

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP), [Bureau of Justice Assistance](#) (BJA) is seeking applications for the FY 2016 Body-Worn Camera Policy and Implementation Program. This program furthers the Department's mission by supporting the safe and fair administration of justice. Agencies with fewer than 25 sworn officers should apply under the [Small Agency Body-Worn Camera Policy and Implementation Program](#).

Body-Worn Camera Policy and Implementation Program (More than 25 Officers) FY 2016 Competitive Grant Announcement Applications Due: April 27, 2016

Eligibility

Eligible applicants are limited to public agencies of state government, units of local government, and federally recognized Indian tribal governments that perform law enforcement functions (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior); or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the foregoing that performs criminal justice functions (including combinations of the preceding, one of which is designated as the primary applicant).

To ensure this program can assist as many law enforcement agencies as possible, applicants that were awarded funds under the 2015 Body-Worn Camera Pilot Implementation Program or the 2015 Smart Policing Body Worn Camera Initiative solicitation are not eligible for funding under this solicitation.

If clarification as to an entity's eligibility is needed, applicants are encouraged to contact BJA to confirm their eligibility before developing a full application. BJA will consider supporting documentation relevant to a determination of eligibility.

Eligible applicants for this announcement are limited to agencies with more than 25 sworn law enforcement officers who are applying for 25 or more cameras.

Deadline

Applicants must register with [Grants.gov](#) prior to submitting an application. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 27, 2016.

All applicants are encouraged to read this [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#).

For additional information, see [How to Apply](#) in Section [D. Application and Submission Information](#).

Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via email to support@grants.gov. The [Grants.gov](#) Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must email the BJA contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under "Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues" in the [How to Apply](#) section.

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email grants@ncjrs.gov; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at <https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: BJA-2016-9199

Release date: March 8, 2016

Contents

A. Program Description	5
Overview	5
Program-Specific Information	5
Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables	6
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices	12
B. Federal Award Information.....	12
Type of Award.....	12
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls.....	13
Budget Information.....	13
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement.....	13
Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals.....	14
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	14
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	15
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)	15
C. Eligibility Information.....	15
Limit on Number of Application Submissions.....	16
D. Application and Submission Information	16
What an Application Should Include	16
How to Apply	22
E. Application Review Information.....	25
Selection Criteria.....	25
Review Process	27
F. Federal Award Administration Information	28
Federal Award Notices	28
Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements.....	29
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements	30
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	30
H. Other Information.....	30
Provide Feedback to OJP	30
Application Checklist.....	31

Body-Worn Camera Policy and Implementation Program

(CFDA #16.835)

A. Program Description

Overview

Law enforcement agencies across the country and worldwide are using body-worn cameras (BWC) as a promising tool to improve law enforcement interactions with the public. BWCs can provide a visual and audio record of interactions. Some preliminary evidence indicates that the presence of BWCs helps strengthen accountability and transparency, and can assist in de-escalating conflicts, resulting in more constructive encounters between the police and members of the community. This competitive solicitation is for law enforcement agencies with more than 25 sworn law enforcement officers seeking to **establish or enhance** BWC Policy and Implementation Programs (PIP). Successful applicants will be responsible for a mandatory 50 percent in-kind or cash match.

The FY 2016 BWC PIP will support the implementation of body-worn camera programs in law enforcement agencies across the country. The intent of the program is to help agencies develop, implement, and evaluate a BWC program as one tool in a law enforcement agency's comprehensive problem-solving approach to enhance officer interactions with the public and build community trust.

Successful applicants will develop and implement policies and practices required for effective program adoption, and will address program factors including the purchase, deployment, maintenance of camera systems and equipment; data storage and access; and privacy considerations. BJA expects the BWC programs to make a positive impact on the quality of policing in these jurisdictions and to inform national efforts to improve the use of BWCs more broadly. While BWC equipment may be purchased under this program, successful applicants must demonstrate a commitment and adherence to a strong BWC policy framework, including comprehensive policy adoption and requisite training.

This program is funded under the Department of Justice Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-113).

Program-Specific Information

Law enforcement agencies' use of BWC programs has been shown to be a promising practice to improve law enforcement's interactions with the public. BWC programs are an important tool that could be an integrated part of a jurisdiction's holistic problem-solving and community-engagement strategy, helping to increase both trust and communication between the police and the communities they serve. BWCs can be highly effective, providing an objective audio and visual record of interactions that can capture empirical evidence in the event of a crime, police-citizen interaction, or use-of-force incident. Preliminary research indicates that departments that have effectively implemented BWC programs have received fewer public complaints, file fewer

use-of-force reports, and show a reduction in adjudicated complaints resulting in a decrease of settlements.

