i>Do not recount crimes already reported in previous reporting periods.</i>
	A. Sexually motivated homicide B. Sexual assault C. Unidentified human remains D. Other E. If Other, explain
57.	During the reporting period, how many cases resulted in searchable profiles obtained from FGG? Only include cases that have not already been counted toward this question in previous periods.
58.	How many investigations resulted in a new arrest during the reporting period that was based, in part, on the use of FGG/FGGS? Only include cases that have not already been counted toward this question in previous reporting periods.
59.	Since the beginning of the grant program, how many suspects identified through the use of FGG/FGGS were prosecuted?
60.	Since the beginning of the grant program, how many cases were closed/cleared by exceptional means through the use of FGG/FGGS?
61.	Identify the vendor laboratory (or laboratories) used to conduct FGG during the reporting period
62.	Provide the names of any genetic genealogy services used to search FGG profiles during the reporting period.

FINAL REPORT QUESTIONS

These questions are to be answered once at the end of the grant award. The answers should represent the life of the award.

١.	identify the main factors contributing to unsubmitted SAKs in your jurisdiction.		
2.	Does your working group feel the SAKI program enabled your jurisdiction to address the problems with unsubmitted SAKs?		
	A. Yes/No B. Explain		
3.	Does your working group feel the SAKI program enabled policies and procedures to be enacted to solve sustainability and to make sure the problem of unsubmitted SAKs does not happen in the future?		
	A. Yes/No B. Explain		
LA	AWFULLY OWED OFFENDER DNA COLLECTION		
	ese questions are only to be answered by grantees awarded under <u>Purpose Area 2</u> in fiscal ar 2016 and <u>Purpose Area 3</u> in fiscal years 2017 and after.		
1.	Have you begun a census of convicted offenders who lawfully owe DNA for the purposes of CODIS for your jurisdiction?		
	A. Yes, we have begun the census.		
	B. Yes, we have completed the census.C. No, we have not started the census. (Only answer questions 2 and 3 in this section)D. If No, explain		
2.	Have policies and procedures for the collection of lawfully owed DNA been created and enacted?		
	A. Yes/No B. If No, explain		
3.	Have additional policies and procedures been developed to ensure that qualifying offenders in their jurisdictions will have their DNA collected and uploaded into CODIS in the future?		
	A. Yes/No B. If No. explain		

4.	How many lawfully owed DNA samples were identified for the census during this reporting
	period? Do not include DNA samples that were already reported for this question in previous
	quarters.

5. Enter the number of lawfully owed DNA samples that fit in each category below for the reporting period.

	DAN samples	Cumulative (since the start of the award)	During the reporting period
Α	Of the lawfully owed DNA samples identified in the census, how many were <u>collected</u> ?		
В	Of those collected, how many have been <u>submitted</u> for testing?		
С	Of those that have been submitted for testing, how many have been <u>tested</u> to completion?		

6.	During the reporting period, were lawfully obtained DNA profiles from forensic analysis
	uploaded into CODIS? All eligible profiles <u>must</u> be uploaded to CODIS per the solicitation.

A.	Yes/No
В.	If Yes, how many?

7.	Of the total number of lawfully obtained DNA profiles uploaded into CODIS during the
	reporting period (identified in question 5), how many CODIS hits to forensic samples were
	recorded? If no hits were confirmed, enter zero (0).

8. Of the number of <u>forensic hits</u> during the reporting period identified in question 6B, how many fit each of the following categories?

	Type of hit	Number of hits during the reporting period
Α	Cold hits: when the offender's DNA hits to forensic unknown; someone who was not previously listed as a suspect/person of interest in the case	
В	Warm hits: when the offender's DNA hits to a forensic sample, and that offender was previously listed as a suspect in the case	
С	Sex offender hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known forensic hits, how many were for a sex offense? Only include an offender(s) with one sex offense.	
D	Serial sex offender hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known forensic hits, how many were for a serial sex offender (the sexual assault of two or more victims by the same offender in separate events)?	
E	Homicide CODIS hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known forensic hits, how many were to forensic samples from homicide cases?	
F	Other hits: out of the previous CODIS hits to known forensic hits, how many were to other crimes, excluding sexual assault and homicides (e.g., robbery, burglary, assault)?	