While research relating to BWCs is promising, current implementation methods vary widely and BWC deployment is often a complex balance between the overarching public safety goals and the technological, logistical, and policy challenges. Leading police membership organizations like the Police Executive Research Forum (PERF) and the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), and federal agencies such as DOJ's Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) and OJP's Diagnostic Center, BJA, and National Institute of Justice (NIJ) have produced helpful guidance related to the complex privacy, officer safety, and policy issues involved in implementing this rapidly evolving technology:

1. BJA Body-Worn Camera Toolkit: www.bja.gov/bwc
2. COPS/PERF Resource: www.justice.gov/iso/opa/resources/472014912134715246869.pdf
3. IACP Resources: www.theiacp.org (search "Body Worn Camera")
4. OJP Diagnostics Center Resource: www.ojpdagnosticcenter.org/sites/default/files/spotlight/download/Police%20Officer%20Body-Worn%20Cameras.pdf
5. National Institute of Justice Resource: www.nij.gov/topics/law-enforcement/technology/Pages/body-worn-cameras.aspx

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables

BJA is soliciting applications from law enforcement agencies to develop a comprehensive, problem-solving approach that incorporates BWCs into officer practice by implementing a first-time program or enhancing an existing BWC program that includes the following elements:

- Implementation of a BWC program developed in a planned and phased approach that first achieves broad stakeholder, local political leadership, and community engagement, and then leverages partnership input to address policy, training, deployment, and procurement requirements.
- Implementation of appropriate privacy policies that, at a minimum, address issues involving civil rights, domestic violence, juveniles, victims' groups, and legal issues relating to release of information.
- Implementation of operational procedures and tracking mechanisms that address the use, review, access, storage, retention, redaction, and expungement of digital voice and audio evidence.
- Training of officers, administrators, and associated agencies requiring access to digital multimedia evidence (DME).
- Adoption of practices and deployment of BWC programs appropriately addressing operational requirements.

Program funds are expected to support necessary collaboration with other justice stakeholders, such as citizen and community groups, prosecution, defense counsel, labor organizations (e.g., police unions), and the courts, to help ensure that an effective program is implemented. BJA requires that applicants develop a communication strategy for engaging with advocacy groups, such as those engaged in victims, privacy, law enforcement, and civil liberties issues, to promote understanding of the program and obtain buy-in. Program funds may be used to engage and inform the public and advocacy groups about how the applicant will use its BWC project as a part of a larger initiative to improve transparency and accountability in encounters between police and

the public. The successful applicant will demonstrate it has evaluated its agency's existing evidence and practices related to increasing accountability and transparency and will set goals and develop strategies (to include the use of BWCs) that will improve outcomes during police-citizen encounters.

Policy and practices should at minimum address technology usage, evidence acquisition, data storage and retention, as well as privacy issues, accountability, and discipline. They must also consider the impact of data collection and use on public trust and police legitimacy. Public record laws, which allow public access to information held by government agencies, including law enforcement, should also be evaluated and, when practicable, modified to protect the privacy of the individuals whose records they hold and to maintain the trust of the community. These policies and practices should at a minimum increase transparency and accessibility, provide appropriate access to information, allow for public posting of policy and procedures, and encourage community interaction and relationship building.

Award recipients shall be required to work with the BJA-funded BWC training and technical assistance (TTA) provider as part of the policy development process review prior to full funding being released for procurement and implementation. Agencies shall have access to 10 percent of award funds for policy development efforts prior to this review. The agencies are also encouraged to utilize the services of the TTA provider to assist in any areas of BWC policy development and implementation.

CATEGORY 1: IMPLEMENTATION OR EXPANSION OF BWC PROGRAMS FOR EXTRA-LARGE AGENCIES.

Competition ID: BJA-2016-9487

This funding category seeks to establish new or expand existing BWC programs in extra-large police agencies with 1,000 or more sworn officers. Four awards are anticipated, and applicants may request no more than \$1,000,000 for an estimated total of \$4,000,000 under this category. Successful applicants will identify the methods by which they plan to address the "Considerations for Implementation" referenced in the COPS "Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program" (see "Considerations for Implementation" section below). Additionally, the applicant will describe the existing or intended methods of establishing and measuring the success of the BWC program and the policies and practices required for a sustainable BWC program, including the relationships with associated agencies, advocates, and other interested parties (e.g., police unions). Funds for the expansion of existing programs should be used for cameras and the associated hardware, software licenses, and contractual agreements directly related to program expansion. These funds should not be used to extend maintenance and support services for existing resources.

CATEGORY 2: IMPLEMENTATION OR EXPANSION OF BWC PROGRAMS FOR LARGE AGENCIES.

Competition ID: BJA-2016-9488

This funding category seeks to establish new or expand existing BWC programs in large police agencies with more than 250 but less than 1,000 sworn officers. Twelve awards are anticipated, and applicants may request no more than \$600,000 for an estimated total amount of \$7,200,000 under this category. Successful applicants will identify the methods by which they plan to address the "Considerations for Implementation" referenced in the COPS "Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program" (see "Considerations for Implementation")

section below). Additionally, the applicant will describe the existing or intended methods of establishing and measuring the success of the BWC program and the policies and practices required for a sustainable BWC program, including the relationships with associated agencies, advocates, and other interested parties. Funds for the expansion of existing programs should be used for cameras and the associated hardware, software licenses, and contractual agreements directly related to program expansion. These funds should not be used to extend maintenance and support services for existing resources.

CATEGORY 3: IMPLEMENTATION OR EXPANSION OF BWC PROGRAMS FOR MID-SIZED AGENCIES.

Competition ID: BJA-2016-9489

This funding category seeks to establish new or expand existing BWC programs in mid-sized police agencies with between 26 and 250 sworn officers. Applicants may request no more than \$250,000 under this category. BJA estimates 16 awards will be made in this category for an estimated total amount of \$4,000,000. Successful applicants will identify the methods by which they plan to address the “Considerations for Implementation” referenced in the COPS “Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program” (see “Considerations for Implementation” section below). Additionally, the applicant will describe the existing or intended methods of establishing and measuring the success of the BWC program and the policies and practices required for a sustainable BWC program, including the relationships with associated agencies, advocates, and other interested parties. Funds for the expansion of existing programs should be used for cameras and the associated hardware, software licenses, and contractual agreements directly related to program expansion. These funds should not be used to extend maintenance and support services for existing resources.

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUNDING AMOUNTS

As a funding metric, applicants may request no more than \$1,500.00 for each camera to be deployed in this phase of their BWC program, up to the agency size funding limitations. Though funds are correlated to the number of cameras, awarded funds may also be used for any part of the BWC program other than line-item data storage costs, which can only be covered with matching funds. BWC systems that are bundled or sold as software-as-a-service (SAAS) with no line-item distinction to data storage costs are permissible for award funding.

Additionally, applications for BWC programs deploying fewer than 25 cameras will **not** be considered. Agencies with 25 or fewer officers are encouraged to apply under BJA’s [FY 2016 Small Agency BWC Policy and Implementation Pilot Program solicitation](#).

Camera-based funding metric formula:

$$\text{Number of Cameras in Project} \times \$1,500 = \text{Max. Requested Funds}$$

$$\text{Max. Requested Funds} + \text{Matching Funds} = \text{Total Program Cost}$$

- Note: \$1,500.00 is not the expected cost of a body-worn camera, paired with the matching funds. It is the expected total program costs for one (1) camera system to include: Camera, Storage, Software, Licenses, Services, Policy Development, Training, etc.

Example 1:

An agency may request \$150,000 in funding for a 100-camera program (\$1,500 X 100), while actually only spending \$100,000 of awarded funds on cameras; the other \$50,000 could be spent on planning and training staff. Additionally, the soft-match requirements could be met by line-item data storage costs and partner program expenses such as those incurred by prosecutors, defense bar, or community outreach.

Example 2:

An agency with 25 or fewer officers could partner with another agency so the combined program will have more than the 25-camera minimum purchase for this solicitation.

Example 3:

An agency that applies for \$100,000 in federal funds will have to identify a minimum of \$100,000 of in-kind or cash matching funds. This means the total program cost is at least \$200,000 for this example.

Matching fund considerations are reviewed through the peer-review process and used in award determinations by BJA. If there are questions about the type or amount of matching funds being used, applicants are encouraged to contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center toll-free at 800-851-3420.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Applicants are strongly encouraged to review the COPS “Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program”¹ report. The successful applicant will demonstrate a thorough understanding and appreciation of the issues discussed and will incorporate the most important program design elements in their proposal.

All applicants must describe a detailed phased implementation plan for achieving the goals of the BWC PIP as part of their program narrative. The following elements, taken directly from the COPS report, must be included in this plan in order to receive funding consideration.

- Privacy considerations
- Impact on community relationships
- Addressing officer concerns (e.g., privacy, safety, administrative uses)
- Managing expectations of police agencies and the public
- Ensure partnerships with associated criminal justice agencies like prosecutors and the judiciary support effective implementation
- Financial considerations
- Technical specifications and considerations
- Use of data, training, and program evaluation

Because the primary focus of this program is implementation, evidence of cross-agency collaboration and a high level of commitment from participating agencies and entities should be

¹ Miller, Lindsay, Jessica Toliver, and Police Executive Research Forum. 2014. *Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program: Recommendations and Lessons Learned*. Washington, DC: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services. www.justice.gov/iso/opa/resources/472014912134715246869.pdf.

received and documented (via memoranda of understanding or other appropriate mechanisms) prior to pursuing funding under this solicitation. Such commitment should be described in the application and submitted as attachments with the application. Pre-implementation applicants are expected to focus on the planning of efforts and tasks to accomplish these goals, while applicants with existing implementations are expected to document how these goals were accomplished and/or plan to be improved.

CONFERENCE TRAVEL REQUIREMENTS

Applicants must identify funding within their submitted budgets (requested federal funds or offered agency match) for two staff to attend one mandatory national BWC PIP meeting in a location to be determined and one optional regional BWC PIP meeting. Travel costs are to be included in the \$1,500 per camera metric.

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Prosecution: Applicants are required to demonstrate significant involvement of the local and/or state prosecutor's office, meaning that the prosecutor's office has a direct role with law enforcement in establishing relevant policy, system selection, and retention specifications. Documentation of the prosecutor's involvement and commitment to the applicant's program is a requirement that will be reviewed through peer-review and by BJA. Demonstration of this involvement shall be documented by either of the following methods:

1. Informally, by production of letters or emails from the relevant parties that outline the relationship and commitment between law enforcement and prosecution as it relates to the application
2. Formally, by production of executed memoranda-of-understanding, letters-of-intent, service-level-agreements, or other mutually signed documents that clearly state the involvement and commitment between the relevant parties

Use-of-Force Policy: Applicants are required to affirm that they will review and refine, as appropriate, their use-of-force policy and training, as part of the development of BWC policies. Additionally, awarded applicants are required to have an implemented use-of-force policy prior to BJA releasing implementation funding. This requirement will be evaluated by the BWC TTA provider and submitted to BJA as part of the special condition process.

PRIORITY CONSIDERATIONS

1. **Partnerships:** Priority consideration will be given to applicants that demonstrate cost reductions through economies of scale.
 - Projects that provide economies of scale by establishing or utilizing shared resources. Examples of shared resources could be:
 - State, county or regional managed BWC system repository or service
 - Shared contracts that meet local, state, and federal procurement requirements and increase the buying power of combined purchases. Economies of scale should be designed to influence cost savings and increase interoperability between agencies and jurisdictions.
 - Minimized duplication of effort and infrastructure such as providing a prosecutor's office that works with multiple law enforcement agencies a single process for evidence submission, review and management.
 - Multiple law enforcement agencies collaborating together on a single application

- When combining applications, one agency should act as the designated applicant but the agency size should be the combined number of sworn officers between all represented agencies. Specifically, if agency A has 200 sworn officers and agency B has 100 sworn officers, the applicants could submit for as much as \$600,000, the same as a mid-sized agency with 300 officers.
- Evidence of partnerships and commitment should be demonstrated in writing in the submitted application as attachments and will not be counted against the maximum page limitation.

2. **Technical Considerations:** In order to maximize the usefulness of BWC technology, priority consideration will be given to applicants that incorporate the 18 core operating characteristics, found on the [National Institute of Justice website \(click here\)](#), in their procurement of BWC technology. These minimum operating characteristics and associated audio/video specifications are available in current commercial products and are based on technical resources about criminal justice use of video.^{2,3}

It is not necessary for applicants to address each of these areas specifically in their proposal, but in order to receive priority consideration the applicant must discuss how they will be incorporated into the agency's purchasing or procurement procedures.

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT EXPECTATIONS

As a guideline, in months 1-6, the PIP grantees will be expected to review and develop policies and training programs. The results of these activities will be evaluated to ensure that the topics in chapter two of the "COPS Body-worn Camera Implementation Guide" have been reviewed, considered, and addressed in a submitted request for equipment purchase draw-down, to include relevant implemented policies, memoranda of understanding, correspondence and other supporting documentation. As every agency faces different challenges and applicable laws, BJA will not set standards for policies and procedures. Policies must conform to applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws.

The TTA provider will work with the agency to document and validate the policy development process. The TTA provider will make recommendations to BJA that an agency has met the policy development requirements before BJA releases any award funds to the agency prior to implementation.

During months 7-24, the PIP grantees will be expected to deploy BWCs, continue their training efforts, and collect outcome measures to assess their BWC implementation. Agencies that are prepared to demonstrate implemented BWC policies that address the requisite areas may request drawdown for non-planning expenses outside of the timeframes provided but only within the grant period.

² Home Office Centre for Applied Science and Technology, *Body-Worn Video Technical Guidance*, May 2014, www.bwvsg.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/body-worn-video-technical-guidance-1414.pdf.

³ Scientific Working Group on Imaging Technology, *Recommendations and Guidelines for Using Closed-Circuit Television Security Systems in Commercial Institutions*, Version 3.0, June 8, 2012, www.swgit.org/pdf/Section%204%20Recommendations%20and%20Guidelines%20for%20Using%20Closed-Circuit%20Television%20Security%20Systems%20in%20Commercial%20Institutions?docID=48.

Evidence-Based Programs or Practices

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. The [OJP CrimeSolutions.gov](http://OJP.CrimeSolutions.gov) website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

B. Federal Award Information

BJA estimates that it will make up to a total of 32 awards for Categories 1 through 3 for an estimated total of \$15,200,000. All awards will be for a 24-month project period, beginning on October 1, 2016.

BJA may, in certain cases, provide supplemental funding in future years to awards under this solicitation. Important considerations in decisions regarding supplemental funding include, among other factors, the availability of funding, strategic priorities, assessment of the quality of the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and assessment of the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award⁴

BJA expects that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a particular type of grant used if BJA expects to have ongoing substantial involvement in award activities. Substantial involvement includes direct oversight and involvement with the grantee organization in implementation of the grant, but does not involve day-to-day project management. See [Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements](#), under Section [F. Federal Award Administration Information](#), for details regarding the federal involvement anticipated under an award from this solicitation.

⁴ See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements [a type of grant]).

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity⁵) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework,” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- (b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.
- (c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s) compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (and any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training available [here](#).

Budget Information

Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement

Match Requirement (cash or in-kind)

Federal funds awarded under this program may not cover more than 50 percent of the total costs of the project. Applicants must identify the source of the 50 percent non-federal portion of the total project costs and how they will use match funds. If a successful applicant’s proposed match exceeds the required match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit. (Match is restricted to the same uses of funds as allowed for the federal funds.) Applicants may satisfy this match requirement with either cash contributions or in-kind contributions of goods or services that are directly related to the purpose for which the grant was awarded. The formula

⁵ For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), “pass-through entity” includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.

for calculating the match is:

$$\frac{\text{Federal Award Amount}}{\text{Federal Share Percentage}} = \text{Adjusted (Total) Project Costs}$$

$$\text{Required Recipient's Share Percentage} \times \text{Adjusted Project Cost} = \text{Required Match}$$

Example: 50% match requirement for a \$700,000 program: for a federal award amount of \$350,000, calculate match as follows:

$$\begin{array}{r} 50\% \text{ Federal Request } (\$350,000) \\ + \text{ 50\% Required Match } (\$350,000) \\ \hline \text{Total Program Cost } (\$700,000) \end{array}$$

Or

A 50% match means a federal request for \$350,000 must have a local match of \$350,000 (cash or in-kind) which makes the total program value \$700,000

Or

$$\frac{\$350,000 \text{ Federal Funds}}{50\%} = \$700,000 \text{ Total Program Funds}$$

Or $50\% \times \$700,000 \text{ Total Program Funds} = \$350,000 \text{ Match}$

For additional cost sharing and match information, see the [Financial Guide](#).

Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, pre-agreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee's approved budget, and under applicable cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP's consideration as pre-agreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the [Financial Guide](#), for more information.

Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.⁶ The 2016 salary

⁶ OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

table for SES employees is available on the Office of Personnel Management [website](#). Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.) For employees who charge only a portion of their time to an award, the allowable amount to be charged is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the Budget Narrative of the application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual's salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully – before submitting an application – the OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under "Solicitation Requirements" in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

C. Eligibility Information

Reporting of Other BJA Application Submissions for BWC Programs

If an applicant submits applications for more than one BJA BWC program, BJA reserves the right to fund no more than one of these applications. Applicants are required to identify in the "Project Design and Implementation" portion of their application if they have submitted applications for any other BJA BWC Programs (see "[Selection Criteria](#)" in Section [E. Application Review Information](#).)

For additional eligibility information, see title page.

For additional information on cost sharing or matching requirements, see Section [B. Federal Award Information](#).

Limit on Number of Application Submissions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see [How to Apply](#).

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that BJA has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, BJA has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative. Applicants may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it must contain **both** narrative and detail information. Please review the "Note on File Names and File Types" under [How to Apply](#) to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative," "Timelines," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

Intergovernmental Review: This funding opportunity **is** subject to Executive Order 12372. Applicants may find the names and addresses of their state's Single Point of Contact (SPOC) at the following website: www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_spoc/. Applicants whose state appears on the SPOC list must contact their state's SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the state's process under Executive Order 12372. In completing the SF-424, applicants whose state appears on the SPOC list are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 once the applicant has complied with their state's E.O. 12372 process. (Applicants whose state does not appear on the SPOC list are to make the

appropriate selection in response to question 19 to indicate that the “Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.”)

2. **Project Abstract**

Applications should include a high-quality Project Abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project Abstracts should be—

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

As a separate attachment, the Project Abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the Program Narrative.

All project abstracts should follow the detailed template available at ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/ProjectAbstractTemplate.pdf.

Permission to Share Project Abstract with the Public: It is unlikely that BJA will be able to fund all applications submitted under this solicitation, but it may have the opportunity to share information with the public regarding unfunded applications; for example, through a listing on a web page available to the public. The intent of this public posting would be to allow other possible funders to become aware of such proposals.

In the Project Abstract template, applicants are asked to indicate whether they give OJP permission to share their Project Abstract (including contact information) with the public. Granting (or failing to grant) this permission will not affect OJP’s funding decisions, and, if the application is not funded, granting permission will not guarantee that abstract information will be shared, nor will it guarantee funding from any other source.

Note: OJP may choose not to list a project that otherwise would have been included in a listing of unfunded applications, should the abstract fail to meet the format and content requirements noted above and outlined in the Project Abstract template.

3. **Program Narrative**

The Program Narrative should respond to the solicitation and the [Selection Criteria \(1-6\)](#) in the order given. The Program Narrative should be double-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman is preferred) with no less than 1-inch margins, and should not exceed 20 pages. Please number pages “1 of 20,” “2 of 20,” etc.

If the Program Narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, BJA may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the Program Narrative:

- a) Statement of the Problem
- b) Project Design and Implementation
- c) Capabilities and Competencies
- d) Program Continuity

e) Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures and Sustainment

To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as to assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111-352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must regularly provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. Post award, recipients will be required to submit quarterly performance metrics through BJA's online Performance Measurement Tool (PMT), located at www.bjaperformancetools.org. Applicants should review the complete list of BWC PIP program performance measures at: <https://www.bjaperformancetools.org/help/BWCPerformanceMeasures.pdf>.

BJA does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that BJA will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

Note on Project Evaluations

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects' protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements likely do not constitute "research." Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge" 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the "Research and the Protection of Human Subjects" section of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm) web page (<http://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirements.htm>). Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the "Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements" section on that web page.

4. Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

a. Budget Detail Worksheet

A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at <http://ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf>. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet.

The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide at <http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm>.

b. Budget Narrative

The Budget Narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Applicants should demonstrate in their Budget Narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget Narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a Budget Narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.

c. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold

If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at \$150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the [Financial Guide](#).

d. Pre-Agreement Cost Approvals

For information on pre-agreement costs, see Section [B. Federal Award Information](#).

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:

- (a) The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- (b) The applicant is eligible to use and elects to use the “de minimis” indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the “Glossary of Terms” in the [Financial Guide](#). For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the Customer Service Center at 800-458-0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an

indirect cost rate proposal at <http://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf>.

In order to use the “de minimis” indirect rate, attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both the applicant’s eligibility (to use the “de minimis” rate) and its election. If the applicant elects the “de minimis” method, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally approved indirect cost rate.⁷

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

Applicants unable to submit an application that includes a fully-executed (i.e., signed) copy of appropriate legal documentation, as described above, consistent with the applicable tribe’s governance structure, should, at a minimum, submit an unsigned draft version of such legal documentation as part of its application (except for cases in which, with respect to a tribal consortium applicant, consortium bylaws allow action without the support of all consortium member tribes). If selected for funding, BJA will make use of and access to funds contingent on receipt of the fully-executed legal documentation.

7. Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status

Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high risk by another federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is designated high risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the following information to OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov at the time of application submission:

- The federal agency that currently designated the applicant as high risk
- Date the applicant was designated high risk
- The high risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that federal agency
- Reasons for the high risk status

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award. Disclosing this high risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

⁷ See 2 C.F.R. § 200.414(f).

8. Additional Attachments

a. Memorandum of Understanding/Letters of Intent

Applicants should include evidence of cross-agency collaboration and a high level of commitment from participating agencies and entities via a memorandum of understanding or other appropriate mechanism.

b. Timeline

Include a timeline that identifies milestones, numerically lists deliverables, and identifies who is responsible for each activity.

c. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency.
- The solicitation name/project name.
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency.

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Project Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency
DOJ/COPS	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
HHS/ Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services Administration	Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202/000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file should be named "Disclosure of Pending Applications."

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

9. **Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire**

In accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.205, federal agencies must have in place a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, **all** applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this [form](#).

10. **Disclosure of Lobbying Activities**

All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

How to Apply

Applicants must register in and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606-545-5035**, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks** for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Common errors are not justification for appeal.

BJA strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email [notifications](#) regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Note on Attachments: Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below.

Characters	Special Characters		
Upper case (A – Z)	Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Lower case (a – z)	Ampersand (&)	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Underscore (_)	Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe (‘)
Hyphen (-)	At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Space	Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)
Period (.)	When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the “&amp;” format.		

Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the applicant organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the federal awarding agency is ready to make a federal award, the federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. Complete the registration form at <https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister> to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2, and 4.

- 1. Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** In general, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866-705-5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1–2 business days.
- 2. Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must **update or renew their SAM registration annually** to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal

can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, **the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours**. OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

Failure to establish a SAM registration or acquiring an AOR is not grounds for an appeal for delayed application submission and this type of appeal is routinely rejected.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization's DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations, go to www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to <http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html>.
- 4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is 16.835, titled "Body Worn Camera Policy and Implementation," and the funding opportunity number is BJA-2016-9199.
- 6. Select the correct Competition ID.** Some OJP solicitations posted to Grants.gov contain multiple purpose areas, denoted by the individual Competition ID. If applying to a solicitation with multiple Competition IDs, select the appropriate Competition ID for the intended purpose area of the application.
- 7. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on April 27, 2016.

Important: OJP urges applicants to submit applications **at least 72 hours prior** to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications

from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

8. Click [here](#) for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

Note: Duplicate Applications

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, BJA will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under [How to Apply](#).

Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov [Customer Support Hotline](#) or the [SAM Help Desk](#) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. Then applicants must email the BJA contact identified in the Contact Information section on page 2 **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note: BJA does not automatically approve requests.** After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time. (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, including firewalls.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page at <http://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm>.

E. Application Review Information

Selection Criteria

1. **Statement of the Problem (15 percent)**

- 1) Describe the problems that implementation of a body-worn camera program will seek

to overcome:

- a) Provide data in support of the need for body-worn cameras.
 - b) Describe the demographics of your jurisdiction.
 - c) Demonstrate a full understanding of how officer complaints and use-of-force practices can be addressed by BWCs.
 - d) Demonstrate a need to leverage DME (Digital Multimedia Evidence) to help inform adjudication of cases.
 - e) Provide metrics for agency sworn personnel:
 - i. Total number of sworn officers within your agency.
 - ii. The number of sworn officers with patrol activities or with daily citizen interaction outside of the agency.
 - iii. The number of sworn officers your project expects to deploy body-worn cameras to when your program is completely implemented.
- 2) Additionally, all applicants must identify and provide a status of any other BJA BWC programs that have been applied for.

2. Project Design and Implementation (35 percent)

- 1) Describe specifically how the project will accomplish expected outcomes by providing the goals, objectives, and performance measures applicable to the project.
- 2) Include a comprehensive timeline (as an attachment) that identifies milestones, numerically lists deliverables, and identifies who is responsible for each activity.
- 3) Utilizing the COPS “Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program”⁸ report, the applicant will demonstrate a thorough understanding and appreciation of the issues discussed, and will incorporate the most important program design elements in their proposal.
- 4) All applicants must describe a detailed implementation plan for achieving the goals of the BWC PIP.
- 5) Demonstrate a partnership with associated agencies and advocacy groups necessary to effectively utilize DME and promote the program objectives.
- 6) Identify methods to develop policies and tracking mechanisms that include Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) statutes and regulations pertaining to storage, retention, redaction, and expungement as well as privacy policies addressing BWC issues involving civil rights, domestic violence, juvenile, and other victims’ groups.

3. Capabilities and Competencies (20 percent)

- 1) Fully describe the applicant’s capabilities to implement the project and the competencies of the staff assigned to the project.
- 2) Describe and demonstrate effective policy development and implementation and describe program communications plans.
- 3) Describe the agency’s technology capabilities including previous systems’ implementation projects
- 4) Describe previous law enforcement programs and initiatives that required broad community and stakeholder engagement and report the results of those efforts.
- 5) Describe previous mobile technology deployments and the results of those efforts (i.e., mobile: records management systems (RMS), computer aided dispatch

⁸ Miller, Lindsay, Jessica Toliver, and Police Executive Research Forum. 2014. *Implementing a Body-Worn Camera Program: Recommendations and Lessons Learned*. Washington, DC: Office of Community Oriented Policing Services. www.justice.gov/iso/opa/resources/472014912134715246869.pdf.

(CAD), electronic citations and other reports, mobile computers and devices, in-car video, and mobile applications).

- 6) Provide evidence of broad community and stakeholder collaboration and a high level of commitment from participating agencies and entities via memoranda of understanding or other appropriate documentation. Such commitment for the BWC PIP should be described in the application and submitted as attachments with the application.

4. Program Continuity (10 percent)

Describe specifically how the agency will support the body-worn camera program once federal funds are exhausted.

- 1) Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures. Describe the process for measuring project performance.
- 2) Identify who will collect the data, who is responsible for performance measurement, and how the information will be used to guide and evaluate the impact of the program.
- 3) Describe the process to accurately report implementation findings.

5. Budget (20 percent)

- 1) Provide a proposed budget and Budget Narrative that are complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).
- 2) Budget Narratives should demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures.
- 3) Budget Narratives should enhance the information provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet, providing details about how the line items impact the overall project.
- 4) Budget Narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.⁹

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP screens applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- Applications must include all items designated as "critical elements."
- Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management (SAM).

⁹ Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that if, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

For a list of critical elements, see [“What an Application Should Include”](#) under [Section D. Application and Submission Information](#).

BJA may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications meeting basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation’s selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding.

OJP reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:

1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide
3. History of performance
4. Reports and findings from audits
5. The applicant’s ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients
6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, peer review ratings, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior BJA and OJP awards, and available funding when making awards.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices

OJP sends award notification by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date (by September 30, 2016). Recipients will be required to log in; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The award acceptance process involves physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-executed award

document to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ, or other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements **prior** to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its [Solicitation Requirements](#) page of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds, as each details legal requirements with which applicants must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#) and are strongly encouraged to review and consider them carefully prior to making an application for OJP grant funds.

- [Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements](#)
- [Standard Assurances](#)

Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements¹⁰ with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements which may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via [Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions](#) page of the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

As stated above, BJA anticipates that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreement awards include standard “federal involvement” conditions that describe the general allocation of responsibility for execution of the funded program. Generally stated, under cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient in implementing the funded and

¹⁰ See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 [FFATA]).

approved proposal and budget, and the award terms and conditions. Responsibility for oversight and redirection of the project, if necessary, rests with BJA.

In addition to any “federal involvement” condition(s), OJP cooperative agreement awards include a special condition specifying certain reporting requirements required in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposium, training activities, or similar events funded under the award, consistent with OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting.

General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements

Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Special Reporting requirements may be required by OJP depending on the statutory, legislative, or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see [title page](#).

For contact information for Grants.gov, see [title page](#).

H. Other Information

Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

IMPORTANT: This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are **not** sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, **you must** directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to ojppeerreview@lmsolas.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your résumé. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.

Application Checklist
FY 2016 Body-Worn Camera Pilot Implementation Program

This application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:

- _____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 23)
- _____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 23)

To Register with Grants.gov:

- _____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 24)
- _____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 24)

To Find Funding Opportunity:

- _____ Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 24)
- _____ Select the correct Competition ID (see page 24)
- _____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 24)
- _____ Sign up for Grants.gov email [notifications](#) (optional) (see page 22)
- _____ Read [Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov](#)
- _____ Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm

After application submission, receive Grants.gov email notifications that:

- _____ (1) application has been received,
- _____ (2) application has either been successfully validated or rejected with errors (see page 24)

If no Grants.gov receipt, and validation or error notifications are received:

- _____ Contact the NCJRS Response Center regarding experiencing technical difficulties (see page 25)

General Requirements:

- _____ Review the [Solicitation Requirements](#) in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Scope Requirement:

- _____ The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) described for each category (see pages 7-9).

Eligibility Requirement: Eligible applicants are limited to units of local government and federally recognized Indian tribal governments that perform law enforcement functions (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior), or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the foregoing that performs criminal justice functions (including combinations of the preceding, one of which is designated as the primary applicant.)

What an Application Should Include:

- _____ Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 16)
- _____ Intergovernmental Review (see page 16)
- _____ Project Abstract (see page 17)
- _____ *Program Narrative (see page 17)
- _____ *Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 18)
- _____ *Budget Narrative (see page 19)
- _____ Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 19)
- _____ Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 20)
- _____ Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status (see page 20)
- _____ Additional Attachments
 - _____ *Memorandum of Understanding/Letters of Intent (see page 21)
 - _____ Timeline (see page 21)
 - _____ Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 21)
- _____ Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 22)
- _____ Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 22)
- _____ Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 14)

NOTE: Elements marked with an asterisk (*) are the basic minimum requirements for applications. Applications that do not include these elements shall neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration by BJA.