9.	During the reporting period,	were any	arrests/warrants	made as a	result of	CODIS	hits?

10.	During the reporting period, how many cases were no (0) if all cases were forwarded.		ecution? Enter zero
	A. Explain. Enter "N/A" if all cases were forwarded		
11.	During the reporting period, how many cases were for CODIS hits?	orwarded for prosecut	ion as a result of
12.	Enter the number of cases that fit in each disposition the disposition of each case only once.	category for the repo	rting period. Count
	Case outcome	Cumulative (since the start of the award)	During the reporting period
Α	How many cases were charged?		
В	How many cases had the charges dismissed? For example, the prosecuting agency did not proceed to disposition.		
С	How many cases resulted in a plea bargain? For example, the prosecution and defense reached an agreement where the defendant pleads guilty to a lesser charge or received a reduced sentence.		
D	How many cases ended with a conviction following a trial? For example, a finding of guilt of a crime in a court of law by judge or jury.		
Е	How many cases ended with an acquittal? For example, a finding of not guilty of a crime in a court of law by judge or jury.		
F	How many cases ended in mistrial? For example, a trial that is not valid because of an error or because the jury is unable to decide a verdict.		
12	During the reporting period:		
10.	A. Number of crimes solved (sexual/nonsexual) as a rest of lawfully owed DNA	ult of the collection	
	B. Number of sexual assault cases solved involving a <u>sin</u> (one sex offense)	-	
	C. Number of sexual assault cases solved involving a <u>set</u> (two or more victims by the same offender in separate		
	D. Number of homicide cases solved		
	E. Number of nonsexual cases (e.g., robbery, assault, but	ırglary) solved	
14.	During the reporting period, how many cases were resamples hitting to forensic samples?	esolved due to lawfull	y owed DNA
15.	During the reporting period, out of the number of law SAKs, how many hit to previously unsubmitted SAKs SAKI award?		

FINAL REPORT QUESTIONS

These questions are to be answered once at the end of the grant award. The answers should represent the life of the award.

16.	. Ide	entify the main factors contributing to unsubmitted SAKs in your jurisdiction.
17.		es your working group feel the SAKI program enabled your jurisdiction to address the oblems with unsubmitted SAKs?
		Yes/No Explain
18.	ena	es your working group feel the SAKI program enabled policies and procedures to be acted to solve sustainability and to make sure the problem of unsubmitted SAKs does not open in the future?
		Yes/No Explain

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

In this module, you will identify the goals you hope to achieve with your funding. Once submitted, these goals cannot be changed without approval of your grant manager.

Set **S M A R T** goals to clarify the scope of your priorities:

- Specific
- Measurable
- Achievable
- Relevant
- Time-bound

If you have multiple goals, provide updates on each one separately.

Answer the following questions every semiannual reporting period (January and July of each year), based on your grant-funded activities.

1.	Please identify the goal(s) you hope to achieve with your funding. If you have multiple goals
	please report on each separately (one at a time) and repeat questions 1-4 for each goal by
	clicking "Add Another" after question 4.

2. What is the status of this goal?

6.	Based on your knowledge of the criminal justice field, are there any innovative programs uccess stories that you would like to share with BJA?	or
	If Yes, please explain	
	. No	
	. Yes, we would like assistance or additional assistance (please describe)	
	Yes, we received assistance (please describe)	
5.	Did you receive or do you desire any assistance from BJA or a BJA-funded technical ssistance provider? A list of technical assistance providers can be found the https://bja.ojp.gov/training-technical-assistance/. Check all that apply.	
4.	n the next 6 months, what major activities are planned for this goal?	
3.	Please describe any progress you made, or barriers you encountered, related to this goal uring the past 6 months.	ป
	In progressDelayedCompletedGoal no longer applicable	

A. Not yet started

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING!